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## The Littlewood-Paley $g$-function associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator


#### Abstract

First, we study the Gauss and Poisson semigroups connected with the Riemann-Liouville operator. Next, we define and study the LittlewoodPaley $g$-function associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator for which we prove the $L^{p}$-boundedness for $\left.\left.p \in\right] 1,2\right]$.


## 1. Introduction

The usual Littlewood-Paley $g$-function is defined in the Euclidian space [21] by

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ; g(f)(x)=\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|\nabla P^{t} f(x)\right|^{2} t d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where $\left(P^{t}\right)_{t>0}$ is the usual Poisson semigroup defined by

$$
P^{t} f(x)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{t f(y)}{\left(t^{2}+|x-y|^{2}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} d y
$$

and $\nabla$ is the gradient given by

$$
\nabla=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)
$$

It is well known (see for example [21]) that the mapping

$$
f \longmapsto g(f)
$$

is bounded from the Lebesgue space $\left.L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, d x\right), p \in\right] 1,+\infty[$ into itself. Moreover, the Littlewood-Paley theory plays an important role in the study of many function spaces as the Hardy space $H^{p}$. Many aspects of the Littlewood-Paley $g$-function connected with several hypergroups are studied [1, 2, 19, 23]. The authors have been especially interested by the boundedness of such operator when acting on the Lebesgue space $\left.L^{p} ; p \in\right] 1,+\infty[$.

[^0]In [3], the second author with the others define the so-called Riemann-Liouville operator $\mathscr{R}_{\alpha} ; \alpha \geqslant 0$ by setting

$$
\mathscr{R}_{\alpha}(f)(r, x)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} f\left(r s \sqrt{1-t^{2}}, x+r t\right)\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} & \\
\times\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} d t d s, & \text { if } \alpha>0 \\
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} f\left(r \sqrt{1-t^{2}}, x+r t\right) \frac{d t}{\sqrt{\left(1-t^{2}\right)}}, & \text { if } \alpha=0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $f$ is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, even with respect to the first variable.
The Fourier transform associated with the operators $\mathscr{R}_{\alpha}$ is defined by;

$$
\forall(\mu, \lambda) \in \Upsilon ; \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}(f)(\mu, \lambda)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(r, x) j_{\alpha}\left(r \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}}\right) e^{-i \lambda x} d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)
$$

where

- $\Upsilon=\mathbb{R}^{2} \cup\left\{(i \mu, \lambda) ;(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ;|\mu| \leqslant|\lambda|\right\}$
- $d \nu_{\alpha}$ is the measure defined on $[0,+\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)=\frac{r^{2 \alpha+1} d r}{2^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha+1)} \otimes \frac{d x}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}}
$$

- $j_{\alpha}$ is a modified Bessel function that will be defined in the second section.

Many harmonic analysis results related to the Fourier transform $\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}$ have been established $[3,4,5,18]$. Also, the uncertainty principles play an important role in harmonic analysis $[6,7,8,12,13,15]$, for this reason, many of such principles are established for the Fourier transform $\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}[16,17]$.

The aim of this work is to define and study the $g$-function associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator $\mathscr{R}_{\alpha}$. For this, we need first to define the Gauss and Poisson semigroups that will be denoted respectively by $\left(\mathscr{G}^{t}\right)_{t>0}$ and $\left(\mathscr{P}^{t}\right)_{t>0}$. The Poisson semigroup $\left(\mathscr{P}^{t}\right)_{t>0}$ allows us to define the Littlewood-Paley $g$-function by

$$
\forall(r, x) \in\left[0,+\infty\left[\times \mathbb{R} ; g(f)(r, x)=\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|\nabla \mathscr{P}^{t} f(r, x)\right|^{2} t d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right.\right.
$$

where

$$
\nabla=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)
$$

Then, we have established the main result of this paper. Namely, for every $f \in$ $\left.\left.L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right), p \in\right] 1,2\right]$, the function $g(f)$ belongs to the space $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ and we have

$$
\|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant 2 \frac{2^{\frac{2-p}{2}}}{p}\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}
$$

where

$$
\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}=\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(r, x)|^{p} d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

This paper is arranged as follows.
In the second section, we recall some harmonic analysis results related to the Fourier transform $\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}$. In the third section, we define and study the Gauss semigroup $\left(\mathscr{G}^{t}\right)_{t>0}$ and the Poisson semigroup $\left(\mathscr{P}^{t}\right)_{t>0}$ and we give their mutual connexion. The last section is devoted to establish the boundedness of the LittlewoodPaley $g$-function from $\left.\left.L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right) ; p \in\right] 1,2\right]$, into it self.

We want to add that in a coming paper; we will establish a principle of the maximum for the operator

$$
\triangle_{\alpha}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{2 \alpha+1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}
$$

We use this principle of the maximum to prove that for every $p \in[4,+\infty[$; there is $A_{p}>0$ such that for every $f \in L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$; we have

$$
\|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant A_{p}\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}
$$

Using Marcinkiewisz interpolation theorem's; we deduce that for every $p \in] 1,+\infty[$; there is $C_{p}>0$ satisfying

$$
\forall f \in L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right) ; \frac{1}{C_{p}}\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant\|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant C_{p}\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}
$$

## 2. The Riemann-Liouville transform

In this section, we recall some harmonic analysis results related to the convolution product and the Fourier transform associated with Riemann-Liouville operator. For more details see $[3,4,5,18]$.

Let $D$ and $\Xi$ be the singular partial differential operators defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D=\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
\left.\Xi=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{2 \alpha+1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} ; \quad(r, x) \in\right] 0,+\infty[\times \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geqslant 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

For all $(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$; the system

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
D u(r, x) & =-i \lambda u(r, x) \\
\Xi u(r, x) & =-\mu^{2} u(r, x) \\
u(0,0) & =1 \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(0, x) & =0 ; \forall x \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

admits a unique solution $\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall(r, x) \in\left[0,+\infty\left[\times \mathbb{R} ; \varphi_{\mu, \lambda}(r, x)=j_{\alpha}\left(r \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}}\right) e^{-i \lambda x}\right.\right. \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j_{\alpha}$ is the modified Bessel function defined by

$$
j_{\alpha}(z)=2^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha+1) \frac{J_{\alpha}(z)}{z^{\alpha}}=\Gamma(\alpha+1) \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!\Gamma(\alpha+k+1)}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2 k}
$$

and $J_{\alpha}$ is the Bessel function of first kind and index $\alpha[10,11,14,26]$. The modified Bessel function $j_{\alpha}$ has the integral representation

$$
j_{\alpha}(z)=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \exp (-i z t) d t
$$

