

## Annales Universitatis Paedagogicae Cracoviensis Studia Mathematica XIV (2015)

*Marcin Skrzyński*

### A note on preserving the spark of a matrix

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  be the vector space of all  $m \times n$  matrices over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . In the case where  $m \geq n$ ,  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$  and  $\mathbb{F}$  has at least five elements, we give a complete characterization of linear maps  $\Phi: \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  such that  $\text{spark}(\Phi(A)) = \text{spark}(A)$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

### 1. Preliminaries and introduction

Throughout the text,  $m$  and  $n$  stand for positive integers, and  $\mathbb{F}$  denotes a field. We define  $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  to be the vector space of all  $m \times n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{F}$ . The  $m \times n$  zero matrix will be denoted by  $O_{m \times n}$  and the  $n$ th full linear group over  $\mathbb{F}$  by  $\mathcal{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}) = \{V \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}) : \det(V) \neq 0\}$ ). Finally, if  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are the components of a (row or column) vector  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , then the *Hamming weight* of  $x$  is defined by

$$\|x\|_0 = \#\{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : x_j \neq 0\}.$$

In [3], Donoho and Elad introduced the concept of spark of a matrix into the mathematical theory of compressed sensing. Let us recall the definition.

#### DEFINITION 1.1

Suppose that  $C_1, \dots, C_n \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times 1}(\mathbb{F})$  are the columns of a matrix  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . The spark of  $A$  is defined to be the infimum of the set of all positive integers  $\ell$  with the property that

$$\exists j_1, \dots, j_\ell \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \begin{cases} j_1 < \dots < j_\ell, \\ C_{j_1}, \dots, C_{j_\ell} \text{ are linearly dependent.} \end{cases}$$

The following facts about the spark are well known and easy to prove.

PROPOSITION 1.2

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  and  $U \in \mathcal{GL}_m(\mathbb{F})$ . Then

- (i)  $\text{spark}(A) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \cup \{+\infty\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{spark}(A) = +\infty$  if and only if  $\text{rank}(A) = n$ ,
- (iii)  $\text{spark}(A) = 1$  if and only if  $A$  has a zero column,
- (iv)  $\text{spark}(A) \leq \text{rank}(A) + 1$  whenever  $\text{spark}(A) \neq +\infty$ ,
- (v)  $\text{spark}(UA) = \text{spark}(A)$ .

When dealing with a reasonable map  $f$  defined on  $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , it is always of interest to know what linear endomorphisms  $\Phi: \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  have the property that  $f(\Phi(A)) = f(A)$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . Such endomorphisms are called *linear preservers* of the map  $f$ . The theory of linear preserver problems dates back to 1890s (Frobenius' theorem on linear preservers of the determinant function) and still attracts the attention of many mathematicians. We refer to [2] for a nice overview of results.

This note provides some remarks on linear preservers of the function

$$\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \ni A \mapsto \text{spark}(A) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \cup \{+\infty\}.$$

We will need the following technical definition.

DEFINITION 1.3

Let  $U \in \mathcal{GL}_m(\mathbb{F})$  and  $V \in \mathcal{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ . A map  $\Phi: \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  is said to be  $(U, V)$ -standard, if either  $\Phi(A) = UAV$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , or  $m = n$  and  $\Phi(A) = UA^T V$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

Notice that the  $(U, V)$ -standard map is a linear automorphism of  $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

The note is based on the characterization of rank  $k$  preservers given by Beasley and Laffey (see [1]), which we recall below.

THEOREM 1.4

Let  $k$  be a positive integer such that  $k \leq \min\{m, n\}$ . Suppose that the field  $\mathbb{F}$  has at least four elements. If a linear automorphism  $\Phi: \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  satisfies the condition

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) : \text{rank}(A) = k \implies \text{rank}(\Phi(A)) = k,$$

then it is a  $(U, V)$ -standard map, for some  $U \in \mathcal{GL}_m(\mathbb{F})$  and some  $V \in \mathcal{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ .

## 2. Results

Our main purpose is to prove

### THEOREM 2.1

If  $\mathbb{F}$  has at least five elements,  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ , and  $m \geq n$ , then for a linear endomorphism  $\Phi: \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $\forall A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) : \text{spark}(\Phi(A)) = \text{spark}(A)$ ,
- (2) there exist a matrix  $U \in \mathcal{GL}_m(\mathbb{F})$ , a diagonal matrix  $D \in \mathcal{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ , and an  $n \times n$  permutation matrix  $P$  such that  $\forall A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) : \Phi(A) = UADP$ .

The proof will use two simple propositions and a lemma. The propositions are of independent interest.

### PROPOSITION 2.2

Let  $\Phi: \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  be a linear map. Suppose that  $\text{spark}(\Phi(A)) = \text{spark}(A)$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . Then  $\Phi$  is bijective.

*Proof.* Pick a matrix  $B \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  such that  $\Phi(B) = O_{m \times n}$ . It is enough to show that  $B = O_{m \times n}$ . Since  $\text{spark}(B) = \text{spark}(\Phi(B)) = 1$ , the matrix  $B$  has a zero column. Assume that  $B$  has a nonzero column as well (and hence  $n \geq 2$ ). Let  $S \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  be the matrix consisting of  $n$  copies of this nonzero column. Then  $\text{spark}(S) = 2$  and

$$\text{spark}(\Phi(S)) = \text{spark}(\Phi(S - B)) = \text{spark}(S - B) = 1,$$

a contradiction. Consequently,  $B = O_{m \times n}$ .

### PROPOSITION 2.3

Suppose that  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ . Then, for a matrix  $V \in \mathcal{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $\forall A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) : \text{spark}(AV) = \text{spark}(A)$ ,
- (2) there exist a diagonal matrix  $D \in \mathcal{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$  and an  $n \times n$  permutation matrix  $P$  such that  $V = DP$ .

*Proof.* Let  $W = [w_{ij}] \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  be such that

$$\exists \ell, p, q \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \begin{cases} p \neq q, \\ w_{p\ell} \neq 0, w_{q\ell} \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

We will show that there is a matrix  $B = [b_{kj}] \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  with  $\text{spark}(B) = 2$  and  $\text{spark}(BW) = 1$ .

Let  $\lambda = w_{1\ell} + \dots + w_{n\ell}$ . Assume that  $w_{r\ell} - \lambda \neq 0$  for some  $r \in \{p, q\}$ . Then it suffices to define

$$b_{kj} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } j \neq r, \\ 1 - \lambda w_{r\ell}^{-1}, & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } j = r, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Assume, therefore, that  $w_{p\ell} - \lambda = 0 = w_{q\ell} - \lambda$ . Then  $\lambda + (\lambda - w_{p\ell} - w_{q\ell}) = 0$ , and hence it suffices to define

$$b_{kj} = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } j \notin \{p, q\}, \\ 1, & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } j \in \{p, q\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, if a matrix  $V \in \mathcal{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$  satisfies condition (1), then each column of  $V$  (and hence each row) has exactly one element different from 0. Condition (2) follows. Implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is obvious and holds true over an arbitrary field.

We denote by  $\Sigma_n$  the set of all permutations of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

**COROLLARY 2.4**

*Suppose that  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ . Then, for a linear endomorphism  $f: \mathcal{M}_{1 \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1 \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\forall x \in \mathcal{M}_{1 \times n}(\mathbb{F}) : \|f(x)\|_0 = \|x\|_0$ ,
- (2)  $\exists \sigma \in \Sigma_n \exists a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\} \forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{M}_{1 \times n}(\mathbb{F}) : f(x) = (a_1 x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_n x_{\sigma(n)})$ ,
- (3)  $\forall x \in \mathcal{M}_{1 \times n}(\mathbb{F}) : \text{spark}(f(x)) = \text{spark}(x)$ .

