

*Barbara Kocłęga–Kulpa, Tomasz Szostok and Szymon Wąsowicz*

## On some equations stemming from quadrature rules

**Abstract.** We deal with functional equations of the type

$$F(y) - F(x) = (y - x) \sum_{k=1}^n f_k((1 - \lambda_k)x + \lambda_k y),$$

connected to quadrature rules and, in particular, we find the solutions of the following functional equation

$$f(x) - f(y) = (x - y)[g(x) + h(x + 2y) + h(2x + y) + g(y)].$$

We also present a solution of the Stamate type equation

$$yf(x) - xf(y) = (x - y)[g(x) + h(x + 2y) + h(2x + y) + g(y)].$$

All results are valid for functions acting on integral domains.

### 1. Introduction

We deal with some equations connected to quadrature rules. Having a function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we may approximate its integral using the following expression

$$F(y) - F(x) \approx (y - x) \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k f((1 - \lambda_k)x + \lambda_k y)$$

(where  $F$  is a primitive function for  $f$ ), which is satisfied exactly for polynomials of certain degree. One of the simplest functional equations connected to quadrature rules is an equation stemming from Simpson's rule

$$F(y) - F(x) = (y - x) \left[ \frac{1}{6}f(x) + \frac{2}{3}f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{6}f(y) \right].$$

Another example is given by the equation

$$F(y) - F(x) = (y - x) \left[ \frac{1}{8}f(x) + \frac{3}{8}f\left(\frac{x+2y}{3}\right) + \frac{3}{8}f\left(\frac{2x+y}{3}\right) + \frac{1}{8}f(y) \right],$$

---

AMS (2000) Subject Classification: 39B52.

Volumes I-VII appeared as *Annales Academiae Paedagogicae Cracoviensis Studia Mathematica*.

which is satisfied by polynomials of degree not greater than 3. The generalized version of this equation

$$g(x) - f(y) = (x - y)[h(x) + k(sx + ty) + k(tx + sy) + h(y)] \quad (1)$$

was considered during the 44th ISFE held in Louisville, Kentucky, USA by P.K. Sahoo [7]. The solution has been given in the class of functions  $f, g, h, k$  mapping  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  and such that  $g$  and  $f$  are twice differentiable, and  $k$  is four times differentiable.

On the other hand, M. Sablik [5] during the 7th Katowice–Debrecen Winter Seminar on Functional Equations and Inequalities presented the general solution of this equation in the case  $s, t \in \mathbb{Q}$  without any regularity assumptions concerning the functions considered.

We deal with a special case of (1) (with  $s = 1, t = 2$ ) for functions acting on integral domains. However, it is easy to observe that if we take  $x = y$  in (1), then we immediately obtain that  $f = g$ . Thus we shall find the solutions of the following functional equation

$$f(x) - f(y) = (x - y)[g(x) + h(x + 2y) + h(2x + y) + g(y)]. \quad (2)$$

Using the obtained result we will also present a solution of a similar Stamate type equation

$$yf(x) - xf(y) = (x - y)[g(x) + h(2x + y) + h(x + 2y) + g(y)]. \quad (3)$$

In the proof of Lemma 1 below we use the lemma established by M. Sablik [6] and improved by I. Pawlikowska [3]. First we need some notations. Let  $G, H$  be Abelian groups and  $SA^0(G, H) := H, SA^1(G, H) := \text{Hom}(G, H)$  (i.e., the group of all homomorphisms from  $G$  into  $H$ ), and for  $i \in \mathbb{N}, i \geq 2$ , let  $SA^i(G, H)$  be the group of all  $i$ -additive and symmetric mappings from  $G^i$  into  $H$ . Furthermore, let  $\mathcal{P} := \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{Hom}(G, G)^2 : \alpha(G) \subset \beta(G)\}$ . Finally, for  $x \in G$  let  $x^i = \underbrace{(x, \dots, x)}_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