Consequently, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$; we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|j_{\alpha}^{(k)}(z)\right| \leqslant e^{|\operatorname{Im}(z)|} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenfunction $\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}$ satisfies the following properties

- The function $\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ if, and only if $(\mu, \lambda) \in \Upsilon$, where $\Upsilon$ is the set defined by

$$
\Upsilon=\mathbb{R}^{2} \cup\left\{(i \mu, \lambda) ;(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ;|\mu| \leqslant|\lambda|\right\}
$$

and in this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{(r, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}(r, x)\right|=1 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The function $\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}$ has the following Mehler integral representation

$$
\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}(r, x)= \begin{cases}\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \cos \left(\mu r s \sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right) \exp (-i \lambda(x+r t)) \\ \quad \times\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} d t d s, & \text { if } \alpha>0 \\ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \cos \left(r \mu \sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right) \exp (-i \lambda(x+r t)) \frac{d t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}}, & \text { if } \alpha=0\end{cases}
$$

The precedent integral representation allows us to define the Riemann-Liouville transform $\mathscr{R}_{\alpha}$ associated with the operators $D$ and $\Xi$ by

$$
\mathscr{R}_{\alpha}(f)(r, x)=\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} f\left(r s \sqrt{1-t^{2}}, x+r t\right)\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} & \\
\times\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} d t d s, & \text { if } \alpha>0 \\
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} f\left(r \sqrt{1-t^{2}}, x+r t\right) \frac{d t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}}, & \text { if } \alpha=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f$ is any continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, even with respect to the first variable.

- From the precedent integral representation of the eigenfunction $\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}$, we deduce that

$$
\forall(r, x) \in\left[0,+\infty\left[\times \mathbb{R} ; \varphi_{\mu, \lambda}(r, x)=\mathscr{R}_{\alpha}\left(\cos (\mu .) e^{-i \lambda .}\right)(r, x)\right.\right.
$$

In the following, we will define the convolution product and the Fourier transform associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator. For this, we need the coming notation

- $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right) ; p \in[1,+\infty]$, is the Lebesgue space formed by the measurable functions $f$ on $\left[0,+\infty\left[\times \mathbb{R}\right.\right.$ such that $\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}<+\infty$, where

$$
\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}= \begin{cases}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(r, x)|^{p} d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text { if } p \in[1,+\infty[, \\ \operatorname{ess~sup}_{(r, x) \in[0,+\infty[\times \mathbb{R}}^{\operatorname{esc}}|f(r, x)|, & \text { if } p=+\infty\end{cases}
$$

## Definition 2.1

i) For every $(r, x) \in\left[0,+\infty\left[\times \mathbb{R}\right.\right.$, the translation operator $\tau_{(r, x)}$ associated with Riemann-Liouville operator is defined on $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right), p \in[1,+\infty]$, by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{(r, x)} f(s, y) \\
& \quad=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{\pi} f\left(\sqrt{r^{2}+s^{2}+2 r s \cos \theta}, x+y\right) \sin ^{2 \alpha}(\theta) d \theta \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

ii) The convolution product of $f, g \in L^{1}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ is defined for every $(r, x) \in$ $[0,+\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f * g(r, x)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau_{(r,-x)}(\check{f})(s, y) g(s, y) d \nu_{\alpha}(s, y) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\check{f}(s, y)=f(s,-y)$.
We have the following properties

- The eigenfunction $\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}$ satisfies the product formula

$$
\tau_{(r, x)}\left(\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}\right)(s, y)=\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}(r, x) \varphi_{\mu, \lambda}(s, y)
$$

- For every $f \in L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right), 1 \leqslant p \leqslant+\infty$, and for every $(r, x) \in[0,+\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$, the function $\tau_{(r, x)}(f)$ belongs to $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ and we have

$$
\left\|\tau_{(r, x)}(f)\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} .
$$

- For every $f \in L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right), p \in[1,+\infty[$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{(r, x) \rightarrow(0,0)}\left\|\tau_{(r, x)}(f)-f\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For $f, g \in L^{1}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$, the function $f * g$ belongs to $L^{1}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$; the convolution product is commutative, associative and we have

$$
\|f * g\|_{1, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant\|f\|_{1, \nu_{\alpha}}\|g\|_{1, \nu_{\alpha}}
$$

Moreover, if $1 \leqslant p, q, r \leqslant+\infty$ are such that $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}-1$ and if $f \in L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$, $g \in L^{q}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$, then the function $f * g$ belongs to $L^{r}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$, and we have the Young's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f * g\|_{r, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}\|g\|_{q, \nu_{\alpha}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Let $\varphi$ be a nonnegative measurable function on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, even with respect to the first variable, such that

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(r, x) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)=1
$$

Then by relation (2.6), the family $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t>0}$ defined by

$$
\forall(r, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} ; \varphi_{t}(r, x)=\frac{\varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}, \frac{x}{t}\right)}{t^{2 \alpha+3}}
$$

is an approximation of the identity in $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right) ; p \in[1,+\infty[$, that is for every $f \in L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|\varphi_{t} * f-f\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequel, we use the following notations

- $\Upsilon_{+}$is the subset of $\Upsilon$ given by

$$
\Upsilon_{+}=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R} \cup\left\{(i t, x) ;(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; 0 \leqslant t \leqslant|x|\right\}
$$

- $\mathscr{B} \Upsilon_{+}$is the $\sigma$-algebra defined on $\Upsilon_{+}$by

$$
\mathscr{B}_{\Upsilon_{+}}=\left\{\theta^{-1}(B), B \in \mathscr{B}_{\text {or }}([0,+\infty[\times \mathbb{R})\}\right.
$$

where $\theta$ is the bijective function defined on the set $\Upsilon_{+}$by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(\mu, \lambda)=\left(\sqrt{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}}, \lambda\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $d \gamma_{\alpha}$ is the measure defined on $\mathscr{B}_{\Upsilon_{+}}$by

$$
\forall A \in \mathscr{B}_{\Upsilon_{+}} ; \gamma_{\alpha}(A)=\nu_{\alpha}(\theta(A))
$$

