

Annales Universitatis Paedagogicae Cracoviensis Studia Mathematica XV (2016)

Maria Robaszevska

Affine analogues of the Sasaki-Shchepetilov connection

Abstract. For two-dimensional manifold M with locally symmetric connection ∇ and with ∇ -parallel volume element vol one can construct a flat connection on the vector bundle $TM \oplus E$, where E is a trivial bundle. The metrizable case, when M is a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature, together with its higher dimension generalizations, was studied by A.V. Shchepetilov [J. Phys. A: **36** (2003), 3893-3898]. This paper deals with the case of non-metrizable locally symmetric connection. Two flat connections on $TM \oplus (\mathbb{R} \times M)$ and two on $TM \oplus (\mathbb{R}^2 \times M)$ are constructed. It is shown that two of those connections – one from each pair – may be identified with the standard flat connection in \mathbb{R}^N , after suitable local affine embedding of (M, ∇) into \mathbb{R}^N .

1. Introduction

In the article [9] R. Sasaki proposed to add the property of describing pseudo-spherical surfaces to other remarkable properties – such as applicability of the inverse scattering method, infinite number of conservation laws and Bäcklund transformations – which characterize soliton equations in $1 + 1$ dimensions. He expressed the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -valued 1-form Ω , which arises in the corresponding linear scattering problem $dv = \Omega v$, $v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}$, by 1-forms ω^1 , ω^2 and ω^2_1

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 & \frac{1}{2}(\omega^2_1 + \omega^1) \\ \frac{1}{2}(-\omega^2_1 + \omega^1) & \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

AMS (2010) Subject Classification: 53C07, 53B05, 53B15.

Keywords and phrases: connection on a vector bundle, associated vector bundle, connection form, locally symmetric connection.

in such a way, that the integrability condition $d\Omega - \Omega \wedge \Omega = 0$ is equivalent to the structural equations $d\omega^1 = \omega^2_1 \wedge \omega^2$, $d\omega^2 = -\omega^2_1 \wedge \omega^1$ and $d\omega^2_1 = \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2$ of a pseudospherical surface ($K = -1$). This $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -valued 1-form Ω itself can be interpreted as the connection form of a connection on some principal $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle. The condition $d\Omega - \Omega \wedge \Omega = 0$ means that the curvature of this connection vanishes. In this respect the connection Ω differs from the Levi-Civita connection of the considered pseudospherical metric. On the other hand, Ω appeared to be somehow related to the Levi-Civita connection, because the Levi-Civita connection form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^2_1 \\ \omega^2_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ "is contained" in Ω . As might be expected, the question of finding the geometric interpretation of Ω occurred.

In the paper [10] A.V. Shchepetilov explained the geometric meaning of the Sasaki connection. Using an equivalent representation of Ω , $\mathfrak{so}(2, 1)$ -valued, he constructed a flat connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ on the vector bundle $TM \oplus E$, where TM is the tangent bundle and $E = \mathbb{R} \times M$ is a trivial one-dimensional vector bundle (our notation is slightly different from that in [10])

$$\widehat{\nabla}_X(Y \oplus f) = (\nabla_X Y + fX) \oplus (X(f) + g(X, Y)). \quad (1)$$

Here g is a metric on M , ∇ is its Levi-Civita connection, $f \in C^\infty(M)$ is a section of E and X, Y are vector fields on M .

Shchepetilov considered also manifolds with metric of constant positive curvature $K = +1$. The corresponding flat connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ on $TM \oplus E$ is

$$\widehat{\nabla}_X(Y \oplus f) = (\nabla_X Y + fX) \oplus (X(f) - g(X, Y)). \quad (2)$$

The aim of this paper is to construct a similar flat connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ for a two-dimensional manifold with non-metrizable locally symmetric connection ∇ and with ∇ -parallel volume element. Our main motivation for research is as follows. Firstly, manifold with locally symmetric linear connection can be thought of as a generalization of a constant sectional curvature Riemannian manifold. Secondly, sometimes more important than (M, g) or (M, ∇) alone is an embedding of M into \mathbb{R}^3 . For example, every isometric embedding of a pseudospherical surface (M, g) into \mathbb{R}^3 corresponds to some particular solution of the sine-Gordon equation. Therefore restriction to those non-flat locally symmetric connections which are induced on hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is legitimated. If such hypersurface f is degenerate and its type number r is greater than 1, then around each generic point of M there exists a local cylinder decomposition which contains as a part a non-degenerate hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{r+1} with some locally symmetric connection (see [4]). On the other hand, if f is non-degenerate and $n > 2$, then ∇ is the Blaschke connection, $\nabla h = 0$, $S = \rho \text{id}$, $\rho = \text{const}$, $\rho \neq 0$ and $f(M)$ is an open part of a quadric with center [4]. Similarly as in the second proof of Berwald theorem in [3] one can then define a pseudo-scalar product G in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} such that $G(f_*X, f_*Y) = h(X, Y)$, $G(f_*X, \xi) = 0$ and $G(\xi, \xi) = \rho$, where ξ is the affine normal. It is easy to check that relative to this pseudo-scalar product f is a hypersurface of constant sectional curvature ρ . If f is non-degenerate, $n = 2$ and the induced locally symmetric connection satisfies the condition $\dim \text{im } R = 2$, then there also exists a pseudo-scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} = \mathbb{R}^3$ relative to which f has constant Gaussian curvature and ξ is perpendicular to f [6].