LEMMA 1

Fix  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and let  $I_0, \dots, I_N$  be finite subsets of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Suppose that  $H$  is uniquely divisible by  $N!$  and let the functions  $\varphi_i: G \rightarrow SA^i(G, H)$  and  $\psi_{i,(\alpha,\beta)}: G \rightarrow SA^i(G, H)$  ( $(\alpha, \beta) \in I_i, i = 0, \dots, N$ ) satisfy

$$\varphi_N(x)(y^N) + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \varphi_i(x)(y^i) = \sum_{i=0}^N \sum_{(\alpha,\beta) \in I_i} \psi_{i,(\alpha,\beta)}(\alpha(x) + \beta(y))(y^i)$$

for every  $x, y \in G$ . Then  $\varphi_N$  is a polynomial function of order at most  $k - 1$ , where

$$k = \sum_{i=0}^N \text{card} \left( \bigcup_{s=i}^N I_s \right).$$

Now we will state a simplified version of this lemma. We take  $N = 1$  and we consider functions acting on an integral domain  $P$ . Moreover, we consider only homomorphisms of the type  $x \mapsto yx$ , where  $y \in P$  is fixed.

LEMMA 2

Let  $P$  be an integral domain and let  $I_0, I_1$  be finite subsets of  $P^2$  such that for all  $(a, b) \in I_i$  the ring  $P$  is divisible by  $b$ . Let  $\varphi_i, \psi_{i,(\alpha,\beta)}: P \rightarrow P$  satisfy

$$\varphi_1(x)y + \varphi_0(x) = \sum_{(a,b) \in I_0} \psi_{0,(a,b)}(ax + by) + y \sum_{(a,b) \in I_1} \psi_{1,(a,b)}(ax + by)$$

for all  $x, y \in P$ . Then  $\varphi_1$  is a polynomial function of order at most equal to  $\text{card}(I_0 \cup I_1) + \text{card } I_1 - 1$ .

In the above lemmas a *polynomial function of order  $n$*  means a solution of the functional equation  $\Delta_h^{n+1}f(x) = 0$ , where  $\Delta_h^n$  stands for the  $n$ -th iterate of the difference operator  $\Delta_h f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x)$ . Observe that a continuous polynomial function of order  $n$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $n$  (see [2, Theorem 4, p. 398]).

It is also well known that if  $P$  is an integral domain uniquely divisible by  $n!$  and  $f: P \rightarrow P$  is a polynomial function of order  $n$ , then

$$f(x) = c_0 + c_1(x) + \dots + c_n(x), \quad x \in P,$$

where  $c_0 \in P$  is a constant and

$$c_i(x) = C_i(x, x, \dots, x), \quad x \in P$$

for some  $i$ -additive and symmetric function  $C_i: P^i \rightarrow P$ .

## 2. Results

We begin with the following lemma which will be useful in the proof of the main result. However, we state it a bit more generally.

LEMMA 3

Let  $P$  be an integral domain and let  $f, f_k: P \rightarrow P$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n$ , be functions satisfying the equation

$$f(y) - f(x) = (y - x) \sum_{k=0}^n f_k(a_k x + b_k y), \quad (4)$$

where  $a_k, b_k \in P$  are given numbers such that for every  $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$  we have  $a_k \neq 0$  or  $b_k \neq 0$ .

Let  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$  be fixed. If  $P$  is divisible by  $a_i, b_i$  and also by  $a_i b_k - a_k b_i$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n; k \neq i$ , then the function

$$\tilde{f}(x) := (a_i + b_i) f_i((a_i + b_i)x)$$

is a polynomial function of degree at most  $2n + 1$ .

Moreover, if there exists  $k_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $a_{k_1} = 0$  or  $b_{k_1} = 0$ , then function  $\tilde{f}$  is a polynomial function of order at most  $2n$  and if there exist  $k_1, k_2 \in \{0, \dots, n\}$  such that  $a_{k_1} = b_{k_2} = 0$ , then  $\tilde{f}$  is a polynomial function of order at most  $2n - 1$ .

*Proof.* Fix an  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ , put in (4)  $x - b_i y$  and  $x + a_i y$  instead of  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + a_i y) - f(x - b_i y) &= (a_i + b_i)y[f_0((a_0 + b_0)x + (a_0 b_0 - a_0 b_i)y) + \dots \\ &\quad + f_i((a_i + b_i)x) + \dots + f_n((a_n + b_n)x + (a_i b_n - a_n b_i)y)]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

There are two possibilities:

1.  $a_i, b_i \neq 0$ ,
2.  $a_i = 0$  or  $b_i = 0$ .