- $L^{p}\left(d \gamma_{\alpha}\right) ; p \in[1,+\infty]$, is the space of measurable functions $f$ on $\Upsilon_{+}$, such that

$$
\|f\|_{p, \gamma_{\alpha}}<+\infty
$$

## Proposition 2.2

i. For all non negative measurable function $g$ on $\Upsilon_{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\Upsilon_{+}} g(\mu, \lambda) d \gamma_{\alpha}(\mu, \lambda) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha+1) \sqrt{2 \pi}}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\mu, \lambda)\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)^{\alpha} \mu d \mu d \lambda\right. \\
&\left.+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{|\lambda|} g(i \mu, \lambda)\left(\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}\right)^{\alpha} \mu d \mu d \lambda\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

ii. For all non negative measurable function $f$ on $[0,+\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ (respectively integrable on $\left[0,+\infty\left[\times \mathbb{R}\right.\right.$ with respect to the measure $\left.d \nu_{\alpha}\right) f \circ \theta$ is a nonnegative measurable function on $\Upsilon_{+}$(respectively integrable on $\Upsilon_{+}$with respect to the measure $d \gamma_{\alpha}$ ) and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\Upsilon_{+}}(f \circ \theta)(\mu, \lambda) d \gamma_{\alpha}(\mu, \lambda)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(r, x) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Definition 2.3

The Fourier transform associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator is defined on $L^{1}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ by

$$
\forall(\mu, \lambda) \in \Upsilon ; \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}(f)(\mu, \lambda)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(r, x) \varphi_{\mu, \lambda}(r, x) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)
$$

where $\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}$ is the eigenfunction given by relation (2.1).
We have the following properties

- From relation (2.3), we deduce that for $f \in L^{1}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ the function $\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}(f)$ belongs to the space $L^{\infty}\left(d \gamma_{\alpha}\right)$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}(f)\right\|_{\infty, \gamma_{\alpha}} \leqslant\|f\|_{1, \nu_{\alpha}} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For $f \in L^{1}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall(\mu, \lambda) \in \Upsilon ; \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}(f)(\mu, \lambda)=\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{\alpha}(f) \circ \theta(\mu, \lambda), \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for every $(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$,

$$
\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{\alpha}(f)(\mu, \lambda)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(r, x) j_{\alpha}(r \mu) \exp (-i \lambda x) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)
$$

and $\theta$ is the function defined by relation (2.9).

- Let $f \in L^{1}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ such that the function $\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}(f)$ belongs to the space $L^{1}\left(d \gamma_{\alpha}\right)$, then we have the following inversion formula for $\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}$, for almost every $(r, x) \in$ $[0,+\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$,

$$
f(r, x)=\iint_{\Upsilon_{+}} \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}(f)(\mu, \lambda) \overline{\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}(r, x)} d \gamma_{\alpha}(\mu, \lambda)
$$

- Let $f \in L^{1}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$. For every $(r, x) \in[0,+\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\forall(\mu, \lambda) \in \Upsilon ; \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}\left(\tau_{(r, x)}(f)\right)(\mu, \lambda)=\overline{\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}(r, x)} \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}(f)(\mu, \lambda) .
$$

- For $f, g \in L^{1}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$, we have

$$
\forall(\mu, \lambda) \in \Upsilon ; \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}(f * g)(\mu, \lambda)=\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}(f)(\mu, \lambda) \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}(g)(\mu, \lambda) .
$$

- Let $p \in[1,+\infty]$. From relation (2.10), the function $f$ belongs to $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ if, and only if the function $f \circ \theta$ belongs to the space $L^{p}\left(d \gamma_{\alpha}\right)$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f \circ \theta\|_{p, \gamma_{\alpha}}=\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the mapping $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{\alpha}$ is an isometric isomorphism from $L^{2}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ onto itself [24, 25], then the relations (2.12) and (2.13) show that the Fourier transform $\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}$ is an isometric isomorphism from $L^{2}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(d \gamma_{\alpha}\right)$. Namely, for every $f \in L^{2}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$, the function $\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}(f)$ belongs to the space $L^{2}\left(d \gamma_{\alpha}\right)$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}(f)\right\|_{2, \gamma_{\alpha}}=\|f\|_{2, \nu_{\alpha}} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.4
For every $f$ in $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right), p \in[1,2]$; the function $\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}(f)$ lies in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(d \gamma_{\alpha}\right), p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}$, and we have

$$
\left\|\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}(f)\right\|_{p^{\prime}, \gamma_{\alpha}} \leqslant\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} .
$$

Proof. The result follows from relations (2.11), (2.14) and the Riesz-Thorin theorem's [20, 22].

We denote by

- $\mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, rapidly decreasing together with all their derivatives, even with respect to the first variable. The space $\mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is endowed with the topology generated by the family of norms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{m}(\varphi)=\sup _{\substack{(r, x) \in[0,+\infty[\times \mathbb{R} \\ k+|\beta| \leqslant m}}\left(1+r^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{k}\left|D^{\beta}(\varphi)(r, x)\right| ; \quad m \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $\mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ the subspace of $\mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ of functions with compact support.


## 3. Gauss and Poisson semigroups associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator

In this section, we will define and study the Gauss and Poisson semigroups. Also, the maximal functions connected with these semigroups are checked.

## Definition 3.1

The Gauss kernel $g_{t}, t>0$, associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator is defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{t}(r, x) & =\frac{e^{-\frac{\left(r^{2}+x^{2}\right)}{4 t}}}{(2 t)^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}}}=\iint_{\Upsilon_{+}} e^{-t\left(\mu^{2}+2 \lambda^{2}\right)} \overline{\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}(r, x)} d \gamma_{\alpha}(\mu, \lambda)  \tag{3.16}\\
& =\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(e^{-t\left(s^{2}+y^{2}\right)}\right)(r, x) .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.2
The family $\left(g_{t}\right)_{t>0}$ is an approximation of the identity in the space $\mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$; that is for every $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; and every $t>0$; the function $g_{t} * f$ belongs to $\mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$;

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \rho_{m}\left(g_{t} * f-f\right)=0
$$

where $\rho_{m}$ is the norm defined by relation (2.15).
Proof. Since the Schwartz space $\mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is stable under convolution product, we deduce that for every $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$; and every $t>0$; the function $g_{t} * f$ belongs to the space $\mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. On the other hand, the transform $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{\alpha}$ is a topological isomorphism from $\mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ onto itself which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}(f * g)=\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}(f) \widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}(g) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By relation (3.16), we get $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}\left(g_{t}\right)(r, x)=e^{-t\left(r^{2}+x^{2}\right)}$. So, we must show that for every $(k, \beta) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^{2}$ and every $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|\left(1+r^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{k} D^{\beta}\left(e^{-t\left(r^{2}+x^{2}\right)} f-f\right)\right\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}}=0
$$

Applying Leibniz formula, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D^{\beta}\left(e^{-t\left(r^{2}+x^{2}\right)} f(r, x)\right) \\
&=\sum_{\gamma \leqslant \beta} \frac{\beta!}{\gamma!(\beta-\gamma)!} D^{\gamma}\left(e^{-t\left(r^{2}+x^{2}\right)}\right) D^{\beta-\gamma}(f)(r, x) \\
&=\sum_{\gamma \leqslant \beta} \frac{\beta!}{\gamma!(\beta-\gamma)!}(-1)^{|\gamma|} \sqrt{t}{ }^{|\gamma|} H_{\gamma}(r \sqrt{t}, x \sqrt{t}) e^{-t\left(r^{2}+x^{2}\right)} D^{\beta-\gamma}(f)(r, x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H_{\gamma}$ is the Hermite polynomial on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with index $\gamma$.