On the contrary, if $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is of type number 1 or if $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is nondegenerate and $\dim \operatorname{im} R = 1$, then the connection as a connection of 1-codimensional nullity ($\dim \ker R = n - 1$) is not metrizable [7], therefore we have reason for generalizing Shchepetilov's construction. The present paper deals with the case $n = 2$.

2. Preliminaries

Let M be a connected two-dimensional real manifold and let ∇ be a locally symmetric connection on M , satisfying the condition $\dim \operatorname{im} R = 1$, where for $p \in M$

$$\operatorname{im} R|_p := \operatorname{span}\{R(X, Y)Z : X, Y, Z \in T_p M\}$$

and R is the curvature tensor of ∇ . Such connections were studied by B. Opozda in [5]. Opozda proved that for every $p \in M$ there is a coordinate system (u, v) around p such that

$$\nabla_{\partial_u} \partial_u = \nabla_{\partial_u} \partial_v = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{\partial_v} \partial_v = \varepsilon u \partial_u, \quad (3)$$

where $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$. A local coordinate system in which a locally symmetric connection ∇ is expressed by (3) will be called a canonical coordinate system for ∇ [5]. It is not unique. It is easy to check that if u, v and \bar{u}, \bar{v} are canonical coordinate systems then on each connected component of the intersection of their domains we have $\bar{u} = Au + \chi(v)$, $\bar{v} = \delta v + B$, where A, B, δ are constants, $\delta^2 = 1$, and χ satisfies the differential equation $\chi'' + \varepsilon\chi = 0$.

The Ricci tensor $\operatorname{Ric}(X, Y) := \operatorname{trace}[V \mapsto R(V, X)Y]$ of such a connection is symmetric and for every $p \in M$ there exists a ∇ -parallel volume element around p . Here we assume that a ∇ -parallel volume element vol exists on the whole M .

It follows, that for every $p \in M$ we can find around p a local basis (X_1, X_2) of TM , satisfying the conditions:

$$X_1 \in \ker \operatorname{Ric}, \quad \operatorname{Ric}(X_2, X_2) = \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{vol}(X_1, X_2) = 1. \quad (4)$$

For example, on the domain of canonical coordinates (u, v) as in (3) we may take $X_1 = \frac{1}{c} \partial_u$ and $X_2 = \partial_v$, where c is the non-zero constant such that $\operatorname{vol} = c du \wedge dv$. Let ω^1, ω^2 be the dual basis for (X_1, X_2) . The local connection form is $(\omega^i_j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega^1_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and the structural equations are $d\omega^1 = -\omega^1_2 \wedge \omega^2$, $d\omega^2 = 0$ and $d\omega^1_2 = \varepsilon \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2$.

The following proposition is easy to check.

PROPOSITION 2.1

Let M be a two-dimensional manifold with locally symmetric connection ∇ satisfying condition $\dim \operatorname{im} R = 1$. Let ω^1, ω^2 and ω^i_j be the dual basis and the local connection forms for some local basis of TM satisfying the condition (4). Then each of the following four 1-forms Ω_i

$$\Omega_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^1_2 & \omega^1 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2 \\ 0 & -\varepsilon\omega^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^1_2 & \varepsilon\omega^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\omega^2 & \omega^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Omega_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^1_2 & \omega^1 & \omega^2 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\varepsilon\omega^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^1_2 & \varepsilon\omega^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\omega^2 & \omega^1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies the condition $d\Omega_i - \Omega_i \wedge \Omega_i = 0$.

Those $\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{R})$ -valued ($N = 3$ or $N = 4$) 1-forms were obtained in [8] as the local connection forms of connections on some principal $GL(N, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle P and seem to be analogous to the Sasaki connection form. The bundle $P(M, G)$, $G = GL(N, \mathbb{R})$, is an extension of the bundle $Q(M, H)$ consisting of all linear frames on M which satisfy (4). The structure group is $H := \{(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(\begin{smallmatrix} -1 & t \\ 0 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Here we need not explain what the bundle $P(M, G)$ is. It suffices to know that there exists $f: Q \rightarrow P$ such that the triple (f, id_M, ι) is a homomorphism of principal fibre bundles $Q(M, H)$ and $P(M, G)$. The homomorphism $\iota: H \rightarrow G$ of structure groups is given by $\iota(a) := (\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & I_{N-2} \end{smallmatrix})$, where I_{N-2} is the identity $(N-2) \times (N-2)$ matrix. Each of the forms Ω_i is a local connection form associated with a local section $f \circ \sigma$ of P , where σ is some local section of Q .

In the construction of P and Ω in [8] and in the present paper we consider the left action of H on Q : $a * q := qa^{-1}$, where $(v_1, v_2)h := (h^1_1 v_1 + h^2_1 v_2, h^1_2 v_1 + h^2_2 v_2)$ for $h = (\begin{smallmatrix} h^1_1 & h^1_2 \\ h^2_1 & h^2_2 \end{smallmatrix}) \in H$, and some left action of G on P . Another possible way is to consider traditionally a right action, but we have then $-\Omega$ instead of Ω .

3. The connections on the vector bundle $TM \oplus E$

We will use the definition of the covariant derivative of a section of an associated bundle which comes from [1], and is described for example in [2]. Since we consider here the left action of G on P and the right action of G on \mathbb{R}^N , $z * c := c^{-1}z$, some details may be different from that of [1] and [2].

