Annales Academiae Paedagogicae Cracoviensis

Folia 45

Studia Mathematica VI

Pawet Solarz Iterative roots of homeomorphisms possessing periodic points

Abstract. In this paper we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of orientation-preserving iterative roots of a homeomorphism with a nonempty set of periodic points. We also give a construction method for these roots.

1. Introduction

The problem of the existence of *iterative roots* of a given function F, i.e., the solution of the following equation $G^m = F$, where $m \ge 2$ is an integer, has been considered for nearly two hundred years (see for example [1], [10], [12], [14], [15], [25]). There are also some results for some homeomorphisms of the unit circle S^1 , e.g., homeomorphisms with an irrational rotation number (see [18], [24]), for the identity function (see [11]) and for some other homeomorphisms with a rational rotation number (see [16], [19], [20]). In particular, [16] relates the existence of an iterative root of F to the existence of an iterative root of $F_{|\text{Per }F}$, where $\text{Per }F := \{z \in S^1 \mid \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \mid F^k(z) = z\}$. More precisely, an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $F: S^1 \longrightarrow S^1$ such that $F^n(z) = z$ for $z \in \text{Per }F$, has an iterative root of order m if and only if there exists an iterative root $\psi: \text{Per }F \longrightarrow \text{Per }F$ of order m of $F_{|\text{Per }F}$ such that

- (i) ψ preserves orientation;
- (ii) for any connected component $\overline{(u,v)}$ of $S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F$, $\overline{(\psi(u),\psi(v))}$ and $\overline{(u,v)}$ are both increasing (or both decreasing) arcs of F^n .

Recall that an $\operatorname{arc}(\overline{u,v)}$, where $u, v \in \operatorname{Per} F$ and $\overline{(u,v)} \cap \operatorname{Per} F = \emptyset$, is called increasing (resp. decreasing) arc of F^n if there is an $x \in \overline{(u,v)}$ such that $F^n(x) \in \overline{(x,v)}$ (resp. $F^n(x) \in \overline{(u,x)}$).

This paper answers the question when iterative roots of the function $F_{|\text{Per}F}$ exist and generalizes results from [20]. For this purpose we apply the method

AMS (2000) Subject Classification: Primary 39B12, Secondary 26A18.

which is used for the construction of the iterative roots of a homeomorphism with an irrational rotation number (i.e., the method that uses a solution of some Schröder equation, see [18]).

2. Preliminaries

We begin with recalling some definitions and notations. For any $u, w, z \in S^1$ there exist unique $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that $we^{2\pi i t_1} = z$, $we^{2\pi i t_2} = u$. Define

 $u \prec w \prec z$ if and only if $0 < t_1 < t_2$

(see [2]). Some properties of this relation can be found in [3], [4] and [5].

We say that a function $F: A \longrightarrow S^1$, where $A \subset S^1$, preserves orientation if for any $u, w, z \in A$ such that $u \prec w \prec z$ we have $F(u) \prec F(w) \prec F(z)$.

For every orientation-preserving homeomorphism $F: S^1 \longrightarrow S^1$ there exists a unique (up to translation by an integer) homeomorphism $f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, called the *lift* of F, such that $F(e^{2\pi i x}) = e^{2\pi i f(x)}$ and f(x+1) = f(x) + 1 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the limit

$$\alpha(F) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f^n(x)}{n} \pmod{1}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

always exists and does not depend on x and the choice of f. This number is called the *rotation number* of F (see [9]). It appears that a homeomorphism $F: S^1 \longrightarrow S^1$ preserves orientation if and only if f is a strictly increasing function (see for example [4]). Moreover, $\alpha(F)$ is a rational number if and only if Per $F \neq \emptyset$ (see for example [9]).

Let us introduce a classification of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms. Namely, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ such that gcd(q, n) = 1 denote by $\mathcal{F}_{q,n}$ the set of all orientation-preserving homeomorphisms F of the circle with $\alpha(F) = \frac{q}{n}$. From now on writing $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$ without any additional assumptions on q and n, we mean that the numbers q and n are such that $n \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$ and gcd(q, n) = 1.

Finally, for any distinct $u, z \in S^1$ put $\overrightarrow{(u, z)} := \{w \in S^1 \mid u \prec w \prec z\}$ (such a set is said to be an *open arc*) and $\overline{\langle u, z \rangle} := \overrightarrow{(u, z)} \cup \{u\}$.

Remark 1

If $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$, then $\operatorname{Per} F = \{z \in S^1 \mid F^n(z) = z\}$ and n is the minimal number such that $F^n(z) = z$ for $z \in \operatorname{Per} F$. In fact, notice that $\alpha(F^n) =$ $n\alpha(F) \pmod{1} = 0$. Therefore F^n has a fixed point (see [9], Ch. 3, §3). The assertion follows from the fact that every two periodic points of an orientationpreserving homeomorphism have the same period (see for example [17], p. 16). Now suppose that $F^m(z) = z$ for an $m \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and a $z \in \operatorname{Per} F$. Then $m\frac{q}{n} \pmod{1} = 0$. Thus n divides m, a contradiction. For any $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$ define the following set

 $\mathcal{M}_F := \{ u \in \operatorname{Per} F \mid \exists w \in \operatorname{Per} F, \ w \neq u : \ \overrightarrow{(u,w)} \cap \operatorname{Per} F = \emptyset \}.$

Such a set is F-invariant (i.e., $F(\mathcal{M}_F) = \mathcal{M}_F$). It may happen that $\mathcal{M}_F = \emptyset$ (if Per $F = S^1$), $\mathcal{M}_F = \operatorname{Per} F$ (for example, if Per F is finite) or $\emptyset \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_F \subsetneq \operatorname{Per} F$ (for example, if $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{Per} F) \neq \emptyset$). Moreover, if $\mathcal{M}_F \neq \emptyset$, then $S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F \neq \emptyset$. Since Per F is closed, we have that $S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F$ is a sum of pairwise disjoint open arcs. Denote the family of these arcs by \mathcal{A}_F . For every $\overline{(u,w)} \in \mathcal{A}_F$, where $u, w \in \operatorname{Per} F$, put $l\left(\overline{(u,w)}\right) := u$ and observe that l maps bijectively \mathcal{A}_F onto \mathcal{M}_F . Setting $I_u := l^{-1}(u)$ for $u \in \mathcal{M}_F$ we have

$$S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F = \bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{M}_F} I_u.$$

For the convenience of the reader we recall the relevant, slightly modified material from [21].

Proposition 1

Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$ be such that $\operatorname{Per} F \neq S^1$ and let $I \in \mathcal{A}_F$. Then $\overline{(z, F^n(z))} \subset I$ for every $z \in I$ or $\overline{(F^n(z), z)} \subset I$ for every $z \in I$.