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D^{\beta}\left(e^{-t\left(r^{2}+x^{2}\right)} f(r, x)-f(r, x)\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{\substack{\gamma \leqslant \beta \\
\gamma \neq 0}} \frac{\beta!}{\gamma!(\beta-\gamma)!}(-1)^{|\gamma|} \sqrt{t}{ }^{|\gamma|} H_{\gamma}(r \sqrt{t}, x \sqrt{t}) e^{-t\left(r^{2}+x^{2}\right)} D^{\beta-\gamma}(f)(r, x) \\
& \quad \quad+\left(e^{-t\left(r^{2}+x^{2}\right)}-1\right) D^{\beta}(f)(r, x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for every $t, 0 \leqslant t<1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(1+ & \left.r^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{k} D^{\beta}\left(e^{-t\left(r^{2}+x^{2}\right)} f-f\right) \|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}} \\
\leqslant & \sqrt{t}\left[\sum_{\gamma \leqslant \beta} \frac{\beta!}{\gamma!(\beta-\gamma)!}\left\|H_{\gamma} e^{-\left(r^{2}+x^{2}\right)}\right\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}}\left\|\left(1+r^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{k} D^{\beta-\gamma}(f)\right\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\left(1+r^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{k+1} D^{\beta}(f)\right\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality shows that for every $(k, \beta) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^{2}$,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|\left(1+r^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{k} D^{\beta}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}\left(g_{t}\right) f-f\right)\right\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}}=0
$$

The proof is complete.

## Proposition 3.3

For every $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$; the function $\mathscr{V}(f)$ defined by

$$
\left.\mathscr{V}(f)(r, x, t)=g_{t} * f(r, x), \quad \forall(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[,
$$

is infinitely differentiable on $\left.\mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$ and satisfies the following equation

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{\alpha}(\mathscr{V}(f)) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathscr{V}(f)) \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \mathscr{V}(f)(., ., t) & =f \quad \text { uniformly }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\alpha}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{2 \alpha+1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For every $t>0$; the function $g_{t}$ belongs to $\mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and consequently, for every $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, the function

$$
(r, x) \longmapsto g_{t} * f(r, x)
$$

belongs to the space $\mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and for every $(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$;

$$
\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}\left(g_{t} * f\right)(\mu, \lambda)=\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}(\mathscr{V}(f)(., ., t))(\mu, \lambda)=e^{-t\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right) \widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}(f)(\mu, \lambda) . . ~}
$$

This implies that for every $\left.(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$, we have

$$
\mathscr{V}(f)(r, x, t)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)} \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{\alpha}(f)(\mu, \lambda) j_{\alpha}(r \mu) e^{i \lambda x} d \nu_{\alpha}(\mu, \lambda)
$$

From this equality; it follows that the function

$$
(r, x, t) \longmapsto \mathscr{V}(f)(r, x, t)
$$

is infinitely differentiable on $\left.\mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathscr{V}(f))(r, x, t) & =-\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right) e^{-t\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)} \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}(f)(\mu, \lambda) j_{\alpha}(r \mu) e^{i \lambda x} d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) \\
& =\Lambda_{\alpha}(\mathscr{V}(f))(r, x, t),
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{2 \alpha+1}{\partial r}\right)\left(j_{\alpha}(\mu r)\right)=-\mu^{2} j_{\alpha}(r \mu)$ and $\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left(e^{i \lambda x}\right)=-\lambda^{2} e^{i \lambda x}$.
On the other hand; for $\left.(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(r, x) & -\mathscr{V}(f)(r, x, t) \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1-e^{-t\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)}\right) \widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}(f)(\mu, \lambda) j_{\alpha}(r \mu) e^{i \lambda x} d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\|f-\mathscr{V}(f)(., ., t)\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant t \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)\left|\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}(f)(\mu, \lambda)\right| d \nu_{\alpha}(\mu, \lambda)
$$

which means that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\|\mathscr{V}(f)(., ., t)-f\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}}=0
$$

Proposition 3.4
i. For every $p \in[1,+\infty]$; the operator $\mathscr{G}^{t}, t>0$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{G}^{t}(f)=g_{t} * f \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a bounded positive operator from $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ into itself and for every $f \in$ $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$, we have

$$
\left\|\mathscr{G}^{t}(f)\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} .
$$

ii. For every $p \in\left[1,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$, the family $\left(\mathscr{G}^{t}\right)_{t>0}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup of operators on $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$, that is

- For $s, t>0 ; \mathscr{G}^{s} \circ \mathscr{G}^{t}=\mathscr{G}^{s+t}$,
- For every $f \in L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right), \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|\mathscr{G}^{t}(f)-f\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}=0$.

The family $\left(\mathscr{G}^{t}\right)_{t>0}$ is called Gauss semigroup associated with the RiemannLiouville operator $\mathscr{R}_{\alpha}$.