Let TM be the tangent bundle of M and let E be the trivial bundle, $E = \mathbb{R}^{N-2} \times M$.

PROPOSITION 3.1

The bundle $TM \oplus E$ is a vector bundle associated to P with fibre \mathbb{R}^N

$$P \times_G \mathbb{R}^N = (P \times \mathbb{R}^N) / \sim,$$

with the equivalence relation \sim given by $(cp, z * c^{-1}) \sim (p, z)$.

Proof. For $x \in M$ we take a basis $q = (v_1, v_2) \in Q$ of $T_x M$ and identify $(z^1 v_1 + z^2 v_2) \oplus (z^3, \dots, z^N)$ from $(TM \oplus E)|_x$ with $[(f(q), z)] \in (P \times \mathbb{R}^N) / \sim$. This identification is correct, because if we take another basis $q' = (v'_1, v'_2) \in Q_x$, then $q' = a * q = qa^{-1}$ for some $a \in H$ and $z^1 v_1 + z^2 v_2 = z'^1 v'_1 + z'^2 v'_2$ with $z'^1 = a^1_1 z^1 + a^1_2 z^2$, $z'^2 = a^2_1 z^1 + a^2_2 z^2$. It follows that $(z'^1 v'_1 + z'^2 v'_2) \oplus (z'^3, \dots, z'^N) = (z^1 v_1 + z^2 v_2) \oplus (z^3, \dots, z^N)$ for $z' = \iota(a)z = z * (\iota(a))^{-1}$. We obtain $[(f(q'), z')] = [(f(a * q), z * (\iota(a))^{-1})] = [(\iota(a)f(q), z * (\iota(a))^{-1})] = [(f(q), z)]$.

Let $[(p, z)] \in P \times_G \mathbb{R}^N$ and let $\pi(p) = x$, where $\pi: P \rightarrow M$. Let $q = (v_1, v_2) \in Q_x$, then $f(q) \in P_x$. Since G acts transitively on fibres of P , there exists $b \in G$

such that $p = bf(q)$. It follows that $[(p, z)] = [(bf(q), z)] = [(bf(q), (z * b) * b^{-1})] = [(f(q), z * b)] = [(f(q), b^{-1}z)]$, therefore we have to identify $[(p, z)]$ with $(y^1v_1 + y^2v_2) \oplus (y^3, \dots, y^N)$, where $y = b^{-1}z$.

To each local section η of an associated vector bundle $P \times_G \mathbb{R}^N$ corresponds some mapping $\tilde{\eta}: P|_U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ – called the Crittenden mapping – which satisfies the condition $\tilde{\eta}(bp) = \tilde{\eta}(p) * b^{-1}$. Since we have actually defined the right action of G on \mathbb{R}^N using the left action, $x * c := c^{-1}x$, we can write this condition simply as $\tilde{\eta}(bp) = b\tilde{\eta}(p)$. By definition of the Crittenden mapping, $[(p, \tilde{\eta}(p))] = \eta(\pi(p))$. Conversely, to each mapping $\tilde{\eta}: P|_U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying the condition $\tilde{\eta}(b * p) = \tilde{\eta}(p) * b^{-1}$ corresponds a local section of the associated bundle.

Let X be a vector field on M . For every connection form Ω_i from Proposition 2.1 we will find the covariant derivative $\widehat{\nabla}_X \eta$ of a local section η of $TM \oplus E$.

THEOREM 3.2

Let $\eta = Y \oplus \Psi$, with a vector field Y on $U \subset M$ and $\Psi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N(i)}$, be a local section of $TM \oplus E$. Here $N(1) = N(2) = 1$ and $N(3) = N(4) = 2$. Let $\widehat{\nabla}_X^i \eta$ denote the covariant derivative of η with respect to the connection corresponding to local connection form Ω_i from Proposition 2.1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nabla}_X^1(Y \oplus \Psi) &= (\nabla_X Y - \Psi X) \oplus (X(\Psi) + \text{Ric}(X, Y)), \\ \widehat{\nabla}_X^2(Y \oplus \Psi) &= (\nabla_X Y - \Psi LX) \oplus (X(\Psi) - \text{vol}(X, Y)), \\ \widehat{\nabla}_X^3(Y \oplus (\Psi^1, \Psi^2)) &= (\nabla_X Y - \Psi^1 X - \varepsilon \Psi^2 LX) \oplus (X(\Psi^1) + \text{Ric}(X, Y), X(\Psi^2)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nabla}_X^4(Y \oplus (\Psi^1, \Psi^2)) &= (\nabla_X Y - \Psi^1 LX) \oplus (X(\Psi^1) - \text{vol}(X, Y), X(\Psi^2) - \varepsilon \text{Ric}(X, Y)), \end{aligned}$$

with the (1,1) tensor field L such that $\text{vol}(LX, Y) = \text{Ric}(X, Y)$ for every X, Y .

Proof. By definition of the covariant derivative, the Crittenden mapping corresponding to $\widehat{\nabla}_X \eta$ is equal to $X^H(\tilde{\eta})$, where X^H is the horizontal lift of X to $P|_U$.

We use a local section $\tau = f \circ \sigma$ of P , where $\sigma = (V_1, V_2)$ is a local section of Q . Let $Y = Y^1V_1 + Y^2V_2$, then $\tilde{\eta} \circ \tau = (Y^1, Y^2, \Psi)$.