 $\underbrace{Moreover, if (z, F^n(z))}_{(z_1, F^n(z_1))} \subset I (resp. (F^n(z), z)) \subset I) \text{ for } a \ z \in I, \text{ then} \\ \overrightarrow{(z_1, F^n(z_1))} \subset F(I) (resp. (F^n(z_1), z_1)) \subset F(I)) \text{ for all } z_1 \in F(I).$

We also recall a sketch of the proof. Assume $z \in I \in \mathcal{A}_F$. Then $F^n(z) \in I$ and $z \neq F^n(z)$. Therefore $\overline{(z, F^n(z))} \subset I$ or $\overline{(F^n(z), z)} \subset I$. Suppose that $\overline{(z, F^n(z))} \subset I$. Since F preserves orientation we have

$$\overrightarrow{(F^{ln}(z), F^{n(l+1)}(z))} \subset I \quad \text{for all } l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Moreover, $\bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\langle F^{ln}(z), F^{(l+1)n}(z) \rangle} = I$. Now fix $u \in I$. We may assume $u \neq F^{ln}(z)$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $u \in \overline{(F^{nj}(z), F^{n(j+1)}(z))}$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $F^n(u) \in \overline{(F^{n(j+1)}(z), F^{n(j+2)}(z))}$, as F preserves orientation. This gives $\overline{(u, F^n(u))} \subset I$.

For the second assertion suppose that $\overline{(z, F^n(z))} \subset I$ for an $z \in I$. Let $z_1 \in F(I)$ be fixed. Then there exists a $z_0 \in I$ such that $F(z_0) = z_1$ and $\overline{(z_0, F^n(z_0))} \subset I$. Hence $\overline{(z_1, F^n(z_1))} = F\left(\overline{(z_0, F^n(z_0))}\right) \subset F(I)$. This ends the sketch of the proof.

Now we present some results concerning the Schröder equation

$$\psi \circ F = s\psi, \tag{1}$$

where $s \in S^1$ and $F: S^1 \longrightarrow S^1$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism with a rational rotation number. It is a known fact (see for example [9], [17] or [22]) that if F is a homeomorphism with an irrational rotation number and $s = e^{2\pi i \alpha(F)}$, then (1) has a continuous solution $\psi: S^1 \longrightarrow S^1$. If F is a homeomorphism with a rational rotation number and such that card(Per F) $\leq \aleph_0$, then the only continuous solutions of (1) are constant functions. Of course, in this case s = 1 (see Theorem 4.1 in [7]). On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 4.2 in [7] that, if F is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism such that Per $F = S^1$ and $F \neq id_{S^1}$, then there exists a constant $s \neq 1$ for which (1) has a homeomorphic and orientation-preserving solution $\psi: S^1 \longrightarrow S^1$. The following theorem generalizes the results from Theorem 4.2 in [7].

Theorem 1

Let n > 1 and $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$. There exists an orientation-preserving continuous mapping ψ : Per $F \longrightarrow S^1$ such that

$$\psi(F(z)) = e^{2\pi i \alpha(F)} \psi(z), \qquad z \in \operatorname{Per} F.$$
(2)

The solution of (2) depends on an arbitrary function.

The proof of the above theorem is based on Theorem 4.2 from [7] and the following observation.

Lemma 1

For any $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$, where n > 1, with $\operatorname{Per} F \neq S^1$ there exist infinitely many homeomorphisms $\hat{F} \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$ such that $\operatorname{Per} \hat{F} = S^1$ and $\hat{F}(z) = F(z)$ for $z \in \operatorname{Per} F$.

Proof. Fix $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$ such that $\operatorname{Per} F \neq S^1$. Define the equivalence relation on \mathcal{M}_F :

$$p \sim q \iff \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} \ p = F^k(q).$$

By E_{\sim} denote the set of class representatives. In other words, we decompose \mathcal{M}_F onto cycles of F. Let $\phi_{p,k}: I_{F^k(p)} \longrightarrow I_{F^{k+1}(p)}$ for all $p \in E_{\sim}$ and $k \in \{0, \ldots, n-2\}$ be arbitrary orientation-preserving homeomorphisms. Put

$$\phi_{p,n-1}(z) := \phi_{p,0}^{-1} \circ \phi_{p,1}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{p,n-2}^{-1}(z), \qquad z \in I_{F^{n-1}(p)}.$$
(3)

It is easy to see that $\phi_{p,n-1}: I_{F^{n-1}(p)} \longrightarrow I_p$ for $p \in E_{\sim}$ are orientationpreserving homeomorphisms. Let $z \in S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F$. There exist a unique $p \in E_{\sim}$ and $k \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $z \in I_{F^k(p)}$. Set

$$\phi(z) := \phi_{p,k}(z).$$

and observe that ϕ maps $S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F$ onto $S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F$ and

$$\phi^n(z) = \begin{cases} \phi_{p,n-1} \circ \ldots \circ \phi_{p,0}(z), & k = 0, \\ \phi_{p,k-1} \circ \ldots \circ \phi_{p,0} \circ \phi_{p,n-1} \circ \ldots \circ \phi_{p,k}(z), & k \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

This and (3) give $\phi^n(z) = z$ for $z \in S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F$.

Now we show that ϕ preserves orientation. To do this, observe that for every $z \in I_p$, where $p \in \mathcal{M}_F$, we have $\phi(z) \in I_{F(p)}$. Fix $u, w, z \in S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F$ such that $u \prec w \prec z$. Notice that if $\{u, w, z\} \subset I_p$ for a $p \in \mathcal{M}_F$, then the definition of ϕ gives $\phi(u) \prec \phi(w) \prec \phi(z)$. Now assume that there exist distinct $p, q \in \mathcal{M}_F$ such that exactly one element from the set $\{u, w, z\}$ belongs to I_p and the rest of them belong to I_q . In view of Lemma 2 in [4], it is sufficient to consider only the case: $(z, u) \subset I_p$ and $w \in I_q$. Hence $(\phi(z), \phi(u)) \subset I_{F(p)}$ and $\phi(w) \in I_{F(q)}$. Since $I_{F(q)} \cap I_{F(p)} = \emptyset$, we have $\phi(u) \prec \phi(w) \prec \phi(z)$. Finally, let $\operatorname{card}(\mathcal{M}_F) \geq 3$ and let $u \in I_p, w \in I_q$ and $z \in I_t$, where $p, q, t \in \mathcal{M}_F$ are such that $p \neq q \neq t \neq p$. The arcs I_p , I_q and I_t are pairwise disjoint, so we have $p \prec q \prec t$. Hence $F(p) \prec F(q) \prec F(t)$. On the other hand, $\phi(u) \in I_{F(p)}$, $\phi(w) \in I_{F(q)}$ and $\phi(z) \in I_{F(t)}$. Thus $\phi(u) \prec \phi(w) \prec \phi(z)$, as $I_{F(p)}, I_{F(q)}$ and $I_{F(t)}$ are pairwise disjoint arcs.