Proof. i. Let $g(r, x)=e^{-\frac{r^{2}+x^{2}}{2}}, g$ is a measurable positive function and we have

$$
g_{t}(r, x)=\frac{g\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2 t}}, \frac{x}{\sqrt{2 t}}\right)}{(\sqrt{2 t})^{2 \alpha+3}} .
$$

So

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{t}(r, x) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(r, x) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)=1
$$

From relation (2.7), for every $f \in L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$; and every $t>0$, the function $\mathscr{G}^{t}(f)=$ $g_{t} * f$ belongs to $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ and we have

$$
\left\|\mathscr{G}^{t}(f)\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{1, \nu_{\alpha}}\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}=\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}
$$

ii. From relation (3.16), we have

$$
\forall(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; \widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}\left(g_{t}\right)(\mu, \lambda)=e^{-t\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)}
$$

So, from relation (3.17); for $s, t>0$; we get

$$
\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}\left(g_{t} * g_{s}\right)(\mu, \lambda)=e^{-(t+s)\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)}=\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}\left(g_{t+s}\right)(\mu, \lambda),
$$

and consequently; $g_{s} * g_{t}=g_{s+t}$ which involves that for every $f \in L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$;

$$
\mathscr{G}^{s}\left(\mathscr{G}^{t}(f)\right)=\mathscr{G}^{s+t}(f)
$$

Moreover, from relation (2.8),

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|\mathscr{G}^{t}(f)-f\right\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}}=0
$$

The proof is complete.
Proposition 3.5
For every $f \in \mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, the maximal function $\mathscr{M}(f)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M}(f)(r, x)=\sup _{s>0} \frac{1}{s}\left|\int_{0}^{s} \mathscr{G}^{t}(f)(r, x) d t\right| \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to the space $\left.L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right), p \in\right] 1,+\infty[$, moreover

$$
\|\mathscr{M}(f)\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant 2\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} .
$$

Proof. The result follows immediately from [9, theorem 7, pp 693].

## Definition 3.6

For every $t>0$, the Poisson kernel $p_{t}$ associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator is defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{t}(r, x) & =\iint_{\Upsilon_{+}} e^{-t \sqrt{s^{2}+2 y^{2}}} \overline{\varphi_{s, y}(r, x)} d \gamma_{\alpha}(s, y)=\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(e^{-t \sqrt{s^{2}+2 y^{2}}}\right)(r, x)  \tag{3.21}\\
& =\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}-1 \\
& \left(e^{-t \sqrt{s^{2}+y^{2}}}\right)(r, x) .
\end{align*}
$$

## Lemma 3.7

For every $\left.(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$, we have

$$
p_{t}(r, x)=\frac{2^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha+2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{t}{\left(t^{2}+r^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{\alpha+2}} .
$$

Proof. We know that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$; we have

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{\sqrt{u}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 u}} d u=e^{-|x|}
$$

From Definition 3.6, and applying Fubini's theorem, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{t}(r, x) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{\sqrt{u}}\left(\iint_{\Upsilon_{+}} e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{4 u}\left(s^{2}+2 y^{2}\right)} \overline{\varphi_{s, y}(r, x)} d \gamma_{\alpha}(s, y)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{\sqrt{u}} g_{\frac{t^{2}}{4 u}}(r, x) d u  \tag{3.22}\\
& =\frac{2^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} t^{-2 \alpha-3} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{u}{t^{2}}\left(r^{2}+x^{2}+t^{2}\right)} u^{\alpha+1} d u \\
& =\frac{2^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha+2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{t}{\left(t^{2}+r^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{\alpha+2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

## Proposition 3.8

Let $f \in \mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, the function $\mathscr{U}(f)$ defined on $\left.\mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$ by

$$
\mathscr{U}(f)(r, x)=p_{t} * f(r, x)
$$

is infinitely differentiable and satisfies the equation

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{\alpha}(\mathscr{U}(f))+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}(\mathscr{U}(f)) & =0 \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \mathscr{U}(f)(., ., t) & =f \quad \text { uniformly. }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Proof. From relation (3.21), for every $(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, we have

$$
\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}(\mathscr{U}(f)(., ., t))=\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}\left(p_{t}\right)(\mu, \lambda) \widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}(f)(\mu, \lambda)=e^{-t \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}} \widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}}(f)(\mu, \lambda) .
$$

So, for every $\left.(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{U}(f)(r, x, t) & =\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(e^{-t \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}}} \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{\alpha}(f)\right)(r, x) \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}}} \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{\alpha}(f)(\mu, \lambda) j_{\alpha}(r \mu) e^{i \lambda x} d \nu_{\alpha}(\mu, \lambda) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From relation (2.2) and the fact that the function $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{\alpha}(f)$ belongs to the space $\mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$; we deduce that the function $\mathscr{U}(f)$ is infinitely differentiable on
$\left.\mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{\alpha}(\mathscr{U} & (f))(r, x, t) \\
& =-\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right) e^{-t \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}}} \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{\alpha}(f)(\mu, \lambda) j_{\alpha}(r \mu) e^{i \lambda x} d \nu_{\alpha}(\mu, \lambda) \\
& =-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}(\mathscr{U}(f))(r, x, t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand; for every $\left.(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$; we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(r, x)-\mathscr{U}(f)(r, x, t)| & \leqslant \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|1-e^{-t \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}}}\right| \widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}(f)(\mu, \lambda) \mid d \nu_{\alpha}(\mu, \lambda) \\
& \leqslant t \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}}\left|\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}(f)(\mu, \lambda)\right| d \nu_{\alpha}(\mu, \lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that

$$
\|\mathscr{U}(f)(., ., t)-f\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant t \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}}\left|\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}(f)(\mu, \lambda)\right| d \nu_{\alpha}(\mu, \lambda)
$$

and proves that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\|\mathscr{U}(f)(., ., t)-f\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}}=0
$$

## Proposition 3.9

i. For every $p \in[1,+\infty]$; the operator $\mathscr{P}^{t}, t>0$, defined by

$$
\mathscr{P}^{t}(f)=p_{t} * f
$$

is a bounded positive operator from $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ into itself and for every $f \in$ $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$, we have

$$
\left\|\mathscr{P}^{t}(f)\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}
$$

ii. For every $p \in\left[1,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$, the family $\left(\mathscr{P}^{t}\right)_{t>0}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup of operators on $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$, that is

- For $s, t>0 ; \mathscr{P}^{s} \circ \mathscr{P}^{t}=\mathscr{P}^{s+t}$,
- For every $f \in L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right), \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|\mathscr{P}^{t}(f)-f\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}=0$.

The family $\left(\mathscr{P}^{t}\right)_{t>0}$ is called Poisson semigroup associated with the RiemannLiouville operator $\mathscr{R}_{\alpha}$.