Let us consider the first case. Then from (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y(a_i + b_i)f_i((a_i + b_i)x) &= f(x + a_i y) - f(x - b_i y) \\ &\quad - (a_i + b_i)y \sum_{k=0, k \neq i}^n f_k((a_k + b_k)x + (a_i b_k - a_k b_i)y), \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\begin{aligned} y\tilde{f}(x) &= f(x + a_i y) - f(x - b_i y) \\ &\quad - (a_i + b_i)y \sum_{k=0, k \neq i}^n f_k((a_k + b_k)x + (a_i b_k - a_k b_i)y). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Now we are in position to use Lemma 2 with

$$I_0 = \{(1, -b_i), (1, a_i)\}$$

and

$$I_1 = \{(a_k + b_k, a_i b_k - a_k b_i) : k = 0, \dots, n; k \neq i\}.$$

We clearly obtain that  $\tilde{f}$  is a polynomial function of order at most equal to

$$\text{card}(I_0 \cup I_1) + \text{card} I_1 - 1 \leq (n + 2) + n - 1 = 2n + 1.$$

Further, if for example  $a_{k_1} = 0$  for some  $k_1 \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ ,  $k_1 \neq i$ , then we have a summand

$$f_{k_1}(b_{k_1}x + a_i b_{k_1}y) = f_{k_1}(b_{k_1}(x + a_i y))$$

on the right-hand side of (6). Thus we put  $\tilde{f}_{k_1}(x) := f_{k_1}(b_{k_1}x)$  and (6) takes form

$$\begin{aligned} y\tilde{f}(x) &= f(x - b_i y) - f(x + a_i y) \\ &\quad - (a_i + b_i)y \left[ \sum_{k=0, k \neq i, k_1}^n f_k((a_k + b_k)x + (a_i b_k - a_k b_i)y) + \tilde{f}_{k_1}(x + a_i y) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as before we take

$$I_0 = \{(1, -b_i), (1, a_i)\}$$

and

$$I_1 = \{(a_k + b_k, a_i b_k - a_k b_i) : k = 0, \dots, n; k \neq i, k_1\} \cup \{(1, a_i)\}.$$

In this case we have  $I_0 \cap I_1 = \{(1, a_i)\}$ , i.e.,

$$\text{card}(I_0 \cup I_1) + \text{card } I_1 - 1 \leq (n + 1) + n - 1 = 2n.$$

The proof in the case  $a_{k_1} = b_{k_2} = 0$  is similar.

Now we consider the case  $a_i = 0$  or  $b_i = 0$ . Let for example  $a_i = 0$ , then from (6) we have

$$y(b_i)f_i(b_i x) - f(x) = -f(x - b_i y) - b_i y \sum_{k=0, k \neq i}^n f_k((a_k + b_k)x - a_k b_i y),$$

i.e.,

$$y b_i \tilde{f}(x) - f(x) = -f(x - b_i y) - b_i y \sum_{k=0, k \neq i}^n f_k((a_k + b_k)x - a_k b_i y).$$

In this case we take

$$I_0 = \{(1, -b_i)\}$$

and

$$I_1 = \{(a_k + b_k, -a_k b_i) : k = 0, \dots, n; k \neq i\}.$$

Thus similarly as before  $\tilde{f}$  is a polynomial function of degree not greater than

$$\text{card}(I_0 \cup I_1) + \text{card } I_1 - 1 \leq (n + 1) + n - 1 = 2n.$$

It is easy to see that if for some  $k_2 \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ ,  $b_{k_2} = 0$ , then  $\tilde{f}$  is a polynomial function of order at most  $2n - 1$ .

Now we are in position to state the most important result of this paper. Namely, we give a general solution of (2) for functions acting on integral domains satisfying some assumptions.