Define the function $\hat{F}: S^1 \longrightarrow S^1$ as follows:

$$\hat{F}(z) := \begin{cases} F(z), & z \in \operatorname{Per} F, \\ \phi(z), & z \in S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, \hat{F} is a surjection. To show that \hat{F} is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism it is sufficient to prove that it preserves orientation. Similarly as above fix $u, w, z \in S^1$ such that $u \prec w \prec z$. By virtue of Lemma 2 in [4] it is enough to consider three cases:

- (i) $\operatorname{card}(\operatorname{Per} F) \geq 3$ and $u, w, z \in \operatorname{Per} F$ or $u, w, z \in S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F$ (this one is clear).
- (ii) $u, z \in \operatorname{Per} F$ and $w \in S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F$. There exists a $p \in \mathcal{M}_F \cap \overline{\langle u, z \rangle}$ such that $w \in I_p$ and $\hat{F}(w) = \phi(w) \in I_{F(p)}$. Thus $F(p) \in \overline{\langle F(u), F(z) \rangle}$. Consequently, $I_{F(p)} \subset \overline{(F(u), F(z))}$. Finally, $\hat{F}(u) \prec \hat{F}(w) \prec \hat{F}(z)$, as $\hat{F}_{|\operatorname{Per} F} = F$.
- (iii) $u, z \in S^1 \setminus \text{Per } F$ and $w \in \text{Per } F$. In this case it may happen that $u, z \in I_p$ for a $p \in M_F$ or $u \in I_p$ and $z \in I_q$ for some $p, q \in \mathcal{M}_F$, $p \neq q$. Suppose that $u, z \in I_p$ for a $p \in M_F$. Then $(z, u) \subset I_p$ and $w \notin I_p$. Hence $\overrightarrow{(\hat{F}(z), \hat{F}(u))} = \overrightarrow{(\phi(z), \phi(u))} \subset I_{F(p)}$ and $\hat{F}(w) = F(w) \notin I_{F(p)}$. Thus $\hat{F}(u) \prec \hat{F}(w) \prec \hat{F}(z)$. Now suppose that $u \in I_p$ and $z \in I_q$ for some $p, q \in \mathcal{M}_F$, $p \neq q$. Then $p \prec u \prec w$ and $w \prec z \prec p$. A similar reasoning to

82 Paweł Solarz

this in (ii) yields $\hat{F}(p) \prec \hat{F}(u) \prec \hat{F}(w)$ and $\hat{F}(w) \prec \hat{F}(z) \prec \hat{F}(p)$. Hence, by Lemma 1 in [3], we obtain $\hat{F}(u) \prec \hat{F}(w) \prec \hat{F}(z)$.

Finally, notice that $\hat{F}_{|O(z)} = F_{|O(z)}$, where $O(z) := \{z, F(z), \ldots, F^{n-1}(z)\}$ for $z \in \operatorname{Per} F$. Thus $\alpha(F) = \alpha(\hat{F})$. Consequently, $\hat{F} \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$, and the proof is completed.

Now we give the proof of Theorem 1. To do this fix $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$, where n > 1. Notice that if $\operatorname{Per} F = S^1$, then, in view of Theorem 4.2 in [7], there exist an orientation-preserving homeomorphism (depending on an arbitrary function) $\psi: S^1 \longrightarrow S^1$ and a $q' \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(q', n) = 1$ such that

$$\psi(F(z)) = e^{2\pi i \frac{q'}{n}} \psi(z), \qquad z \in S^1.$$

The equality $\alpha(F) = \frac{q'}{n}$ follows from the fact that the homeomorphism ψ conjugates F and the rotation $R(z) = e^{2\pi i \frac{q'}{n}} z$ and ψ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism (see Theorem 1 in [8]). Henceforth assume that $\operatorname{Per} F \neq S^1$. Let \hat{F} be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism, which exists by Lemma 1, and let $\hat{\psi}: S^1 \longrightarrow S^1$ be an orientation-preserving homeomorphic solution of

$$\hat{\psi}(\hat{F}(z)) = e^{2\pi i \alpha(F)} \hat{\psi}(z), \qquad z \in S^1.$$

Put $\psi := \hat{\psi}_{|\operatorname{Per} F}$. Observe that ψ : $\operatorname{Per} F \longrightarrow S^1$ is the desired solution of (2).

DEFINITION 1 Given $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$ put

$$\mathcal{M}_F^+ := \left\{ p \in \mathcal{M}_F \mid \overrightarrow{(z, F^n(z))} \subset I_p \text{ for } z \in I_p \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_F^- := \left\{ p \in \mathcal{M}_F \mid \overrightarrow{(F^n(z), z)} \subset I_p \text{ for } z \in I_p \right\}.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{M}_F^+ \cap \mathcal{M}_F^- = \emptyset$. Indeed, if $p \in \mathcal{M}_F^+ \cap \mathcal{M}_F^-$, then for any $z \in I_p$ we would have $\overrightarrow{(F^n(z), z)} \subset I_p$ and $\overrightarrow{(z, F^n(z))} \subset I_p$. Hence $S^1 = I_p$, a contradiction.

Remark 2

From Proposition 1 we get $\mathcal{M}_F^+ \cup \mathcal{M}_F^- = \mathcal{M}_F$ and $F(\mathcal{M}_F^+) \subset \mathcal{M}_F^+$. This inclusion and the fact that $\mathcal{M}_F^+ \subset \operatorname{Per} F$ yield

$$\mathcal{M}_F^+ = F^{n-1}(F(\mathcal{M}_F^+)) \subset F(\mathcal{M}_F^+).$$

Thus for any $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$, we have $\mathcal{M}_F^+ \cup \mathcal{M}_F^- = \mathcal{M}_F$ and $F(\mathcal{M}_F^+) = \mathcal{M}_F^+$.

Since for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$ the sets Per F, \mathcal{M}_F , \mathcal{M}_F^+ and \mathcal{M}_F^- are invariant sets of F we have the following result.

Remark 3

Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$, n > 1, ψ : Per $F \longrightarrow S^1$ be an orientation-preserving continuous solution of (2) and let $X \in \{\operatorname{Per} F, \mathcal{M}_F, \mathcal{M}_F^+, \mathcal{M}_F^-\}$. Then

$$\psi(X) = e^{2\pi i \alpha(F)} \psi(X).$$

3. Main results

Here we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of orientation-preserving continuous iterative roots of order m > 2 of a mapping $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$. Throughout this section we will assume that n > 1. We begin with the following observation.