Proof. i. Let $p(r, x)=\frac{2^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha+2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\left(1+r^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{\alpha+2}}, p$ is a measurable positive function and we have

$$
p_{t}(r, x)=\frac{1}{t^{2 \alpha+3}} p\left(\frac{r}{t}, \frac{x}{t}\right) .
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t}(r, x) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(r, x) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)=1 \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From relation (2.7), for every $f \in L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$; and every $t>0$, the function $\mathscr{P}^{t}(f)=$ $p_{t} * f$ belongs to $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ and we have

$$
\left\|\mathscr{P}^{t}(f)\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant\left\|p_{t}\right\|_{1, \nu_{\alpha}}\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}=\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}
$$

ii. From relation (3.21), we have

$$
\forall(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; \widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}\left(p_{t}\right)(\mu, \lambda)=e^{-t \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}}}
$$

So, from relation (3.17); for $s, t>0$; we get

$$
\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}\left(p_{t} * p_{s}\right)(\mu, \lambda)=e^{-(t+s) \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}}}=\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}\left(p_{t+s}\right)(\mu, \lambda),
$$

and consequently; $p_{s} * p_{t}=p_{s+t}$ which involves that for every $f \in L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$;

$$
\mathscr{P}^{s}\left(\mathscr{P}^{t}(f)\right)=\mathscr{P}^{s+t}(f) .
$$

Moreover, from relations (2.8) and (3.23),

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|\mathscr{P}^{t}(f)-f\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}=0
$$

This finishes the proof.
Lemma 3.10
We have the following connexion between the Gauss and Poisson semigroups, that is

$$
\mathscr{P}^{t}(f)(r, x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{\sqrt{u}} \mathscr{G}^{\frac{t^{2}}{4 u}}(f)(r, x) d u .
$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right), p \in[1,+\infty]$; for every $\left.(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$, the integral

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{\sqrt{u}} \mathscr{G}^{\mathscr{t}^{2}}(f)(r, x) d u
$$

is well defined.
Moreover, from relations (2.5), (3.19) and applying Fubini's theorem, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{\sqrt{u}} \mathscr{G ^ { t ^ { 2 } }} 4(f)(r, x) d u \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{\sqrt{u}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau_{(r,-x)}(\breve{f})(s, y) g_{\frac{t^{2}}{4 u}}(s, y) d \nu_{\alpha}(s, y)\right) d u \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau_{(r,-x)}(\breve{f})(s, y)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{\sqrt{u}} g_{\frac{t^{2}}{4 u}}(s, y) d u\right) d \nu_{\alpha}(s, y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By relation (3.22), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{\sqrt{u}} \mathscr{G}^{t^{2}} \\
& 4 u \\
&(f)(r, x) d u=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau_{(r,-x)}(\breve{f})(s, y) p_{t}(s, y) d \nu_{\alpha}(s, y) \\
&=\mathscr{P}^{t}(f)(r, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.11
For every $f \in \mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, the maximal function $f^{*}$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*}(r, x)=\sup _{t>0}\left|\mathscr{P}^{t}(f)(r, x)\right| \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to the space $\left.L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right) ; p \in\right] 1,+\infty[$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{*}\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant 2\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. From Lemma 3.10, for every $\left.(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$, we have

$$
\mathscr{P}^{t}(f)(r, x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{\sqrt{u}} \mathscr{G}^{\frac{t^{2}}{4 u}}(f)(r, x) d u=\frac{t}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\frac{-t^{2}}{4 s}}}{s^{\frac{3}{2}}} \mathscr{G}^{s}(f)(r, x) d s
$$

Integrating by parts and using the fact that for every $s>0,\left|\int_{0}^{s} \mathscr{G}^{u}(f)(r, x) d u\right| \leqslant$ $s\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}}$, we get

$$
\mathscr{P}^{t}(f)(r, x)=-\frac{t}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} s \frac{d}{d s}\left[\frac{e^{\frac{-t^{2}}{4 s}}}{s^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right]\left[\frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{s} \mathscr{G}^{u}(f)(r, x) d u\right] d s
$$

Thus, for every $\left.(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$; we have

$$
\left|\mathscr{P}^{t}(f)(r, x)\right| \leqslant \mathscr{M}(f)(r, x)\left|\frac{t}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} s \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{-t^{2}}{4 s}}}{s^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) d s\right|=\mathscr{M}(f)(r, x)
$$

So; for every $(r, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; f^{*}(r, x) \leqslant \mathscr{M}(f)(r, x)$; where $\mathscr{M}(f)$ is the maximal function defined by relation (3.20). Using Proposition 3.5, we deduce that

$$
\left\|f^{*}\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant 2\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}
$$

## 4. The Littlewood-Paley $g$-function associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator

This section is devoted to study the boundedness of the $g$-function. We start this section by some intermediate results.

## Lemma 4.1

Let $f$ be a function of $\mathscr{S}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$; and let $\mathscr{U}(f)$ be the function defined on $\left.\mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$ by

$$
\mathscr{U}(f)(r, x, t)=\mathscr{P}^{t}(f)(r, x)=p_{t} * f(r, x) .
$$

Then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\left.(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{k}(\mathscr{U}(f))(r, x, t)\right| \leqslant \frac{\Gamma(2 \alpha+k+3)}{2^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{3}{2}\right)} \frac{\|f\|_{1, \nu_{\alpha}}}{t^{2 \alpha+k+3}} . \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From the proof of Proposition 3.8 and for every $\left.(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{U}(f)(r, x, t)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}}} \widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}(f)(\mu, \lambda) j_{\alpha}(r \mu) e^{i \lambda x} d \nu_{\alpha}(\mu, \lambda) . \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{k}(\mathscr{U}(f))(r, x, t) \\
& \quad=(-1)^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} e^{-t \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}}} \widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}(f)(\mu, \lambda) j_{\alpha}(r \mu) e^{i \lambda x} d \nu_{\alpha}(\mu, \lambda) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, for every $\left.(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{k}(\mathscr{U}(f))(r, x, t)\right| & \leqslant\left\|\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}}(f)\right\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} e^{-t \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}}} d \nu_{\alpha}(\mu, \lambda) \\
& \leqslant\|f\|_{1, \nu_{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} e^{-t \sqrt{\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}}} d \nu_{\alpha}(\mu, \lambda) \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(2 \alpha+k+3)\|f\|_{1, \nu_{\alpha}}}{2^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{3}{2}\right)} \frac{1}{t^{2 \alpha+k+3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma 4.2