**THEOREM 1**

*Let  $P$  be an integral domain with unit element  $\mathbb{1}$ , uniquely divisible by  $5!$  and such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $n\mathbb{1} \neq 0$ . The functions  $f, g, h: P \rightarrow P$  satisfy the equation (2) if and only if there exist  $a, b, c, d, \bar{d}, e \in P$  and an additive function  $A: P \rightarrow P$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= 18ax^4 + 8bx^3 + cx^2 + 2dx + e, & x \in P, \\ g(x) &= 9ax^3 + 3bx^2 + cx - 3A(x) + d - \bar{d}, & x \in P, \\ h(x) &= ax^3 + bx^2 + A(x) + \bar{d}, & x \in P. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $f, g, h: P \rightarrow P$  satisfy the equation (2). From Lemma 3 we know that  $g$  and  $h$  are polynomial functions of order at most 5. Therefore

$$g(x) = c_0 + c_1(x) + c_2(x) + c_3(x) + c_4(x) + c_5(x), \quad x \in P \quad (7)$$

and

$$h(x) = d_0 + d_1(x) + d_2(x) + d_3(x) + d_4(x) + d_5(x), \quad x \in P, \quad (8)$$

where  $c_i, d_i: P \rightarrow P$  are diagonalizations of some  $i$ -additive and symmetric functions  $C_i, D_i: P^i \rightarrow P$ , respectively. Taking in (2)  $y = 0$ , we obtain the following formula

$$f(x) = x[g(x) + h(x) + h(2x) + g(0)] + f(0), \quad x \in P, \quad (9)$$

which used in (2) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & x[g(x) + h(x) + h(2x) + g(0)] - y[g(y) + h(y) + h(2y) + g(0)] \\ &= (x - y)[g(x) + h(x + 2y) + h(2x + y) + g(y)], \quad x, y \in P. \end{aligned}$$

After some simple calculations we get

$$\begin{aligned} & x[h(2x) + h(x) - h(x + 2y) - h(2x + y) - g_0(y)] \\ &= y[h(2y) + h(y) - h(x + 2y) - h(2x + y) - g_0(x)], \quad x, y \in P, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $g_0(x) := g(x) - g(0)$ ,  $x \in P$ .

Further, putting  $2x$  instead of  $y$  in (10), we have

$$h(5x) - h(4x) - h(2x) + h(x) = g_0(2x) - 2g_0(x), \quad x \neq 0,$$

which is also satisfied for  $x = 0$ , since  $g_0(0) = 0$ . Thus

$$h(5x) - h(4x) - h(2x) + h(x) = g_0(2x) - 2g_0(x), \quad x \in P. \quad (11)$$

By (7) we obtain

$$g_0(2x) - 2g_0(x) = 2c_2(x) + 6c_3(x) + 14c_4(x) + 30c_5(x) \quad (12)$$

and similarly from (8) we have

$$h(5x) - h(4x) - h(2x) + h(x) = 6d_2(x) + 54d_3(x) + 354d_4(x) + 2070d_5(x). \quad (13)$$

Using (13) and (12) in (11) we may write

$$6d_2(x) + 54d_3(x) + 354d_4(x) + 2070d_5(x) = 2c_2(x) + 6c_3(x) + 14c_4(x) + 30c_5(x).$$

Comparing the corresponding terms on both sides of this equality we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(x) &= 3d_2(x), \\ c_3(x) &= 9d_3(x), \\ 7c_4(x) &= 177d_4(x), \\ c_5(x) &= 69d_5(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using these equations in (7) we have

$$g(x) = c_0 + c_1(x) + 3d_2(x) + 9d_3(x) + c_4(x) + 69d_5(x), \quad x \in P, \quad (14)$$

where

$$7c_4(x) = 177d_4(x), \quad x \in P. \quad (15)$$

Substitute in (10)  $-x$  in place of  $y$ . Then

$$h(2x) + h(-2x) - [h(x) + h(-x)] = g_0(x) + g_0(-x), \quad x \in P.$$

This, in view of (8) and (14), means that

$$6d_2(x) + 30d_4(x) = 6d_2(x) + 2c_4(x), \quad x \in P,$$

i.e.,

$$c_4(x) = 15d_4(x), \quad x \in P$$

and from (15) we have

$$d_4(x) = 0, \quad x \in P \quad (16)$$

and also  $c_4 = 0$ .

