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Abstract. All analytic solutions of the functional equation

$$
|f(r \exp (i \theta))|^{2}+|f(1)|^{2}=|f(r)|^{2}+|f(\exp (i \theta))|^{2}
$$

in the annulus

$$
P:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: 1-\epsilon<|z|<1+\epsilon\}
$$

and in the domain

$$
D:=\left\{z=r e^{i \theta} \in \mathbb{C}: 1-\epsilon<r<1+\epsilon, \theta \in(-\delta, \delta)\right\},
$$

are found.

## 1. Introduction

Hiroshi Haruki in [1] studied the following functional equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(r \exp (i \theta))|^{2}+|f(1)|^{2}=|f(r)|^{2}+|f(\exp (i \theta))|^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(r \exp (i \theta))|=|f(r)|, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r>0, \theta$ are real. Equation (1) can be obtained from (2). In fact, let us put $r=1$ in (2). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(\exp (i \theta))|=|f(1)| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Next squaring (2) and (3) and adding them together we infer (1). Thus (1) is a generalization of (2), i.e., if $f$ is a solution of (2), then it is a solution of (1). In paper [1] H. Haruki showed that all analytic solutions in $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ of (1) which are analytic at 0 or have a pole at this point can be written as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=A z^{p}+B z^{-p}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B$ are complex constants and $p$ is an integer.
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We are going to prove that the functions of the form (4) are unique analytic solutions of (1) in the annulus

$$
P:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: 1-\epsilon<|z|<1+\epsilon\}
$$

where $0<\epsilon \leq 1$ is a constant. We shall also find all analytic solutions of (1) in the domain

$$
D:=\left\{z=r e^{i \theta} \in \mathbb{C}: 1-\epsilon<r<1+\epsilon, \theta \in(-\delta, \delta)\right\}
$$

where $0<\epsilon \leq 1$ and $0<\delta \leq \pi$ are given constants. Moreover, we shall determinate all analytic solutions in $P$ and in $D$ of (2) and of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(r \exp (i \theta))|=|f(\exp (i \theta))| . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, (1) is also a generalization of (5).

## 2. Solutions of (1), (2) and (5) in $P$

In this section we will be concerned with analytic solutions of equations (1), (2) and (5) in the annulus $P$.

## Theorem 1

If $f$ is an analytic solution of (1) in $P$, then there exist complex constants $A, B$ and an integer $p$ such that (4) is valid. Conversely, for every complex constants $A, B$ and for every integer $p, f$ given by (4) is a solution of (1).

Proof. It is easy to check that $f$ given by (4) satisfies (1). The function $f(z) \equiv 0$ in $P$ is a solution of (1) of the form (4). Suppose that an analytic function $f$ is a solution of $(1)$ and $f \not \equiv 0$. Of course,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \overline{f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}+|f(1)|^{2}=|f(r)|^{2}+\left|f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in(1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon)$. Differentiating (6) at first with respect to $r$ and then with respect to $\theta$ we successively infer

$$
e^{i \theta} f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \overline{f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}+e^{-i \theta} f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \overline{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}=\frac{d}{d r}|f(r)|^{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
r e^{2 i \theta} & f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \overline{f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}-r e^{-2 i \theta} f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \overline{f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}+e^{i \theta} f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \overline{f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)} \\
& \quad-e^{-i \theta} f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \overline{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us multiply the obtained equality by $r$ and replace $r e^{i \theta}$ by $z$. Then
i.e.,

$$
z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z) \overline{f(z)}-\bar{z}^{2} f(z) \overline{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}+z f^{\prime}(z) \overline{f(z)}-\bar{z} f(z) \overline{f^{\prime}(z)}=0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Im\left[z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z) \overline{f(z)}+z f^{\prime}(z) \overline{f(z)}\right]=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in P$. Since $f \not \equiv 0$, we can find a disc $V \subset P$ such that $f(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in V$. The equality $\overline{f(z)}=\frac{|f(z)|^{2}}{f(z)}$, valid in this disc, and (7) imply

$$
\Im\left[\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right]=0
$$

for all $z \in V$. Since an analytic function preserves domains, there exists a real constant $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)-k f(z)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in V$. By the Identity Theorem formula (8) remains valid in $P$. (The above part of the proof is due to H. Haruki, see [1], pp. 130-131). We can find complex numbers $a_{n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $z \in P$,

$$
f(z)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

Since

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n-1}, \quad f^{\prime \prime}(z)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} z^{n-2}
$$

we conclude that

$$
0=z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)-k f(z)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}[n(n-1)+n-k] a_{n} z^{n}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n^{2}-k\right) a_{n}=0 \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_{p} \neq 0$. It is possible as $f \neq 0$. From (9) we get that $p^{2}=k$ and

$$
\left(n^{2}-p^{2}\right) a_{n}=0 \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

So, if $n^{2} \neq p^{2}$, then $a_{n}=0$, whence it follows that $a_{n}=0$ for all $n \neq p$ and $n \neq-p$. Thus

$$
f(z)=a_{p} z^{p}+a_{-p} z^{-p}
$$

for $z \in P$, as desired.
The following two lemmas are quite obvious.
Lemma 1
If the equality

$$
A e^{i a \theta}+\bar{A} e^{-i a \theta}=A+\bar{A}
$$

holds true for all $\theta \in(-\delta, \delta)$, where $A$ is a complex constant, $a \neq 0$ is a real one, then $A=0$.