Let $f$ be a function of $\mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and let $a$ be a positive real number such that $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset B_{a}=\left\{(r ; x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, r^{2}+x^{2} \leqslant a^{2}\right\}$. Then for every $\left.(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$ such that $r^{2}+x^{2} \geqslant 4 a^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|\mathscr{U}(f)(r, x, t)| & \leqslant \frac{a^{2 \alpha+3} 2^{2 \alpha+4} \Gamma(\alpha+2)}{(2 \alpha+3) \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{3}{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}}}{\left(t^{2}+r^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}}}  \tag{4.28}\\
\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\mathscr{U}(f))(r, x, t)\right| & \leqslant \frac{a^{2 \alpha+3} 2^{2 \alpha+8} \Gamma(\alpha+3)}{(2 \alpha+3) \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{3}{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}}}{\left(t^{2}+r^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{\alpha+2}},  \tag{4.29}\\
\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathscr{U}(f))(r, x, t)\right| & \leqslant \frac{a^{2 \alpha+3} 2^{2 \alpha+8} \Gamma(\alpha+3)}{(2 \alpha+3) \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{3}{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}}}{\left(t^{2}+r^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{\alpha+2}} . \tag{4.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From relation (2.4) and Lemma 3.7, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{(r,-x)}\left(p_{t}\right)(s, y)  \tag{4.31}\\
&=\frac{2^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha+2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{t \sin ^{2 \alpha} \theta d \theta}{\left(t^{2}+\left(r^{2}+s^{2}+2 r s \cos \theta\right)+(x-y)^{2}\right)^{\alpha+2}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{2^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha+2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{t}{\left(t^{2}+(r-s)^{2}+(x-y)^{2}\right)^{\alpha+2}} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin ^{2 \alpha} \theta d \theta
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{(r,-x)}\left(p_{t}\right)(s, y) \leqslant \frac{2^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha+2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{t}{\left(t^{2}+(r-s)^{2}+(x-y)^{2}\right)^{\alpha+2}} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f \in \mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) ; \operatorname{supp}(f) \subset B_{a}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{U}(f)(r, x, t) & =p_{t} * f(r, x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau_{(r,-x)}\left(p_{t}\right)(s, y) f(s, y) d \nu_{\alpha}(s, y) \\
& =\iint_{B_{\alpha}^{+}} \tau_{(r,-x)}\left(p_{t}\right)(s, y) f(s, y) d \nu_{\alpha}(s, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
B_{a}^{+}=\left\{(r, x) ; r^{2}+x^{2} \leqslant a^{2}, r \geqslant 0\right\} .
$$

From relation (4.32), for every $\left.(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \mathscr{U}(f) & (r, x, t) \mid \\
& \leqslant \frac{2^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha+2)\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \iint_{B_{\alpha}^{+}} \frac{t d \nu_{\alpha}(s, y)}{\left(t^{2}+(r-s)^{2}+(x-y)^{2}\right)^{\alpha+2}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{2^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha+2)\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \iint_{B_{a}^{+}} \frac{d \nu_{\alpha}(s, y)}{\left(t^{2}+(r-s)^{2}+(x-y)^{2}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}}} \\
& =\frac{2^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha+2)\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \iint_{B_{\alpha}^{+}} \frac{d \nu_{\alpha}(s, y)}{\left(t^{2}+\|(r, x)-(s, y)\|^{2}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For every $(r, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $r^{2}+x^{2} \geqslant 4 a^{2}$ and for every $(s, y) \in B_{a}^{+}$; we have

$$
\|(r, x)-(s, y)\| \geqslant\|(r, x)\|-\|(s, y)\|=\sqrt{r^{2}+x^{2}}-\sqrt{s^{2}+y^{2}} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\|(r, x)\|
$$

This implies that for every $\left.(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty\left[; r^{2}+x^{2} \geqslant 4 a^{2}\right.$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathscr{U}(f)(r, x, t)| & \leqslant \frac{2^{3 \alpha+\frac{9}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha+2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}}}{\left(t^{2}+r^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}}} \nu_{\alpha}\left(B_{a}^{+}\right) \\
& =\frac{a^{2 \alpha+3} 2^{2 \alpha+4} \Gamma(\alpha+2)}{(2 \alpha+3) \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{3}{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi}}\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}} \frac{1}{\left(t^{2}+r^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From relation (4.31); we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\tau_{(r,-x)}\left(p_{t}\right)(s, y)\right)= & \frac{2^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha+2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)}(-2(\alpha+2)) \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{t(r+s \cos \theta) \sin ^{2 \alpha} \theta d \theta}{\left(t^{2}+\left(r^{2}+s^{2}+2 r s \cos \theta\right)+(x-y)^{2}\right)^{\alpha+3}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\tau_{(r,-x)}\left(p_{t}\right)(s, y)\right)\right| & \leqslant \frac{2^{\alpha+\frac{5}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha+3)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{t(r+s)}{\left(t^{2}+(r-s)^{2}+(x-y)^{2}\right)^{\alpha+3}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{2^{\alpha+\frac{5}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha+3)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{r+s}{\left(t^{2}+(r-s)^{2}+(x-y)^{2}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{5}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\lvert\, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right. & (\mathscr{U}(f))(r, s, t) \mid \\
& \leqslant \iint_{B_{a}^{+}}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\tau_{(r,-x)}\left(p_{t}\right)(s, y)\right)\right||f(s, y)| d \nu_{\alpha}(s, y) \\
& \leqslant \frac{2^{\alpha+\frac{5}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha+3)}{\sqrt{\pi}}\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}} \iint_{B_{a}^{+}} \frac{(r+s) d \nu_{\alpha}(s, y)}{\left(t^{2}+(r-s)^{2}+(x-y)^{2}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{5}{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But, for every $(r, x) ; r^{2}+x^{2} \geqslant 4 a^{2}$ and every $(s, y) \in B_{a}^{+}$; we have

$$
\frac{r+s}{\left(t^{2}+(r-s)^{2}+(x-y)^{2}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{5}{2}}} \leqslant \frac{2 \sqrt{r^{2}+x^{2}}}{\left(t^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(r^{2}+x^{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha+\frac{5}{2}}} \leqslant \frac{2^{2 \alpha+6}}{\left(t^{2}+r^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{\alpha+2}}
$$

This implies that

$$
\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\mathscr{U}(f))(r, s, t)\right| \leqslant \frac{2^{3 \alpha+\frac{17}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha+3)}{\sqrt{\pi}}\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{\alpha}} \frac{1}{\left(t^{2}+r^{2}+x^{2}\right)^{\alpha+2}} \nu_{\alpha}\left(B_{a}^{+}\right) .
$$

Then, the result follows from the fact that

$$
\nu_{\alpha}\left(B_{a}^{+}\right)=\frac{a^{2 \alpha+3}}{(2 \alpha+3) 2^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{3}{2}\right)} .
$$

We get the result (4.30) as the same way as the precedent inequality.