Now we shall show that  $d_5(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in P$ . To this end we put in (10) in places of  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively  $-x$  and  $2x$ . Thus

$$-2h(4x) + 3h(3x) - 2h(2x) - h(-2x) - h(-x) + 3h(0) = -g_0(2x) - 2g_0(-x)$$

for  $x \in P$ . Similarly as before, using (8), (14) and (16), we have

$$-18d_2(x) - 54d_3(x) - 1350d_5(x) = -18d_2(x) - 54d_3(x) - 2070d_5(x), \quad x \in P,$$

which means that

$$d_5(x) = 0, \quad x \in P.$$

Now formulas (14) and (8) take forms

$$g(x) = c_0 + c_1(x) + 3d_2(x) + 9d_3(x), \quad x \in P \quad (17)$$

and

$$h(x) = d_0 + d_1(x) + d_2(x) + d_3(x), \quad x \in P. \quad (18)$$

Using these equalities in (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & x[-c_1(y) - 3d_1(y) + 5d_2(x) - 3d_2(y) - d_2(x+2y) - d_2(2x+y) \\ & \quad + 9d_3(x) - 9d_3(y) - d_3(x+2y) - d_3(2x+y)] \\ & = y[-c_1(x) - 3d_1(x) + 5d_2(y) - 3d_2(x) - d_2(x+2y) - d_2(2x+y) \\ & \quad + 9d_3(y) - 9d_3(x) - d_3(x+2y) - d_3(2x+y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since the ring  $P$  is divisible by 3 and 2, the functions  $d_i$  are diagonalizations of symmetric and  $i$ -additive functions  $D_i: P^i \rightarrow P$ , i.e.,  $d_i(x) = D_i(x^i)$ ,  $x \in P$ . Using these forms of  $d_i$  in the above equation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(x-y)[4D_2(x,y) + 9D_3(x,x,y) + 9D_3(x,y,y)] \\ & = y[c_1(x) + 3d_1(x) + 8d_2(x) + 18d_3(x)] \\ & \quad - x[c_1(y) + 3d_1(y) + 8d_2(y) + 18d_3(y)] \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for all  $x, y \in P$ . Put in (19)  $-y$  instead of  $y$ . Then for all  $x, y \in P$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(x+y)[-4D_2(x, y) - 9D_3(x, x, y) + 9D_3(x, y, y)] \\ &= -y[c_1(x) + 3d_1(x) + 8d_2(x) + 18d_3(x)] \\ & \quad -x[-c_1(y) - 3d_1(y) + 8d_2(y) - 18d_3(y)]. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Adding the equations (19) and (20) we arrive at

$$9xD_3(x, y, y) - y[4D_2(x, y) + 9D_3(x, x, y)] = -4xd_2(y), \quad x, y \in P,$$

and, consequently,

$$9xD_3(x, y, y) - 9yD_3(x, x, y) = 4yD_2(x, y) - 4xd_2(y), \quad x, y \in P. \quad (21)$$

Interchanging in these equations  $x$  with  $y$  and using the symmetry of both  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  we may write

$$9yD_3(x, x, y) - 9xD_3(x, y, y) = 4xD_2(x, y) - 4yd_2(x), \quad x, y \in P. \quad (22)$$