LEMMA 2 Let $m \ge 2$ be an integer and let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$. Suppose that the equation

$$G^m(z) = F(z), \qquad z \in S^1 \tag{4}$$

has an orientation-preserving continuous solution. Then there are an orientation-preserving continuous solution of (2) and a $j \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ such that

$$e^{2\pi i \frac{\alpha(F)+j}{m}}\psi(X) = \psi(X), \tag{5}$$

where $X \in \{\operatorname{Per} F, \mathcal{M}_F, \mathcal{M}_F^+, \mathcal{M}_F^-\}.$

Proof. Since G satisfies (4), we have $\alpha(F) = m\alpha(G) \pmod{1}$. This yields $\frac{\alpha(F)+j}{m} = \alpha(G)$ for a $j \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$. Theorem 1 implies the existence of an orientation-preserving continuous solution of the following equation

$$\psi(G(z)) = e^{2\pi i \frac{\alpha(F)+j}{m}} \psi(z), \qquad z \in \operatorname{Per} G.$$
(6)

Thus

$$\psi(G^m(z)) = \psi(F(z)) = e^{2\pi i \alpha(F)} \psi(z), \qquad z \in \operatorname{Per} G.$$

Hence and from the fact that $\operatorname{Per} F = \operatorname{Per} G$ implies $\mathcal{M}_F = \mathcal{M}_G$, we get that ψ is a solution of (2) satisfying (5) for $X \in \{\operatorname{Per} F, \mathcal{M}_F\}$. Moreover, $\alpha(G) = \frac{\alpha(F)+j}{m} = \frac{q'}{nl}$, where $q' := \frac{q+jn}{\gcd(q+jn,m)}$, $l := \frac{m}{\gcd(q+jn,m)}$ and $\gcd(q',nl) = 1$, so $G \in \mathcal{F}_{q'nl}$. Hence, if $\operatorname{Per} F \neq S^1$, then $p \in \mathcal{M}_G^+$ gives $\overline{(z, G^{nl}(z))} \subset I_p$ for every $z \in I_p$. Since

$$G^{kln}(z) \in I_p$$
 and $\overline{(G^{kln}(z), G^{(k+1)nl}(z))} \subset I_p$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

we have $\overline{(z, G^{nm}(z))} \subset I_p$. Consequently, $p \in \mathcal{M}_F^+$. Whence $\mathcal{M}_G^+ \subset \mathcal{M}_F^+$. Similarly, $\mathcal{M}_G^- \subset \mathcal{M}_F^-$, so $\mathcal{M}_F \setminus \mathcal{M}_F^- = \mathcal{M}_F^+ \subset \mathcal{M}_G^+ = \mathcal{M}_G \setminus \mathcal{M}_G^-$. Finally, $\mathcal{M}_F^+ = \mathcal{M}_G^+$ and $\mathcal{M}_F^- = \mathcal{M}_G^-$. In view of the above facts and Remark 3 equality (5) holds for $X \in \{\operatorname{Per} F, \mathcal{M}_F, \mathcal{M}_F^+, \mathcal{M}_F^-\}$.

84 Paweł Solarz

Corollary 1

Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$. If $G: S^1 \longrightarrow S^1$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism satisfying (4) for an integer $m \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{M}_F = \mathcal{M}_G$, $\mathcal{M}_F^+ = \mathcal{M}_G^+$ and $\mathcal{M}_F^- = \mathcal{M}_G^-$.

Now suppose that $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$ is such that $\operatorname{Per} F \neq S^1$, m > 1 is an integer and $\psi: \operatorname{Per} F \longrightarrow S^1$ is an orientation-preserving continuous solution of (2) satisfying (5) for $X = \operatorname{Per} F$ and a $j \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$. This fact yields equality (5) for $X = \mathcal{M}_F$. Indeed, put

$$h_{\psi}(z) := \psi^{-1}\left(e^{2\pi i \frac{\alpha(F)+j}{m}}\psi(z)\right), \qquad z \in \operatorname{Per} F.$$
(7)

It is easy to see that h_{ψ} : Per $F \longrightarrow$ Per F is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism. Notice that $z \in \operatorname{Per} F \setminus \mathcal{M}_F \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there exist a $w \in \operatorname{Per} F \setminus \{z\}$ and $z_n \in (\overline{z,w}) \cap \operatorname{Per} F$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $z_n \to z$ as $n \to \infty$. This is equivalent to $h_{\psi}^{-1}(z_n) \to h_{\psi}^{-1}(z)$ as $n \to \infty$ and $h_{\psi}^{-1}(z_n) \in (\overline{h_{\psi}^{-1}(z), h_{\psi}^{-1}(w)}) \cap \operatorname{Per} F$, which gives $h_{\psi}^{-1}(z) \in \operatorname{Per} F \setminus \mathcal{M}_F$ or equivalently $z \in h_{\psi}(\operatorname{Per} F \setminus \mathcal{M}_F)$. Hence $h_{\psi}(\mathcal{M}_F) = \mathcal{M}_F$.

However, (5) with $X = \operatorname{Per} F$ does not imply (5) for $X \in \{\mathcal{M}_F^+, \mathcal{M}_F^-\}$. An example of a function $F \in \mathcal{F}_{1,2}$ such that $\operatorname{Per} F = \mathcal{M}_F = \{1, i, -1, -i\}, \mathcal{M}_F^+ = \{1, -1\}$ may be given. Put $\psi(z) = z$ for $z \in \operatorname{Per} F$. Then ψ is a solution of (2) satisfying (5) for m = 2, j = 0 and $X \in \{\operatorname{Per} F, \mathcal{M}_F\}$, but $e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{4}} \mathcal{M}_F^+ \neq \mathcal{M}_F^+$. Therefore assume subsidiarily that (5) holds for $X = \mathcal{M}_F^+$ and introduce the equivalence relation ρ on \mathcal{M}_F :

$$(p,q) \in \rho \iff \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} \ q = H^k_{\psi}(p), \qquad p,q \in \mathcal{M}_F,$$
(8)

where $H_{\psi} := h_{\psi|\mathcal{M}_F}$ and h_{ψ} is given by (7). Let W_{ρ} be the set of class representatives of ρ .

Notice that (5) with $X = \mathcal{M}_F^+$ yields $[p]_{\rho} \subset \mathcal{M}_F^+$ or $[p]_{\rho} \subset \mathcal{M}_F^-$ for all $p \in W_{\rho}$.