Let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be the connection form on P . The local connection form is $\tau^* \tilde{\Omega} = \Omega_\sigma$. We have

$$\widehat{\nabla}_X \eta(\tau(x)) = X_{\tau(x)}^H(\tilde{\eta}), \quad X_{\tau(x)}^H = d_x \tau(X_x) + B_{\tau(x)}^*,$$

where the right-invariant vector field $B = -\Omega_\sigma(X_x)$, which we easily obtain from the condition $\tilde{\Omega}(X_{\tau(x)}^H) = 0$:

$$0 = \tilde{\Omega}_{\tau(x)}(d_x \tau(X_x)) + \tilde{\Omega}_{\tau(x)}(B_{\tau(x)}^*) = (\tau^* \tilde{\Omega})_x(X_x) + B = \Omega_\sigma(X_x) + B.$$

The first part of $X_{\tau(x)}^H(\tilde{\eta})$ is equal to

$$(d_x \tau(X_x))(\tilde{\eta}) = X_x(\tilde{\eta} \circ \tau) = (X_x(Y^1), X_x(Y^2), X_x(\Psi)).$$

The second part is

$$B_{\tau(x)}^*(\tilde{\eta}) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\eta}(b_t \tau(x)) \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} b_t \tilde{\eta}(\tau(x)) \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} b_t \right|_{t=0} \tilde{\eta}(\tau(x)) = B\tilde{\eta}(\tau(x)).$$

Here (b_t) is 1-parameter subgroup of G generated by B . It follows that

$$\widetilde{\widehat{\nabla}_X \eta}(\tau(x)) = \begin{pmatrix} X_x(Y^1) \\ X_x(Y^2) \\ X_x(\Psi) \end{pmatrix} - \Omega_\sigma(X_x) \begin{pmatrix} Y^1(x) \\ Y^2(x) \\ \Psi(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

For $\Omega_\sigma = \Omega_1$ we obtain

$$\widetilde{\widehat{\nabla}_X \eta} \circ \tau = \begin{pmatrix} X(Y^1) \\ X(Y^2) \\ X(\Psi) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^1_2(X) & \omega^1(X) \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2(X) \\ 0 & -\varepsilon\omega^2(X) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y^1 \\ Y^2 \\ \Psi \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\widehat{\nabla}_X \eta = ((X(Y^1) + \omega^1_2(X)Y^2 - \omega^1(X)\Psi)V_1 + (X(Y^2) - \omega^2(X)\Psi)V_2) \oplus (X(\Psi) + \varepsilon\omega^2(X)Y^2).$$

Since $\nabla_X V_1 = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X Y &= \nabla_X(Y^1 V_1 + Y^2 V_2) \\ &= X(Y^1)V_1 + Y^1 \nabla_X V_1 + X(Y^2)V_2 + Y^2 \nabla_X V_2 \\ &= X(Y^1)V_1 + X(Y^2)V_2 + Y^2 \omega^1_2(X)V_1. \end{aligned}$$

We have also

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(X, Y) &= \text{Ric}(\omega^1(X)V_1 + \omega^2(X)V_2, Y^1 V_1 + Y^2 V_2) \\ &= \omega^2(X)Y^2 \text{Ric}(V_2, V_2) \\ &= \omega^2(X)Y^2 \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

because V_1 is a local section of $\ker \text{Ric}$.

We obtain finally

$$\widehat{\nabla}_X(Y \oplus \Psi) = (\nabla_X Y - \Psi X) \oplus (X(\Psi) + \text{Ric}(X, Y)). \quad (6)$$

If we take $\Omega_\sigma = \Omega_2$, then we obtain from (5)

$$\widetilde{\widehat{\nabla}_X \eta} \circ \tau = \begin{pmatrix} X(Y^1) \\ X(Y^2) \\ X(\Psi) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^1_2(X) & \varepsilon\omega^2(X) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\omega^2(X) & \omega^1(X) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y^1 \\ Y^2 \\ \Psi \end{pmatrix},$$

which gives

$$\widehat{\nabla}_X(Y \oplus \Psi) = ((X(Y^1) + \omega^1_2(X)Y^2 - \varepsilon\omega^2(X)\Psi)V_1 + X(Y^2)V_2) \oplus (X(\Psi) + \omega^2(X)Y^1 - \omega^1(X)Y^2)$$

$$= (\nabla_X Y - \Psi \varepsilon \omega^2(X) V_1) \oplus (X(\Psi) - \text{vol}(X, Y)),$$

because $\text{vol}(V_1, V_2) = 1$.

Let $(\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{V}_2)$ be another local basis of TM satisfying (4). Then in the intersection of the corresponding domains we have $\tilde{V}_1 = \delta V_1$, $\tilde{V}_2 = tV_1 + \delta V_2$ with $\delta \in \{1, -1\}$. For the new dual basis we obtain $\tilde{\omega}^1 = \delta \omega^1 - t\omega^2$, $\tilde{\omega}^2 = \delta \omega^2$. It follows that $\tilde{\omega}^2 \tilde{V}_1 = \omega^2 V_1$, therefore the vector field $LX := \varepsilon \omega^2(X) V_1$ is defined on the whole M and L is a $(1, 1)$ tensor field.