Now, we add (21) and (22) to get

$$(x+y)D_2(x, y) = xd_2(y) + yd_2(x), \quad x, y \in P.$$

Put here  $x+y$  in place of  $x$ , then

$$(x+2y)D_2(x+y, y) = (x+y)d_2(y) + yd_2(x+y), \quad x, y \in P,$$

which yields

$$xD_2(x, y) = yd_2(x), \quad x, y \in P \quad (23)$$

and changing the roles of  $x$  and  $y$

$$yD_2(x, y) = xd_2(y), \quad x, y \in P. \quad (24)$$

Now, we multiply (23) by  $y$  and (24) by  $x$  to obtain

$$xyD_2(x, y) = y^2d_2(x), \quad x, y \in P$$

and

$$xyD_2(x, y) = x^2d_2(y), \quad x, y \in P.$$

Thus

$$y^2d_2(x) = x^2d_2(y), \quad x, y \in P,$$

which after substituing  $y = \mathbb{1}$  gives the formula

$$d_2(x) = bx^2, \quad x \in P, \quad (25)$$

where  $b := d_2(\mathbb{1})$ . Thus from (24) we obtain

$$D_2(x, y) = bxy, \quad x, y \in P. \quad (26)$$



Using the formulas (25) and (26) in (21) we have

$$yD_3(x, x, y) = xD_3(x, y, y), \quad x, y \in P. \quad (27)$$

Putting  $x + y$  in place of  $x$  (27), we get

$$yD_3(x + y, x + y, y) = (x + y)D_3(x + y, y, y),$$

which after some calculations gives

$$yD_3(x, x, y) - (x - y)D_3(x, y, y) = xd_3(y), \quad x, y \in P.$$

We use here the condition (27). Then

$$xD_3(x, y, y) - (x - y)D_3(x, y, y) = xd_3(y), \quad x, y \in P,$$

i.e.,

$$yD_3(x, y, y) = xd_3(y), \quad x, y \in P. \quad (28)$$

Clearly we also have

$$xD_3(x, x, y) = yd_3(x), \quad x, y \in P. \quad (29)$$

Now, multiply the equation (28) by  $x$  and (29) by  $y^2$ . Then we have

$$xyD_3(x, y, y) = x^2d_3(y), \quad x, y \in P \quad (30)$$

and

$$xy^2D_3(x, x, y) = y^3d_3(x). \quad (31)$$

On the other hand, we multiply (27) by  $y$ . We obtain

$$y^2D_3(x, x, y) = xyD_3(x, y, y), \quad x, y \in P. \quad (32)$$

Using (32) in (30) we arrive at

$$x^2d_3(y) = y^2D_3(x, x, y), \quad x, y \in P,$$

which multiplied by  $x$  yields

$$x^3d_3(y) = xy^2D_3(x, x, y), \quad x, y \in P. \quad (33)$$

Comparing the equation (31) and (33) we obtain

$$y^3d_3(x) = x^3d_3(y), \quad x, y \in P,$$

i.e.,

$$d_3(x) = ax^3, \quad x \in P, \quad (34)$$

where  $a := d_3(\mathbb{1})$ . Now equalities (28) and (29) take forms

$$D_3(x, y, y) = axy^2, \quad x, y \in P \quad (35)$$

and

$$D_3(x, x, y) = ax^2y, \quad x, y \in P. \quad (36)$$

Using the formulas (25), (26), (34), (35) and (36) in (19) we have

$$y[c_1(x) + 3d_1(x)] = x[c_1(y) + 3d_1(y)], \quad x, y \in P.$$

Substituting here  $y = \mathbb{1}$  we obtain

$$c_1(x) + 3d_1(x) = x[c_1(\mathbb{1}) + 3d_1(\mathbb{1})], \quad x \in P,$$

which means that

$$c_1(x) = cx - 3d_1(x), \quad x \in P,$$

where  $c := c_1(\mathbb{1}) + 3d_1(\mathbb{1})$ .

Thus we have shown that the formulas (17) and (18) may be written in the form

$$g(x) = 9ax^3 + 3bx^2 + cx - 3d_1(x) + c_0, \quad x \in P$$

and

$$h(x) = ax^3 + bx^2 + d_1(x) + d_0, \quad x \in P,$$

where  $d_1$  is a given additive function. Now it suffices to use the obtained expressions in (9), to get the desired formula for  $f$ .

It is an easy calculation to show that these functions  $f, g, h$  satisfy the equation (2).

With the aid of this theorem we may prove also a Stamate-kind result.

#### COROLLARY 1

Let  $P$  be an integral domain with unit element  $\mathbb{1}$ , uniquely divisible by  $5!$  and such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $n\mathbb{1} \neq 0$ . Functions  $f, g, h: P \rightarrow P$  satisfy the equation (3) if and only if there exist  $a, \bar{a}, b, c, d, \bar{d} \in P$  and an additive function  $A: P \rightarrow P$  such that

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 18ax^3 + 8bx^2 + cx + 2d, & x \neq 0 \\ \bar{a}, & x = 0 \end{cases},$$

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} -9ax^3 - 5bx^2 - 3A(x) - d - \bar{d}, & x \neq 0 \\ d - \bar{d} - \bar{a}, & x = 0 \end{cases},$$

$$h(x) = ax^3 + bx^2 + A(x) + \bar{d}, \quad x \in P.$$

Conversely,  $f, g, h: P \rightarrow P$  given by the above equalities satisfy (2).