Definition 2

Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$ be such that $\operatorname{Per} F \neq S^1$, m > 1 be an integer, $\psi: \operatorname{Per} F \longrightarrow S^1$ be an orientation-preserving continuous solution of (2) satisfying (5) for $X \in \{\operatorname{Per} F, \mathcal{M}_F^+\}$ and a $j \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ and let W_ρ be the set of class representatives of the relation ρ given by (8). Put

$$m' := \gcd(q + jn, m), \quad l := \frac{m}{m'} \quad \text{and} \quad n' := nl.$$
 (9)

Let $(z_{p,k})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for $p \in W_{\rho}$ be sequences such that the points $z_{p,dn'+r} \in I_{H_{\psi}^{r}(p)}$ for $r \in \{0, \ldots, l-1\}$ and $d \in \{0, \ldots, m'-1\}$ are arbitrary fixed and such that

$$\begin{aligned}
H^r_{\psi}(p) \prec z_{p,r} \prec z_{p,n'+r} \prec \ldots \prec z_{p,(m'-1)n'+r} \prec F^n(z_{p,r}), & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{M}_F^+ \\
\text{or} & (10) \\
H^r_{\psi}(p) \prec F^n(z_{p,r}) \prec z_{p,(m'-1)n'+r} \prec \ldots \prec z_{p,n'+r} \prec z_{p,r}, & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{M}_F^-
\end{aligned}$$

and the remaining points are given by

$$z_{p,k+m} := F(z_{p,k}), \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ p \in W_{\rho}.$$

$$(11)$$

Now we show that the above sequences are well defined and we prove some of their properties.

Lemma 3

Under assumptions of Definition 2, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in W_{\rho}$ there exist unique $s \in \{0, \ldots, m'-1\}, r' \in \{0, \ldots, l-1\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $z_{p,i} = F^k(z_{p,sn'+r'})$. Moreover,

$$\{z_{p,dn'+r}\}_{d\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset I_{H^{r}_{\psi}(p)}, \qquad p \in W_{\rho}, \ r \in \{0,\dots,n'-1\},$$
(12)

and for any $p \in W_{\rho}$, $[p]_{\rho} \subset \mathcal{M}_{F}^{+}$ (resp. $[p]_{\rho} \subset \mathcal{M}_{F}^{-}$) if and only if

$$z_{p,an'+r} \prec z_{p,bn'+r} \prec z_{p,cn'+r} \quad (resp. \ z_{p,cn'+r} \prec z_{p,bn'+r} \prec z_{p,an'+r}) \quad (13)$$

for any $r \in \{0, \ldots, n'-1\}$ and all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that a < b < c.

Proof. Fix $p \in W_{\rho}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write i = dn' + r, where $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in \{0, \ldots, n' - 1\}$. If $d \in \{0, \ldots, m' - 1\}$ and $r \in \{0, \ldots, l - 1\}$, then by Definition 2, s = d, r' = r, k = 0 and obviously $z_{p,dn'+r} \in I_{H_{v}^{r}(p)}$.

Suppose that $d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \ldots, m'-1\}$ and $r \in \{0, \ldots, l-1\}$. Put $t = \left\lfloor \frac{d}{m'} \right\rfloor$ ([x] denotes the integer part of x), k = tn, s = d - tm' and r' = r. Notice that d = tm' + s, $s \in \{0, \ldots, m'-1\}$ and by (11),

$$F^{tn}(z_{p,sn'+r}) = z_{p,sn'+r+mtn} = z_{p,(tm'+s)n'+r} = z_{p,dn'+r}.$$
 (14)

Since $F^{tn}(I_u) = I_u$ for $u \in \mathcal{M}_F$ and $z_{p,sn'+r} \in I_{H^r_{\psi}(p)}$, by (14) we have $z_{p,dn'+r} \in I_{H^r_{\psi}(p)}$.

Finally assume that $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in \{l, \ldots, n'-1\}$. As gcd(q, n) = 1 and m' = gcd(q + jn, m) we have gcd(m', n) = 1. Hence there exists a unique $b \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $m'b = 1 \pmod{n}$. Set $a_r := \left[\frac{r}{l}\right], r' = r - a_r l$ and $k_r := a_r b \pmod{n}$. Thus $m'k_r = a_r \pmod{n}$ which, in view of the fact that $r = a_r l + r'$, gives $mk_r + r' = r \pmod{n'}$ and, in consequence,

$$mk_r + r' = xn' + r$$
 for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. (15)

This time put $t_r := \left[\frac{d-x}{m'}\right]$, $k = k_r + t_r n$ and $s = d - x - t_r m'$. Then

$$F^{k_r+t_rn}(z_{p,sn'+r'}) = z_{p,\left(d-\frac{k_rm+r'-r}{n'}\right)n'+r'+k_rm} = z_{p,dn'+r}.$$

Since $r' \in \{0, \ldots, l-1\}$ and $d-x \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain $z_{p,(d-x)n'+r'} \in I_{H^{r'}_{+}(p)}$. To

prove $z_{p,dn'+r} \in I_{H^r_{\psi}(p)}$ it is enough to show that $F^{k_r}(H^{r'}_{\psi}(p)) = H^r_{\psi}(p)$. Notice that from (7),

$$H^m_{\psi}(z) = \psi^{-1}(e^{2\pi i \frac{q}{n}}\psi(z)) = F(z), \qquad z \in \mathcal{M}_F.$$

This, (15) and the fact that $H_{\psi}^{xn'}(p) = p$ yield

$$F^{k_r}(H^{r'}_{\psi}(p)) = H^{mk_r+r'}_{\psi}(p) = H^{xn'+r}_{\psi}(p) = H^r_{\psi}(p).$$

The proof of the remaining part of the lemma runs in the same way as the proof of the second assertion of Lemma 7 in [20] (it is enough to take $H^r_{\psi}(p)$, r_1 , and k_r instead of $a_{R_{N_F}(i+rk'q')}$, $R_l(r)$ and p_r , respectively).

Let $(z_{p,k})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where $p\in W_{\rho}$, be the family of sequences given by (10) and (11). Define the following families of arcs:

$$L_{p,k} := \begin{cases} \overline{\langle z_{p,k}, z_{p,k+n'} \rangle}, & p \in \mathcal{M}_F^+, \\ \overline{\langle z_{p,k+n'}, z_{p,k} \rangle}, & p \in \mathcal{M}_F^- \end{cases} \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ p \in W_\rho.$$
(16)

From Lemma 3 it follows that

$$F(L_{p,k}) = L_{p,k+m}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ p \in W_{\rho}.$$

Lemma 4

Under assumptions of Definition 2 if for any $p \in W_{\rho}$ the sequences $(z_{p,k})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are given by (10) and (11) and $\{L_{p,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are the families of arcs defined by (16), then

$$\bigcup_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} L_{p,dn'+r} = I_{H^r_{\psi}(p)}, \qquad r \in \{0, \dots, n'-1\}.$$
(17)