Note that for every Z we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(LX, Z) &= \text{vol}(\varepsilon \omega^2(X) V_1, Z) = \varepsilon \omega^2(X) \omega^2(Z) \text{vol}(V_1, V_2) = \varepsilon \omega^2(X) \omega^2(Z) \\ &= \text{Ric}(X, Z). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

For the second connection we finally obtain the global formula

$$\hat{\nabla}_X(Y \oplus \Psi) = (\nabla_X Y - \Psi LX) \oplus (X(\Psi) - \text{vol}(X, Y)). \quad (8)$$

For $\Omega_\sigma = \Omega_3$ we have

$$\widetilde{\hat{\nabla}_X \eta} \circ \tau = \begin{pmatrix} X(Y^1) \\ X(Y^2) \\ X(\Psi^1) \\ X(\Psi^2) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^1_2(X) & \omega^1(X) & \omega^2(X) \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2(X) & 0 \\ 0 & -\varepsilon \omega^2(X) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y^1 \\ Y^2 \\ \Psi^1 \\ \Psi^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\nabla}_X(Y \oplus (\Psi^1, \Psi^2)) &= ((X(Y^1) + \omega^1_2(X) Y^2 - \omega^1(X) \Psi^1 - \omega^2(X) \Psi^2) V_1 + (X(Y^2) - \omega^2(X) \Psi^1) V_2) \\ &\oplus (X(\Psi^1) + \varepsilon \omega^2(X) Y^2, X(\Psi^2)), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\nabla}_X(Y \oplus (\Psi^1, \Psi^2)) &= (\nabla_X Y - \Psi^1 X - \varepsilon \Psi^2 LX) \oplus (X(\Psi^1) + \text{Ric}(X, Y), X(\Psi^2)). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

For $\Omega_\sigma = \Omega_4$ we obtain

$$\widetilde{\hat{\nabla}_X \eta} \circ \tau = \begin{pmatrix} X(Y^1) \\ X(Y^2) \\ X(\Psi^1) \\ X(\Psi^2) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^1_2(X) & \varepsilon \omega^2(X) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\omega^2(X) & \omega^1(X) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^2(X) & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y^1 \\ Y^2 \\ \Psi^1 \\ \Psi^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\nabla}_X(Y \oplus (\Psi^1, \Psi^2)) &= (\nabla_X Y - \Psi^1 LX) \oplus (X(\Psi^1) - \text{vol}(X, Y), X(\Psi^2) - \varepsilon \text{Ric}(X, Y)). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

4. Flatness of $\widehat{\nabla}$

THEOREM 4.1

Each of four connections $\widehat{\nabla}^i$ in Theorem 3.2 is flat.

Proof. We will compute

$$\widehat{R}(X, Y)(Z \oplus \Psi) = (\widehat{\nabla}_X \widehat{\nabla}_Y - \widehat{\nabla}_Y \widehat{\nabla}_X - \widehat{\nabla}_{[X, Y]})(Z \oplus \Psi)$$

for each of four connections (6), (8), (9) and (10).

If we use $\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y] = T(X, Y) = 0$, then for the connection (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{R}(X, Y)(Z \oplus \Psi) &= (R(X, Y)Z - (\text{Ric}(Y, Z)X - \text{Ric}(X, Z)Y)) \\ &\quad \oplus ((\nabla_X \text{Ric})(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y \text{Ric})(X, Z) - \Psi(\text{Ric}(X, Y) - \text{Ric}(Y, X))) \end{aligned}$$

But Ric is symmetric, $\nabla R = 0$ implies $\nabla \text{Ric} = 0$, and for each two-dimensional manifold

$$R(X, Y)Z = \text{Ric}(Y, Z)X - \text{Ric}(X, Z)Y. \quad (11)$$

Therefore $\widehat{R}(X, Y)(Z \oplus \Psi) = 0 \oplus 0$.

For the connection (8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{R}(X, Y)(Z \oplus \Psi) &= (R(X, Y)Z + \text{vol}(Y, Z)LX - \text{vol}(X, Z)LY - \Psi((\nabla_X L)Y - (\nabla_Y L)X)) \\ &\quad \oplus ((\nabla_Y \text{vol})(X, Z) - (\nabla_X \text{vol})(Y, Z) + \Psi(\text{vol}(X, LY) - \text{vol}(Y, LX))). \end{aligned}$$

From $\nabla \text{vol} = 0$ it follows that $R \cdot \text{vol} = 0$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (R(X, Y) \cdot \text{vol})(Z, W) = -\text{vol}(R(X, Y)Z, W) - \text{vol}(Z, R(X, Y)W) \\ &= -\text{vol}(R(X, Y)Z, W) + \text{vol}(R(X, Y)W, Z), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\text{vol}(R(X, Y)Z, W) = \text{vol}(R(X, Y)W, Z). \quad (12)$$

For an arbitrary vector field W using (12), (7) and (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{vol}(R(X, Y)Z + \text{vol}(Y, Z)LX - \text{vol}(X, Z)LY, W) \\ &= \text{vol}(R(X, Y)W, Z) + \text{vol}(Y, Z) \text{Ric}(X, W) - \text{vol}(X, Z) \text{Ric}(Y, W) \\ &= \text{vol}(R(X, Y)W + \text{Ric}(X, W)Y - \text{Ric}(Y, W)X, Z) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the non-degeneracy of vol it follows that

$$R(X, Y)Z + \text{vol}(Y, Z)LX - \text{vol}(X, Z)LY = 0. \quad (13)$$

Moreover, $\nabla \text{Ric} = 0$, $\nabla \text{vol} = 0$ and (7) imply $\nabla L = 0$. We have also $\text{vol}(X, LY) - \text{vol}(Y, LX) = -\text{vol}(LY, X) + \text{vol}(LX, Y) = -\text{Ric}(Y, X) + \text{Ric}(X, Y) = 0$. Hence $\widehat{R}(X, Y)(Z \oplus \Psi) = 0 \oplus 0$ for $\widehat{\nabla}$ given by (8).