*Proof.* If  $n = 1$ , then there is nothing to do. Assume that  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\text{spark}(x) \in \{1, 2\}$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}_{1 \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . Moreover,  $\text{spark}(x) = 2$  for some  $x \in \mathcal{M}_{1 \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  if and only if  $\|x\|_0 = n$ . These two properties yield implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let us proceed to (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). If condition (3) is satisfied, then by Proposition 2.2, the endomorphism  $f$  is bijective, and hence

$$\exists V \in \mathcal{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \forall x \in \mathcal{M}_{1 \times n}(\mathbb{F}) : f(x) = xV.$$

Condition (2) now follows from Proposition 2.3. Implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is obvious.

The above equivalence (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) is well known and can be easily proved over an arbitrary field, without involving the concept of spark. Implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) also holds true over an arbitrary field.

**EXAMPLE 2.5**

*The linear endomorphism  $g: \mathcal{M}_{1 \times 3}(\mathbb{Z}_2) \ni (x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (x_1, x_1 + x_2 + x_3, x_3) \in \mathcal{M}_{1 \times 3}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$  satisfies the condition*

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{M}_{1 \times 3}(\mathbb{Z}_2) : \text{spark}(g(x)) = \text{spark}(x).$$

*However,  $g$  is not a ‘‘Hamming isometry’’.*

Notice that a linear endomorphism  $h: \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}(\mathbb{F})$  is a preserver of the spark if and only if  $h$  is bijective.

Let us return to the main purpose of the note.

LEMMA 2.6

If  $n \geq 2$ , then no matrix  $V \in \mathcal{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$  has the property that  $\text{spark}(A^T V) = \text{spark}(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $n \geq 2$  and pick a matrix  $V \in \mathcal{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ . Let  $C \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}(\mathbb{F})$  be a nonzero column such that every element of  $C^T V$  is different from 0. Define  $S \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  to be the matrix whose first column coincides with  $C$  and any other column coincides with  $O_{n \times 1}$ . Then  $\text{spark}(S) = 1$  and  $\text{spark}(S^T V) = 2$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is obvious (cf. Proposition 1.2; the implication holds true over an arbitrary field and even if  $m < n$ ). Assume that  $\Phi$  satisfies condition (1). Then it follows from Proposition 2.2 that  $\Phi$  is bijective. Moreover, if  $\text{rank}(A) = n$  for a matrix  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , then  $\text{spark}(\Phi(A)) = \text{spark}(A) = +\infty$ , and hence  $\text{rank}(\Phi(A)) = n$ . Theorem 1.4 yields therefore that  $\Phi$  is a  $(U, V)$ -standard map for some  $U \in \mathcal{GL}_m(\mathbb{F})$  and some  $V \in \mathcal{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ . Suppose, for a moment, that  $m = n \geq 2$  and

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) : \Phi(A) = U A^T V.$$

Then  $\text{spark}(A^T V) = \text{spark}(\Phi(A)) = \text{spark}(A)$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , which contradicts Lemma 2.6. Consequently,  $\Phi(A) = U A V$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . This implies that for an arbitrary  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , we have  $\text{spark}(A V) = \text{spark}(U A V) = \text{spark}(A)$ . Thus, by Proposition 2.3, there exist a diagonal matrix  $D \in \mathcal{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$  and an  $n \times n$  permutation matrix  $P$  such that  $V = D P$ . The proof is complete.

## References

- [1] L.B. Beasley, T.J. Laffey, *Linear operators on matrices: the invariance of rank- $k$  matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **133** (1990), 175–184. Cited on 64.
- [2] L.B. Beasley (ed.), C.-K. Li (ed.), M.H. Lim (ed.), R. Loewy (ed.), B.R. McDonald (ed.), S. Pierce (ed.), *A survey of linear preserver problems*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **33** (1992) no. 1-2, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1992, pp. 1–129. Cited on 64.
- [3] D.L. Donoho, M. Elad, *Optimally sparse representation in general (nonorthogonal) dictionaries via  $\ell^1$  minimization*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **100** (2003) no. 5, 2197–2202. Cited on 63.

*Institute of Mathematics  
Cracow University of Technology  
ul. Warszawska 24  
31-155 Kraków  
Poland  
E-mail: pfskrzyn@cyf-kr.edu.pl*

*Received: October 12, 2014; final version: April 26, 2015;  
available online: May 6, 2015.*