Proof. Fix $r \in \{0, \ldots, n'-1\}$ and suppose that $p \in W_{\rho} \cap \mathcal{M}_{F}^{+}$. From (13) we have $z_{p,dn'+r} \in \overline{\langle z_{p,(d-1)n'+r}, z_{p,(d+1)n'+r} \rangle}$ for $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence by (12) and (16),

$$L_{p,dn'+r} \subset \overrightarrow{\langle z_{p,(d-1)n'+r}, z_{p,(d+1)n'+r} \rangle} \subset I_{H^r_{\psi}(p)}, \qquad d \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Thus

$$\bigcup_{d\in\mathbb{Z}} L_{p,dn'+r} \subset I_{H^r_{\psi}(p)} \,.$$

To prove the converse inclusion fix $z \in I_{H^r_{\psi}(p)}$. By Lemma 4 in [21] (see also Remark 3 in [20]) we have

$$I_{H^r_{\psi}(p)} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\langle F^{kn}(z_{p,r}), F^{(k+1)n}(z_{p,r}) \rangle}.$$

Hence $z \in \overline{\langle F^{k_0n}(z_{p,r}), F^{(k_0+1)n}(z_{p,r}) \rangle}$ for a $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, by (11) and (13),

$$\overrightarrow{\langle F^{k_0n}(z_{p,r}), F^{(k_0+1)n}(z_{p,r}) \rangle} = \overrightarrow{\langle z_{p,k_0nm+r}, z_{p,(k_0+1)nm+r} \rangle}$$
$$= \bigcup_{s=0}^{m'} L_{p,k_0nm+sn'+r}$$
$$\subset \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} L_{p,kn'+r}.$$

This ends the proof.

Theorem 2

Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$ be such that $\operatorname{Per} F \neq S^1$, $m \geq 2$ be an integer and let ψ : $\operatorname{Per} F \longrightarrow S^1$ be an orientation-preserving continuous solution of (2) satisfying (5) for $X \in \{\operatorname{Per} F, \mathcal{M}_F^+\}$ and a $j \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$. Suppose that W_ρ is the selector of ρ given by (8), $(z_{p,k})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for $p \in W_\rho$ are the families of sequences given by (10) and (11) and $\{L_{p,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for $p \in W_\rho$ are the families of arcs defined by (16). If $G_{p,k}: L_{p,k} \longrightarrow L_{p,k+1}$ for $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-2\}$ and $p \in W_\rho$ are orientation-preserving surjections, then there exists a unique orientation-preserving homeomorphism $G: S^1 \longrightarrow S^1$ satisfying (4) and such that

$$G_{|L_{p,k}} = G_{p,k}$$
 for $p \in W_{\rho}$ and $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-2\}$.

Moreover, $\alpha(G) = \frac{q+jn}{nm}$.

Proof. Some parts of the proof of this theorem are similar to the proof of Theorem 5 from [20]. Here we give only the sketch of these parts. For the details we refer the reader to [20]. Fix $p \in W_{\rho}$ and orientation-preserving surjections $G_{p,k}: L_{p,k} \longrightarrow L_{p,k+1}$ for $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-2\}$. Put

$$G_{p,m-1} := F \circ G_{p,0}^{-1} \circ G_{p,1}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ G_{p,m-2}^{-1} .$$
(18)

For the remaining integers k there exist unique $d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and an $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ such that k = md + r. For such k's define

$$G_{p,k} = G_{p,md+r} := F^d \circ G_{p,r} \circ F^{-d}_{|L_{p,k}}.$$
(19)

It might be shown that $G_{p,k}(L_{p,k}) = L_{p,k+1}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_{p,k}: L_{p,k} \longrightarrow L_{p,k+1}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ are orientation-preserving surjections.

Now fix $z \in S^1 \setminus \text{Per } F$. There exist a $p \in W_{\rho}$ and an $r \in \{0, \ldots, n'-1\}$, where n' is determined by (9), such that $z \in I_{H^r_{\psi}(p)}$. By (17), $z \in L_{p,dn'+r}$ for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Notice that such a d is unique. Indeed, the assumption $L_{p,cn'+r} \cap L_{p,dn'+r} \neq \emptyset$ for some $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, c \neq d$, contradicts (13). Define a function $\widetilde{G}: S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F \longrightarrow S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F$ as follows:

$$\widetilde{G}(z) := G_{p,dn'+r}(z), \quad z \in L_{p,dn'+r}, \ p \in W_{\rho}, \ d \in \mathbb{Z}, \ r \in \{0, \dots, n'-1\}.$$
(20)

Notice that for every $u \in \mathcal{M}_F$ there exist unique $p \in W_\rho$ and $r \in \{0, \ldots, n'-1\}$ such that $u = H^r_{\psi}(p)$. Therefore by (20), (17) and the properties of $G_{p,k}$ we have

$$\widetilde{G}(I_u) = \widetilde{G}(I_{H_{\psi}^r(p)}) = \widetilde{G}\left(\bigcup_{d\in\mathbb{Z}} L_{p,dn'+r}\right) = \bigcup_{d\in\mathbb{Z}} L_{p,dn'+r+1} = I_{H_{\psi}^{r+1}(p)}$$
$$= I_{H_{\psi}(u)}$$

(if r + 1 = n' we use the equality $H_{\psi}^{n'}(p) = p$).

It is easy to see that $\widetilde{G}: S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F \longrightarrow S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F$ is a surjection. By induction it can be proved that \widetilde{G} satisfies

$$\widetilde{G}^m(z) = F(z), \qquad z \in S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F.$$
 (21)

Moreover, using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 5 in [20] (the proof of 1^{o}) it can be shown that \widetilde{G} preserves orientation on every I_{p} for $p \in \mathcal{M}_{F}$.

We are now in a position to define the solution of (4). Namely, put

$$G(z) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{G}(z), & z \in S^1 \setminus \operatorname{Per} F, \\ h_{\psi}(z), & z \in \operatorname{Per} F, \end{cases}$$
(22)

where h_{ψ} is defined by (7). It is easy to see that G maps S^1 onto itself. Furthermore, setting $F = h_{\psi}$ and $\phi = \tilde{G}$ and repeating the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 1 (i.e., the proof of the fact that \hat{F} preserves orientation) one can obtain that G preserves orientation. Since S^1 is a closed set, it follows that G is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism. Moreover, (7) and (21) imply that G satisfies (4).

It remains to show that $\alpha(G) = \frac{q+jn}{nm}$. From Lemma 1 there exists an orientation-preserving homeomorphism \hat{G} such that $\alpha(\hat{G}) = \alpha(G)$, $\hat{G}(z) = G(z)$ for $z \in \operatorname{Per} F = \operatorname{Per} G$ and $\operatorname{Per} \hat{G} = S^1$. From Theorem 4.2 in [7] it follows that \hat{G} is conjugated to a rotation. On the other hand, by (22), $\hat{G}(z) = h_{\psi}(z)$ for $z \in \operatorname{Per} F$. By (7) we get that \hat{G} is conjugated to $R(z) = e^{2\pi i \frac{q+jn}{mn}} z$, $z \in S^1$. Hence $\alpha(\hat{G}) = \frac{q+jn}{mn}$ (see Theorem 1 in [8]), and the assertion follows.