For the connection (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{R}(X, Y)(Z \oplus (\Psi^1, \Psi^2)) &= (R(X, Y)Z - \text{Ric}(Y, Z)X + \text{Ric}(X, Z)Y - \varepsilon\Psi^2((\nabla_X L)(Y) - (\nabla_Y L)(X))) \\
&\oplus ((\nabla_X \text{Ric})(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y \text{Ric})(X, Z) - \Psi^1(\text{Ric}(X, Y) - \text{Ric}(Y, X)) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon\Psi^2(\text{Ric}(Y, LX) - \text{Ric}(X, LY)), 0) \\
&= 0 \oplus (0, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\text{im } L \subset \ker \text{Ric}$.

For (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{R}(X, Y)(Z \oplus (\Psi^1, \Psi^2)) &= (R(X, Y)Z + \text{vol}(Y, Z)LX - \text{vol}(X, Z)LY - \Psi^1((\nabla_X L)(Y) - (\nabla_Y L)(X))) \\
&\oplus ((\nabla_Y \text{vol})(X, Z) - (\nabla_X \text{vol})(Y, Z) + \Psi^1(\text{vol}(X, LY) - \text{vol}(Y, LX)), \\
&\quad \varepsilon(\nabla_Y \text{Ric})(X, Z) - \varepsilon(\nabla_X \text{Ric})(Y, Z) + \varepsilon\Psi^1(\text{Ric}(X, LY) - \text{Ric}(Y, LX))) \\
&= 0 \oplus (0, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

5. Some remarks about interpretation of $\widehat{\nabla}$

As is shown in [10], in the metric case using (at least local) embedding of (M, g) with $K = \pm 1$ into Euclidean or pseudoeuclidean space \mathbf{E} we may identify $\widehat{\nabla}$ with the restriction of the flat connection on $T\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{E}$ to $\mathbf{E} \times M$ and identify the trivial one-dimensional summand E with the normal bundle of the surface.

We consider now the case of non-metrizable locally symmetric connection on M , $\dim M = 2$. Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be an immersion and let ∇ be the connection induced on M by f and the transversal vector field ξ . If we identify the bundle $f_*(TM) \oplus \mathbb{R}\xi$ with $TM \oplus E$, then to the vector field $f_*(Y) + \Psi\xi$ corresponds the section $Y \oplus \Psi$ of $TM \oplus E$. The Gauss and Weingarten formulae yield that to $D_X(f_*Y + \Psi\xi)$ corresponds

$$\widehat{D}_X(Y \oplus \Psi) = (\nabla_X Y - \Psi SX) \oplus (X(\Psi) + h(X, Y) + \Psi\tau(X)), \quad (14)$$

where h is the affine fundamental form, S is the shape operator and τ is the transversal connection form (see [3] for the definitions). We look for f and ξ such that $\widehat{D} = \widehat{\nabla}$. Comparing the right-hand side of (14) with that of (6) and (8) for the section $0 \oplus 1$ gives $\tau = 0$, which means that we may restrict ourselves to equiaffine transversal vector fields.

Furthermore, since h is always symmetric and vol is anti-symmetric, we see that there are no f and ξ which allow to identify in the above described way the connection (8) with the standard connection D on the bundle $\mathbb{R}^3 \times M$.

As concerns (6), it should be $h = \text{Ric}$, which implies that we should consider some realization of ∇ on a degenerate surface f with the type number tf equal to 1. Such realizations were described by B. Opozda in [7]. Using a general description

given in Proposition 6.2 of [7] and claiming that $\xi = -f$, we easily obtain the following particular local realizations of ∇

$$f(u, v) = (u, \cos v, \sin v) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad \text{for } \varepsilon = 1 \quad (15)$$

and

$$f(u, v) = \left(u, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}e^{-v}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}e^v \right) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad \text{for } \varepsilon = -1. \quad (16)$$

Here u, v is some fixed local canonical coordinate system for ∇ . The volume element $\text{vol} = du \wedge dv$ is the element induced by (f, ξ) from \mathbb{R}^3 .

For a centro-affine immersion $(f, \xi = -f)$ and $n = 2$ we have $SX = X$ and $\text{Ric}(X, Y) = h(X, Y)\text{tr} S - h(SX, Y) = (n - 1)h(X, Y) = h(X, Y)$. It follows that using the immersion (15) or (16) we may identify (6) with the standard connection D .