Lemma 2
If the equality

$$
\alpha e^{a \theta}+\beta e^{-a \theta}=\alpha+\beta
$$

holds true for all $\theta \in(-\delta, \delta)$, where $a \neq 0, \alpha, \beta$ are real constants, then $\alpha=\beta=0$.

Now we will consider equation (2). As we mentioned above, every solution of (2) is a solution of (1). Thus if $f$ is an analytic solution of (2), then $f$ has to be of form (4) for some complex constants $A, B$ and some integer $p$. Assume that $p \neq 0$. Substituting (4) to (2) we get

$$
A \bar{B} e^{2 i p \theta}+\bar{A} B e^{-2 i p \theta}=A \bar{B}+\bar{A} B, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Lemma 1 yields $A=0$ or $B=0$. Thus we have

## Theorem 2

If $f$ is an analytic solution of (2) in the annulus $P$, then there exist a complex constant $A$ and an integer $p$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=A z^{p} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, for every complex constant $A$ and for every integer $p$, the function $f$ given by (10) is a solution of (2).

## Theorem 3

Every analytic solution of (5) in the annulus $P$ is a constant function.

Proof. Suppose that $f$ is a solution of (5). Then $f$ has to be of form (4). We may assume that $p \neq 0$. Combining (4) with (5) we obtain

$$
|A|^{2} r^{2 p}+|B|^{2} r^{-2 p}=|A|^{2}+|B|^{2} \quad \text { for all } r \in(1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon) .
$$

Lemma 2 shows that $A=B=0$, which completes the proof.

## 3. Solutions of (1), (2) and (5) in $D$

In this part of the paper we shall find all analytic solutions of equations (1), (2) and (5) in the domain $D:=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: 1-\epsilon<r<1+\epsilon, \theta \in(-\delta, \delta)\right\}$, where $0<\epsilon \leq 1$ and $0<\delta \leq \pi$. In the sequel $z^{a}$ denotes the principal branch
of the power in $D$ and $\log z$ is the principal branch of the $\operatorname{logarithm}$ of $z$, i.e., $z^{a}=\exp (a \log z)$ and $\log z=\log |z|+i \arg z$ for $z \in D$, where $\arg z \in(-\delta, \delta)$.

Theorem 4
If an analytic function $f$ satisfies (1) in $D$, then there exist complex constants $A, B$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ or $a \in i \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=A z^{a}+B z^{-a} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, every function $f$ of form (11) with arbitrary complex constants $A$, $B$ and arbitrary real or purely imaginary constant $a$ is a solution of (1).

Proof. We may repeat the argument of the proof of Theorem 1. Thus we observe that if an analytic function $f$ satisfies (1) in $D$, then it has to be a solution of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)-k f(z)=0, \quad z \in D \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is a real constant. Let

$$
G=\{\log z: z \in D\} .
$$

Of course, $G$ is a domain. We define a function $g: G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as follows

$$
g(u):=f\left(e^{u}\right)
$$

$g$ is analytic, $f(z)=g(\log z)$ for $z \in D$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{u} f^{\prime}\left(e^{u}\right)=g^{\prime}(u), \quad e^{2 u} f^{\prime \prime}\left(e^{u}\right)=g^{\prime \prime}(u)-g^{\prime}(u), \quad u \in G . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (12) that

$$
e^{2 u} f^{\prime \prime}\left(e^{u}\right)+e^{u} f^{\prime}\left(e^{u}\right)-k f\left(e^{u}\right)=0 \quad \text { for all } u \in G,
$$

whence by (13)

$$
g^{\prime \prime}(u)-k g(u)=0, \quad u \in G .
$$

Solving this differential equation we get

$$
g(u)=A e^{a u}+B e^{-a u}
$$

where $A, B$ are suitable complex constants and $a^{2}=k$. So $a$ is a real constant or $a=i c$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Putting $u=\log z$ we obtain (11). The first assertion of the theorem follows.