Remark 4

Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$ is such that $\operatorname{Per} F \neq S^1$. Then every continuous and orientation-preserving solution G of (4) with $\alpha(G) = \frac{\alpha(F)+jn}{mn}$, where $j \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$

 $\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$, may be obtained by the method described in the proof of Theorem 2. Indeed, suppose that $G: S^1 \longrightarrow S^1$ is a solution of (4) for an integer $m \geq 2$. Then $\alpha(G) = \frac{\alpha(F)+jn}{mn}$ for a $j \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$. Furthermore, by (4), Per $F = \operatorname{Per} G$, $\mathcal{A}_F = \mathcal{A}_G$ and, by Corollary 1, $\mathcal{M}_F = \mathcal{M}_G$, $\mathcal{M}_F^+ = \mathcal{M}_G^+$ and $\mathcal{M}_F^- = \mathcal{M}_G^-$. Lemma 2 implies that there exists an orientation-preserving continuous mapping ψ : Per $F \longrightarrow S^1$ satisfying (6). Put $h_{\psi} := G_{|\operatorname{Per} G}$ and $H_{\psi} := G_{|\mathcal{M}_G}$. By (6), h_{ψ} satisfies (7) and $H_{\psi} = h_{\psi|\mathcal{M}_G}$. Notice that

$$G(I_p) = I_{G(p)} = I_{H_{\psi}(p)}, \qquad p \in \mathcal{M}_G.$$

$$(23)$$

Let ρ be the relation on $\mathcal{M}_G = \mathcal{M}_F$ given by (8) and let W_ρ be its selector. Fix $p \in W_\rho$, $z_{p,0} \in I_p$ and put

$$z_{p,k} := G^k(z_{p,0}), \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

$$(24)$$

Obviously, $(z_{p,k})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies (11). Moreover, (23) and the fact that $H^{n'} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}_F}$, where n' is given in (9), yield

$$z_{p,dn'+r} = G^{dn'+r}(z_{p,0}) \in I_{H^{dn'+r}_{\psi}(p)} = I_{H^{r}_{\psi}(p)},$$

$$d \in \mathbb{Z}, \ r \in \{0, \dots, n'-1\}.$$
(25)

By Definition 1, since n' is the minimal number such that $G^{n'}(z) = z$ for $z \in \operatorname{Per} G$ and $\mathcal{M}_F^+ = \mathcal{M}_G^+$, we have $\overline{\langle z_{p,0}, z_{p,n'} \rangle} \subset I_p$, if $p \in \mathcal{M}_G^+$ and $\overline{\langle z_{p,n'}, z_{p,0} \rangle} \subset I_p$, if $p \in \mathcal{M}_G^-$. Hence in view of (24), (25) and the fact that G preserves orientation we get

 $\overrightarrow{\langle z_{p,(d+1)n'+r}, z_{p,dn'+r}\rangle} \subset I_{H_{\psi}^{r}(p)}, \qquad (\text{resp. } \overrightarrow{\langle z_{p,dn'+r}, z_{p,(d+1)n'+r}\rangle} \subset I_{H_{\psi}^{r}(p)})$ for $d \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \{0, \dots, n'-1\}$ and $p \in \mathcal{M}_{G}^{+}$ (resp. $p \in \mathcal{M}_{G}^{-}$). Consequently,

$$H^r_{\psi}(p) \prec z_{p,r} \prec z_{p,n'+r} \prec \ldots \prec z_{p,(m'-1)n'+r} \prec G^{m \ n} \ (z_{p,r}) = F^n(z_{p,r})$$
(resp. $H^r_{\psi}(p) \prec F^n(z_{p,r}) = G^{m'n'}(z_{p,r}) \prec z_{p,(m'-1)n'+r} \prec \ldots \prec z_{p,n'+r} \prec z_{p,r})$.
Let $\{L_{p,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be defined by (16). Notice that

$$G(L_{p,k}) = L_{p,k+1}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(26)

Now put

$$G_{p,k} := G_{|L_{p,k}}, \qquad p \in W_{\rho}, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(27)

From (4), (26) and (27) we have

$$F_{|L_{p,0}} = G_{p,m-1} \circ G_{p,m-2} \circ \ldots \circ G_{p,1} \circ G_{p,0}, \qquad p \in W_{\rho}$$

thus (18) holds. Furthermore, (4) implies $G \circ F = F \circ G$. Thus $G \circ F^k = F^k \circ G$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. From this, (26) and (27) we get (19).

90 Paweł Solarz

Theorem 2 and Remark 4 solve the problem of the existence of iterative roots of homeomorphisms having the set of periodic points different from the whole circle. Notice that if $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$ is such that $\operatorname{Per} F = S^1$, then taking $G := h_{\psi}$, where h_{ψ} is defined by (7), we get $G^m = F$. To sum up, we have obtained the following result.

Theorem 3

Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer and let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$. Equation (4) has orientationpreserving and continuous solution if and only if an orientation-preserving continuous solution ψ : Per $F \longrightarrow S^1$ of (2) satisfies (5) for $X \in \{\text{Per } F, \mathcal{M}_F^+\}$ and for a $j \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$. Moreover, if Per $F \neq S^1$, then for all ψ and jsatisfying (5) for $X \in \{\text{Per } F, \mathcal{M}_F^+\}$ there exist infinitely many solutions of (4).

The following remark results from the above theorem. It answers the question of the existence of the iterative roots of the mapping $F_{|\operatorname{Per} F}$, where $F: S^1 \longrightarrow S^1$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism having periodic points.

Remark 5

Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer and let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$. The mapping $F_{|\operatorname{Per} F}$: $\operatorname{Per} F \longrightarrow$ Per F has continuous and orientation-preserving iterative roots of order m if and only if some orientation-preserving continuous solution ψ : $\operatorname{Per} F \longrightarrow S^1$ of (2) satisfies

$$e^{2\pi i \frac{\alpha(F)+j}{m}} \psi(\operatorname{Per} F) = \psi(\operatorname{Per} F)$$

for some $j \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$.

We conclude with an observation concerning homeomorphisms with a finite and non-empty set of periodic points.

Theorem 4

Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{F}_{q,n}$ is such that $1 < \operatorname{card}(\operatorname{Per} F) =: N_F < \infty$ and $m \geq 2$ is an integer. Let moreover ψ_1 and ψ_2 be orientation-preserving continuous solutions of (2) satisfying (5) for $X \in \{\operatorname{Per} F, \mathcal{M}_F^+\}$ and a $j \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ and let $h_{\psi_1}, h_{\psi_2}: \operatorname{Per} F \longrightarrow \operatorname{Per} F$ be defined by (7). Then $h_{\psi_1}(z) = h_{\psi_2}(z)$ for $z \in \operatorname{Per} F$.

In the proof of Theorem 4 we will use the following proposition, which is a slightly modified Theorem 3 from [21] (see also Theorem 2 in [20]).