To obtain $\widehat{\nabla} = \widehat{D}$ for $\widehat{\nabla}$ given by (9) we also choose and fix some local canonical coordinate system u, v for ∇ and use for example the immersion $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$, $f(u, v) = (u, \cos v, \sin v, 0)$ if $\varepsilon = 1$ and $f(u, v) = (u, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}e^{-v}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}e^v, 0)$ if $\varepsilon = -1$, and the two-dimensional transversal bundle spanned by $\xi_1(u, v) = -f(u, v)$ and $\xi_2(u, v) = (-v, 0, 0, 1)$. The induced connection (which is equal to ∇), the affine fundamental forms h^1, h^2 , the shape operators S_1, S_2 , and the normal connection forms τ^i_j are defined by the following decompositions (cf [3])

$$\begin{aligned} D_X f_* Y &= f_* \nabla_X Y + h^1(X, Y)\xi_1 + h^2(X, Y)\xi_2, \\ D_X \xi_1 &= -f_* S_1 X + \tau^1_1(X)\xi_1 + \tau^2_1(X)\xi_2, \\ D_X \xi_2 &= -f_* S_2 X + \tau^1_2(X)\xi_1 + \tau^2_2(X)\xi_2. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain $\tau^i_j = 0$, $S_1 X = X$, $S_2 = dv(\cdot)\partial_u = \varepsilon L$, $h^2 = 0$ and $h^1(\partial_u, \partial_u) = h^1(\partial_u, \partial_v) = 0$, $h^1(\partial_v, \partial_v) = \varepsilon$. The volume element $\text{vol} = du \wedge dv$ is induced from \mathbb{R}^4 , $\text{vol}(X, Y) = \det(f_* X, f_* Y, \xi_1, \xi_2)$. Identifying the vector field $f_*(Y) + \Psi^1 \xi_1 + \Psi^2 \xi_2$ with the section $Y \oplus (\Psi^1, \Psi^2)$ of $TM \oplus E$ we obtain $\widehat{\nabla}_X(Y \oplus (\Psi^1, \Psi^2))$ as in (9) from $D_X(f_* Y + \Psi^1 \xi_1 + \Psi^2 \xi_2)$.

Similarly as it was for (6), the above immersion f is degenerate. By definition (see [3]), an immersion $f: M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ is non-degenerate if the symmetric bilinear function G_σ is non-degenerate. For a local frame field $\sigma = (X_1, X_2)$ the function G_σ is defined by the formula (cf [3])

$$\begin{aligned} G_\sigma(Y, Z) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\det(f_*(X_1), f_*(X_2), D_Y f_*(X_1), D_Z f_*(X_2)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \det(f_*(X_1), f_*(X_2), D_Z f_*(X_1), D_Y f_*(X_2)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

For $\sigma = (\partial_u, \partial_v)$ we obtain $G_\sigma = 0$.

It is impossible to obtain in a similar way the connection (10), because vol is anti-symmetric.

6. Some further remarks

In general, to each immersion (f, ξ) and to each local basis $\sigma = (X_1, X_2)$ of TM corresponds some $GL(3, \mathbb{R})$ -valued 1-form Ω_σ

$$\Omega_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_1^1 & -\omega_1^2 & S^1(\cdot) \\ -\omega_2^1 & -\omega_2^2 & S^2(\cdot) \\ -h(\cdot, X_1) & -h(\cdot, X_2) & -\tau \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here ω_j^i are local connection forms of the induced connection and $S = S^1(\cdot)X_1 + S^2(\cdot)X_2$ is the shape operator. The condition $d\Omega_\sigma - \Omega_\sigma \wedge \Omega_\sigma = 0$ is equivalent to the fundamental Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations. The formula (5) gives on $TM \oplus E$ a flat connection \widehat{D} described by formula (14).

The considered in the present paper 1-forms Ω_i were constructed as satisfying additional condition $\Omega_i = A\omega^1 + B\omega^2 + C\omega_j^i$ with constant A , B and C . For given Ω_σ such constant A , B and C may not exist, in such a case the connection \widehat{D} is always different from $\widehat{\nabla}$. For example, (M, ∇) can be affinely immersed also as a non-degenerate surface in \mathbb{R}^3 . Such immersions and transversal fields are described in [5]. If we use one of them, then we obtain \widehat{D} different from (6) and (8).

For each given connection ∇ on M , for each $(1, 1)$ tensor field A and $(0, 2)$ tensor field α we can define some connection $\widehat{\nabla}^{A, \alpha}$ on $TM \oplus E$ by the formula

$$\widehat{\nabla}^{A, \alpha}(Y \oplus \Psi) = (\nabla_X Y + \Psi AX) \oplus (X(\Psi) + \alpha(X, Y)).$$

We may look for such connections ∇ for which there exist A and α such that $\widehat{\nabla}^{A, \alpha}$ is flat.

It is easy to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{R}^{A, \alpha}(X, Y)(Y \oplus \Psi) &= (R(X, Y)Z + \alpha(Y, Z)AX - \alpha(X, Z)AY + \Psi((\nabla_X A)(Y) - (\nabla_Y A)(X))) \\ &\quad \oplus ((\nabla_X \alpha)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y \alpha)(X, Z) + \Psi(\alpha(X, AY) - \alpha(Y, AX))) \end{aligned}$$