*Proof.* First we write the equation (3) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} (y-x)f(y) - yf(y) + (y-x)f(x) + xf(x) \\ = (x-y)[g(x) + h(2x+y) + h(x+2y) + g(y)] \end{aligned}$$

and, consequently,

$$xf(x) - yf(y) = (x - y)[g(x) + f(x) + h(2x + y) + h(x + 2y) + g(y) + f(y)].$$

Putting here  $k(t) := g(t) + f(t)$  and  $F(t) := tf(t)$  for all  $t \in P$  we obtain

$$F(x) - F(y) = (x - y)[k(x) + h(2x + y) + h(x + 2y) + k(y)], \quad x, y \in P.$$

Thus, using Theorem 1, we get

$$xf(x) = 18ax^4 + 8bx^3 + cx^2 + 2dx + e, \quad x \in P, \quad (37)$$

$$g(x) + f(x) = 9ax^3 + 3bx^2 + cx - 3A(x) + d - \bar{d}, \quad x \in P, \quad (38)$$

$$h(x) = ax^3 + bx^2 + A(x) + \bar{d}, \quad x \in P.$$

Now, from (37) it easily follows that  $e = 0$  and furthermore

$$xf(x) = 18ax^4 + 8bx^3 + cx^2 + 2dx,$$

i.e.,

$$f(x) = 18ax^3 + 8bx^2 + cx + 2d, \quad x \neq 0,$$

which gives us

$$g(x) = -9ax^3 - 5bx^2 - 3A(x) - d - \bar{d}, \quad x \neq 0.$$

Moreover, from (38) we get  $g(0) + f(0) = d - \bar{d}$ , thus putting  $\bar{a} := f(0)$  we obtain that  $g(0) = d - \bar{d} - \bar{a}$ .

On the other hand, it is easy to see that functions given by the above formulae yield a solution of the equation (3).

## Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Professor Joanna Ger for her valuable remarks concerning the Corollary 1.

## References

- [1] B. Koclega-Kulpa, T. Szostok, *On some equations connected to Hadamard inequalities*, Aequationes Math. **75** (2008), 119–129.
- [2] M. Kuczma, *An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities. Cauchy's Equation and Jensen's Inequality*, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe (Polish Scientific Publishers) and Uniwersytet Śląski, Warszawa-Kraków-Katowice, 1985.
- [3] I. Pawlikowska, *Solutions of two functional equations using a result of M. Sablik*, Aequationes Math. **72** (2006), 177–190.
- [4] T. Riedel, P.K. Sahoo, *Mean value theorems and functional equations*, World Scientific, Singapore–New Jersey–Lodon–Hong Kong, 1998.

- [5] M. Sablik, *On a problem of P.K. Sahoo*, (joint work with A. Lisak), in: Report of meeting. The Seventh Katowice–Debrecen Winter Seminar on Functional Equations and Inequalities, January 31–February 2, 2007, Będlewo, Poland, *Ann. Math. Sil.* **21** (2007), 70–71.
- [6] M. Sablik, *Taylor's theorem and functional equations*, *Aequationes Math.* **60** (2000), 258–267.
- [7] P.K. Sahoo, *On a functional equation associated with the trapezoidal rule*, in: Report of meeting. The Forty-fourth International Symposium on Functional Equations, May 14–20, 2006, Louisville, Kentucky, USA, *Aequationes Math.* **73** (2007), 185.

*B. Koclega–Kulpa, T. Szostok*  
*Institute of Mathematics*  
*Silesian University*  
*Bankowa 14*  
*PL-40-007 Katowice*  
*Poland*  
*E-mail: koclega@ux2.math.us.edu.pl*  
*E-mail: szostok@ux2.math.us.edu.pl*

*Sz. Wąsowicz*  
*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science*  
*University of Bielsko–Biala*  
*Willowa 2,*  
*PL-43-309 Bielsko–Biala*  
*Poland*  
*E-mail: swasowicz@ath.bielsko.pl*

*Received: 3 November 2008; final version: 19 January 2009;*  
*available online: 25 April 2009.*