For the second conclusion, let us take arbitrarily $a \in \mathbb{R}, A, B \in \mathbb{C}$ and let $f$ be given by (11). We observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) & =A r^{a} e^{i \theta a}+B r^{-a} e^{-i \theta a}, & f\left(e^{i \theta}\right) & =A e^{i \theta a}+B e^{-i \theta a}, \\
f(r) & =A r^{a}+B r^{-a}, & f(1) & =A+B .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}+|f(1)|^{2} \\
& \quad=\left(A r^{a} e^{i \theta a}+B r^{-a} e^{-i \theta a}\right)\left(\bar{A} r^{a} e^{-i \theta a}+\bar{B} r^{-a} e^{i \theta a}\right)+(A+B)(\bar{A}+\bar{B}) \\
& \quad=|A|^{2} r^{2 a}+|B|^{2} r^{-2 a}+A \bar{B} e^{2 i \theta a}+\bar{A} B e^{-2 i \theta a}+|A|^{2}+|B|^{2}+A \bar{B}+\bar{A} B
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}+|f(r)|^{2} \\
& \quad=\left(A e^{i \theta a}+B e^{-i \theta a}\right)\left(\bar{A} e^{-i \theta a}+\bar{B} e^{i \theta a}\right)+\left(A r^{a}+B r^{-a}\right)\left(\bar{A} r^{a}+\bar{B} r^{-a}\right) \\
& \quad=|A|^{2}+|B|^{2}+A \bar{B} e^{2 i \theta a}+\bar{A} B e^{-2 i \theta a}+|A|^{2} r^{2 a}+|B|^{2} r^{-2 a}+A \bar{B}+\bar{A} B
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we assume that $a=i c$, where $c \in R$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) & =A e^{i c(\log r+i \theta)}+B e^{-i c(\log r+i \theta)} \\
& =A e^{-c \theta} e^{i c \log r}+B e^{c \theta} e^{-i c \log r}, \\
f\left(e^{i \theta}\right) & =A e^{-c \theta}+B e^{c \theta}, \\
f(r) & =A e^{i c \log r}+B e^{-i c \log r}, \\
f(1) & =A+B .
\end{aligned}
$$

These formulas lead to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}+|f(1)|^{2} \\
&=\left(A e^{-c \theta} e^{i c \log r}+B e^{c \theta} e^{-i c \log r}\right)\left(\bar{A} e^{-c \theta} e^{-i c \log r}+\bar{B} e^{c \theta} e^{i c \log r}\right)+|A+B|^{2} \\
&=|A|^{2} e^{-2 c \theta}+|B|^{2} e^{2 c \theta}+A \bar{B} e^{2 i c \log r}+\bar{A} B e^{-2 i c \log r} \\
& \quad+|A|^{2}+|B|^{2}+A \bar{B}+\bar{A} B
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2}+|f(r)|^{2}= & \left(A e^{-c \theta}+B e^{c \theta}\right)\left(\bar{A} e^{-c \theta}+\bar{B} e^{c \theta}\right) \\
& +\left(A e^{i c \log r}+B e^{-i c \log r}\right)\left(\bar{A} e^{-i c \log r}+\bar{B} e^{i c \log r}\right) \\
= & |A|^{2} e^{-2 c \theta}+|B|^{2} e^{2 c \theta}+A \bar{B}+\bar{A} B+|A|^{2}+|B|^{2} \\
& +A \bar{B} e^{2 i c \log r}+\bar{A} B e^{-2 i c \log r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So in both cases the function $f$ given by (11) satisfies (1), as required.

## Theorem 5

All analytic solutions of (2) in $D$ are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=A z^{a}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a complex constant and $a$ is a real one.
Proof. Suppose that $f$ is a non-constant analytic solution of (2) in $D$. Since (1) is a generalization of (2) we can apply Theorem 4. Thus there exist complex constants $A, B$ and real or purely imaginary $a \neq 0$ such that $f$ is given by (11). At first we assume that $a$ is real. Substituting (11) in (2) after some easy calculations we obtain

$$
\bar{A} B \exp (-2 i a \theta)+A \bar{B} \exp (2 i a \theta)=\bar{A} B+A \bar{B}
$$

for $\theta \in(-\delta, \delta)$. Lemma 1 yields $A=0$ or $B=0$ and $f$ is of the form (14), as required.

Now, we assume that $a=i c$, where $c$ is real. Replacing in (2), $f(z)$ by (11) we infer the equality

$$
|A|^{2} \exp (-2 c \theta)+|B|^{2} \exp (2 c \theta)=|A|^{2}+|B|^{2}
$$

This together with Lemma 2 yields $A=B=0$.
Theorem 6
All analytic solutions of (5) in $D$ are given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=A z^{i c}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a complex constant and $c$ is a real one.
Proof. We argue as in the preceding proof. Suppose that $f$ is a nonconstant analytic solution of (5) in $D . f$ has to be given by (11). Assume that $a$ is a real constant. Substituting (11) in (5) we get

$$
|A|^{2} r^{2 a}+|B|^{2} r^{-2 a}=|A|^{2}+|B|^{2}
$$

for all $r \in(1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon)$. From Lemma 2 we infer that $A=B=0$. It remains to consider $a=i c$, where $c$ is real. Again substituting (11) in (5) we can obtain

$$
A \bar{B} \exp (2 i c \log r)+\bar{A} B \exp (-2 i c \log r)=A \bar{B}+\bar{A} B
$$

The above formula and Lemma 1 yield (15).
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