7. The case of indefinite metric

To complete the description we consider now a two-dimensional manifold with indefinite metric g of constant curvature κ . We can assume, by replacing g by $-g$ if necessary, that $\kappa > 0$. Let $\kappa = \frac{1}{\rho^2}$. We take a local basis X_1, X_2 such that $g(X_1, X_1) = 1 = -g(X_2, X_2)$, $g(X_1, X_2) = 0$. The local connection forms are $\omega_1^1 = \omega_2^2 = 0$, $\omega_1^2 = \omega_2^1 =: \omega$. The structural equations are $d\omega^1 = -\omega \wedge \omega^2$, $d\omega^2 = -\omega \wedge \omega^1$, $d\omega = -\kappa\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2$ and the 1-form

$$\Omega_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega & -\frac{1}{\rho}\omega^1 \\ -\omega & 0 & -\frac{1}{\rho}\omega^2 \\ \frac{1}{\rho}\omega^1 & -\frac{1}{\rho}\omega^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies the condition $d\Omega_\sigma - \Omega_\sigma \wedge \Omega_\sigma = 0$ [8]. Using (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nabla}_X(Y \oplus \Psi) &= \left((X(Y^1) + \omega(X)Y^2 + \frac{1}{\rho}\omega^1(X)\Psi)X_1 + (X(Y^2) + \omega(X)Y^1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\rho}\omega^2(X)\Psi)X_2 \right) \oplus \left(X(\Psi) - \frac{1}{\rho}(\omega^1(X)Y^1 - \omega^2(X)Y^2) \right) \quad (17) \\ &= \left(\nabla_X Y + \frac{1}{\rho}\Psi X \right) \oplus \left(X(\Psi) - \frac{1}{\rho}g(X, Y) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbb{R}^{2,1} = \mathbb{R}^3$ with the scalar product $\langle (v^1, v^2, v^3), (w^1, w^2, w^3) \rangle = v^1w^1 + v^2w^2 - v^3w^3$. Let $Q = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \langle x, x \rangle = \rho^2\}$. Let $f: M \rightarrow Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ be a local isometric immersion. Then $g(X, Y) = \langle f_*(X), f_*(Y) \rangle$ and the connection induced by f and the normal vector field $\xi = \frac{1}{\rho}f$ is the Levi-Civita connection of g . We have $h(X, Y) = g(SX, Y)$ and $SX = -\frac{1}{\rho}X$. From (14) we obtain

$$\widehat{D}_X(Y \oplus \Psi) = \left(\nabla_X Y + \frac{1}{\rho}\Psi(X) \right) \oplus \left(X(\Psi) - \frac{1}{\rho}g(X, Y) \right)$$

and we see that $\widehat{D} = \widehat{\nabla}$.

If $\kappa = -\frac{1}{\rho^2}$, then to $-g$ corresponds the positive curvature $-\kappa = \frac{1}{\rho^2}$ and the formula (17) gives the flat connection

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nabla}_X(Y \oplus \Psi) &= \left(\nabla_X Y + \frac{1}{\rho}\Psi X \right) \oplus \left(X(\Psi) - \frac{1}{\rho}(-g)(X, Y) \right) \\ &= \left(\nabla_X Y + \frac{1}{\rho}\Psi X \right) \oplus \left(X(\Psi) + \frac{1}{\rho}g(X, Y) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

If $\rho = 1$, then from (18) we obtain (1) and from (17) we obtain (2). It follows that Shchepetilov's formulae hold also for indefinite metric g .

8. Summary

For a locally symmetric connection ∇ with one-dimensional im R we have constructed two flat connections on the vector bundle $TM \oplus (\mathbb{R} \times M)$ and two flat connections on $TM \oplus (\mathbb{R}^2 \times M)$. From each pair only one connection may be identified with the standard connection in \mathbb{R}^N , $N = 3$ or $N = 4$, after suitable local embedding of (M, ∇) into \mathbb{R}^N . Those embeddings are degenerate.

References

- [1] Crittenden, Richard J. "Covariant differentiation." *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* 13 (1962): 285-298. Cited on 40.
- [2] Gancarzewicz, Jacek. *Zarys współczesnej geometrii różniczkowej*. Warszawa: Script, 2010. Cited on 40.
- [3] Nomizu, Katsumi and Takeshi Sasaki. "Affine differential geometry. Geometry of affine immersions." Vol. 111 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994. Cited on 38, 45 and 46.

- [4] Nomizu, Katsumi and Barbara Opozda. "Locally symmetric connections on possibly degenerate affine hypersurfaces." *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* 40, no. 2 (1992): 143-150. Cited on 38.
- [5] Opozda, Barbara. "Locally symmetric connections on surfaces." *Results Math.* 20, no. 3-4 (1991): 725-743. Cited on 39 and 47.
- [6] Opozda, Barbara. "Some relations between Riemannian and affine geometry." *Geom. Dedicata* 47, no. 2, (1993): 225-236. Cited on 38.
- [7] Opozda, Barbara. "A characterization of affine cylinders." *Monatsh. Math.* 121, no. 1-2 (1996): 113-124. Cited on 39, 45 and 46.
- [8] Robaszczyńska, Maria. "On some flat connection associated with locally symmetric surface." *Ann. Univ. Paedagog. Crac. Stud. Math.* 13 (2014): 19-44. Cited on 40 and 48.
- [9] Sasaki, Ryu. "Soliton equations and pseudospherical surfaces." *Nuclear Phys. B* 154, no. 2 (1979): 343-357. Cited on 37.
- [10] Shchepetilov, Alexey V. "The geometric sense of the Sasaki connection." *J. Phys. A* 36, no. 13 (2003): 3893-3898. Cited on 38 and 45.

*Institute of Mathematics
Pedagogical University of Cracow
Podchorążych 2
30-084 Kraków
Poland
E-mail: robaszew@up.krakow.pl*

*Received: July 25, 2015; final version: July 8, 2016;
available online: July 22, 2016.*