Proposition 2

Suppose that $F: S^1 \longrightarrow S^1$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism such that $1 < \operatorname{card}(\operatorname{Per} F) =: N_F < \infty$. Let $z_0 \in \operatorname{Per} F$ be an arbitrary element and let $z_1, \ldots, z_{N_F-1} \in \operatorname{Per} F$ satisfy the following condition:

$$\operatorname{Arg} \frac{z_p}{z_0} < \operatorname{Arg} \frac{z_{p+1}}{z_0}, \qquad p \in \{0, \dots, N_F - 2\}.$$

Then $\alpha(F) = \frac{q}{n}$, where $0 \le q < n$ and gcd(q, n) = 1, if and only if

$$F(z_p) = z_{(p+k_Fq) \pmod{N_F}}, \qquad p \in \{0, \dots, N_F - 1\},\$$

where $k_F := \frac{N_F}{n}$.

Proof of Theorem 4. In view of Theorem 2 there exist orientation-preserving homeomorphisms G_1 and G_2 such that $\operatorname{Per} G_i = \operatorname{Per} F$, $G_i^m = F$ and $\alpha(G_i) = \frac{q+jn}{mn} = \frac{q'}{n'}$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, where $q' := \frac{q+jn}{m'}$ and m', n' are given in (9). Moreover, $G_i(z) = h_{\psi_i}(z)$ for $z \in \operatorname{Per} F$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Let $z_0, \ldots, z_{N_F-1} \in \operatorname{Per} F$ be defined as in Proposition 2 and let $K := \frac{N_F}{n'} = k_{G_1} = k_{G_2}$. By Proposition 2 we have

$$h_{\psi_1}(z_p) = G_1(z_p) = z_{(p+Kq') \pmod{N_F}} = G_2(z_p)$$

= $h_{\psi_2}(z_p)$

for every $p \in \{0, \ldots, N_F - 1\}$. Thus the assertion follows.

The property described in Theorem 4 does not have to occur for homeomorphisms with infinitely many periodic points. For example, let $F(z) = e^{\pi i z}$ for $z \in S^1$ and let m = 2. Then $F \in \mathcal{F}_{1,2}$, $\mathcal{M}_F^+ = \emptyset$ and $\operatorname{Per} F = S^1$. Put $\psi_1(z) = z$ for $z \in S^1$ and $\psi_2(e^{2\pi i x}) = e^{2\pi i d(x)}$ for $x \in (0, 1)$, where

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} -2x^2 + 2x, & x \in \langle 0, \frac{1}{2} \rangle, \\ -2\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + 2\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}, & x \in \langle \frac{1}{2}, 1 \rangle. \end{cases}$$

Notice that ψ_1 and ψ_2 satisfy (2) and (5) for $X \in \{\operatorname{Per} F, \mathcal{M}_F^+\}$ and j = 0, but $h_{\psi_1} \neq h_{\psi_2}$.

References

- Ch. Babbage, Essay towards the calculus of functions, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. (1815), 389-423; II, ibid. (1816), 179-256.
- [2] M. Bajger, On the structure of some flows on the unit circle, Aequationes Math. 55 (1998), 106-121.
- [3] K. Ciepliński, General construction of non-dense disjoint iteration groups on the circle, Czechoslovak Math. J. 55 (2005), 1079-1088.
- [4] K. Ciepliński, On the embeddability of a homeomorphism of the unit circle in disjoint iteration groups, Publ. Math. Debrecen 55 (1999), no. 3-4, 363-383.
- K. Ciepliński, On conjugacy of disjoint iteration groups on the unit circle, European Conference on Iteration Theory (Muszyna Złockie, 1998), Ann. Math. Sil. 13 (1999), 103-118.

- [6] K. Ciepliński, The rotation number of the composition of homeomorphisms, Rocznik Nauk.-Dydakt. Prace Mat. 17 (2000), 83-87.
- [7] K. Ciepliński, M.C. Zdun, On a system of Schröder equations on the circle, Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg. 13 (2003), 1883-1888.
- [8] K. Ciepliński, M.C. Zdun, On semi-conjugacy equation for homeomorphisms of the circle, in: Functional Equations – Results and Advances, Adv. Math. (Dordr.) 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht 2002, 135-158.
- [9] I.P. Cornfeld, S.V. Fomin, Y.G. Sinai, *Ergodic theory*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 245, Spirnger-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1982.
- [10] R. Isaacs, Iterates of fractional order, Canad. J. Math. 2 (1950), 409-416.
- [11] W. Jarczyk, Babagge equation on the circle, Publ. Math. Debrecen 63 (2003), no. 3, 389-400.
- [12] M. Kuczma, On the functional equation $\varphi^n(x) = g(x)$, Ann. Polon. Math. 11 (1961), 161-175.
- [13] M. Kuczma, Functional Equations in a single variable, Monografie Mat. 46 Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1968.
- [14] M. Kuczma, B. Choczewski, R. Ger, *Iterative functional equations*, Encyclopaedia Math. Appl. **32**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [15] S. Łojasiewicz, Solution générale de l'équation fonctionnelle $f(f \dots f(x) \dots) = g(x)$, Ann. Soc. Polon. Math. **24** (1951), 88-91.
- [16] J.H. Mai, Conditions for the existence of Nth iterative roots of self-homeomorphisms of the circle, Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.) 30 (1987), no. 2, 280-283.
- [17] W. de Melo, S. van Strein, One-dimensional dynamics, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3), [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)] 25, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [18] P. Solarz, On iterative roots of a homeomorphism of the circle with an irrational rotation number, Math. Pannon. 13 (2002), no. 1, 137-145.
- [19] P. Solarz, On some iterative roots, Publ. Math. Debrecen 63 (2003), no. 4, 677-692.
- [20] P. Solarz, Iterative roots of some homeomorphism with a rational rotation number, Aequationes Math. 72 (2006), no. 1-2, 152-171.
- [21] P. Solarz, On some propreties of orientation-preserving surjections of the circle, (to appear).
- [22] P. Walters, An Introduction to Ergodic Theory, Grad. Texts in Math. 79, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1982.
- [23] M.C. Zdun, On embedding of homeomorphisms of the circle in continuous flow, Iteration theory and its functional equations, (Lochau 1984), Lecture Notes in Math. 1163, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1985, 218-231.
- [24] M.C. Zdun, On iterative roots of homeomorphisms of the circle, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. 48 (2000), no. 2, 203-213.

[25] G. Zimmermann, Über die Existenz iterativer Wurzeln von Abbildungen, Doctoral dissertation, University of Marburg 1978.

> Institute of Mathematics Pedagogical University ul. Podchorążych 2 PL-30-084 Kraków Poland E-mail: psolarz@ap.krakow.pl

Received: 18 January 2007; final version: 11 April 2007; available online: 6 June 2007.