## Theorem 4.3

Let $\Delta_{\alpha}$ be the partial differential operator defined by

$$
\Delta_{\alpha}=\Lambda_{\alpha}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}
$$

where $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ is given by relation (3.18). Then, for every non negative function $f \in$ $\mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and every $\left.\left.p \in\right] 1,2\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_{\alpha}\left((\mathscr{U}(f))^{p}\right)(r, x, t) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) t d t=\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}^{p} \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f$ be a non negative function, $f \in \mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Then $\mathscr{U}(f)$ is a positive function and from Proposition 3.8,

$$
\Delta_{\alpha}(\mathscr{U}(f))=0 .
$$

Moreover; we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\alpha}\left((\mathscr{U}(f))^{p}\right)=p(p-1)(\mathscr{U}(f))^{p-2}|\nabla(\mathscr{U}(f))|^{2} \geqslant 0 \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\nabla(\mathscr{U}(f))=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\mathscr{U}(f)), \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathscr{U}(f)), \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathscr{U}(f))\right) .
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_{\alpha}\left((\mathscr{U}(f))^{p}\right)(r, x, t) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) t d t \\
& \quad=\lim _{A \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{A} \int_{0}^{A} \int_{-A}^{A}\left(\Lambda_{\alpha}\left((\mathscr{U}(f))^{p}\right)(r, x, t)+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\left((\mathscr{U}(f))^{p}\right)(r, x, t)\right) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) t d t
\end{aligned}
$$

From relation (4.27); we deduce that for every $\left.(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0,+\infty[$ and for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$; we have

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial t^{k}}(\mathscr{U}(f))(r, x, t)\right| \leqslant \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}\left|\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{\alpha}(f)(\mu, \lambda)\right| d \nu_{\alpha}(\mu, \lambda)<+\infty .
$$

It follows that, the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\left((\mathscr{U}(f))^{p}\right) \\
& \quad=p(p-1)(\mathscr{U}(f))^{p-2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathscr{U}(f))\right)^{2}+p(\mathscr{U}(f))^{p-1} \frac{\partial^{2}(\mathscr{U}(f))}{\partial t^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

is bounded on $[0, A] \times[-A, A] \times[0, A]$.
As the same way; the function

$$
\Lambda_{\alpha}\left((\mathscr{U}(f))^{p}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}\left((\mathscr{U}(f))^{p}\right)+\frac{2 \alpha+1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left((\mathscr{U}(f))^{p}\right)+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left((\mathscr{U}(f))^{p}\right)
$$

is bounded on $[0, A] \times[-A, A] \times[0, A]$.

Then, by Fubini's theorem; we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{A} \int_{0}^{A} \int_{-A}^{A} \Delta_{\alpha}\left((\mathscr{U}(f))^{p}\right)(r, x, t) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) t d t=I_{1}(A)+I_{2}(A)+I_{3}(A) \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}(A)=C_{\alpha} \int_{0}^{A} \int_{-A}^{A}\left(\int_{0}^{A} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[r^{2 \alpha+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left((\mathscr{U}(f))^{p}\right)\right](r, x, t) d r\right) d x t d t \\
& I_{2}(A)=C_{\alpha} \int_{0}^{A} \int_{0}^{A}\left(\int_{-A}^{A} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left[(\mathscr{U}(f))^{p}\right](r, x, t) d x\right) r^{2 \alpha+1} d r t d t \\
& I_{3}(A)=\int_{0}^{A} \int_{-A}^{A}\left(\int_{0}^{A}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\left[(\mathscr{U}(f))^{p}\right](r, x, t) t d t\right) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $C_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha+1) \sqrt{2 \pi}}$.
Now,

$$
I_{1}(A)=p C_{\alpha} \int_{0}^{A} \int_{-A}^{A} A^{2 \alpha+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\mathscr{U}(f))(A, x, t)(\mathscr{U}(f))^{p-1}(A, x, t) d x t d t .
$$

Let $a>0$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset B_{a}$ and let $A \geqslant 2 a$. By relations (4.28) and (4.29), we have

$$
\left|I_{1}(A)\right| \leqslant \frac{C_{1} A^{2 \alpha+4}}{A^{(2 \alpha+3)(p-1)} A^{2 \alpha+4}}=\frac{C_{1}}{A^{(2 \alpha+3)(p-1)}}
$$

which involves that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{A \rightarrow+\infty} I_{1}(A)=0 \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the same way;

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(A)= & p C_{\alpha} \int_{0}^{A} \int_{0}^{A}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathscr{U}(f))(r, A, t)(\mathscr{U}(f))^{p-1}(r, A, t)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathscr{U}(f))(r,-A, t)(\mathscr{U}(f))^{p-1}(r,-A, t)\right] r^{2 \alpha+1} d r t d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and by relations (4.28) and (4.30); we obtain

$$
\left|I_{2}(A)\right| \leqslant \frac{C_{2} A^{2 \alpha+4}}{A^{(2 \alpha+3)(p-1)} A^{2 \alpha+4}}=\frac{C_{2}}{A^{(2 \alpha+3)(p-1)}}
$$

so,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{A \rightarrow+\infty} I_{2}(A)=0 \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us checking the integral $I_{3}(A)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{A}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\left[(\mathscr{U}(f))^{p}\right](r, x, t) t d t \\
& \quad=p A \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathscr{U}(f))(r, x, A)(\mathscr{U}(f))^{p-1}(r, x, A)-(\mathscr{U}(f))^{p}(r, x, A)+f^{p}(r, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

However,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{A} \int_{-A}^{A} \mathscr{U}^{p}(f)(r, x, A) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) & \leqslant \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathscr{U}^{p}(f)(r, x, A) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) \\
& =\left\|p_{A} * f\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}^{p} \leqslant\left\|p_{A}\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}^{p}\|f\|_{1, \nu_{\alpha}}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

By a simple computation and using Lemma 3.7, we deduce that

$$
\lim _{A \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|p_{A}\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}^{p}=0
$$

and then

$$
\lim _{A \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{A} \int_{-A}^{A} \mathscr{U}^{p}(f)(r, x, A) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)=0
$$

On the other hand, by relation (4.26), we have

$$
p A \int_{0}^{A} \int_{-A}^{A}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathscr{U}(f))(r, x, A)\right|(\mathscr{U}(f))^{p-1}(r, x, A) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) \leqslant \frac{C_{3}}{A^{(2 \alpha+3)(p-1)}},
$$

which implies that

$$
\lim _{A \rightarrow+\infty} p A \int_{0}^{A} \int_{-A}^{A} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathscr{U}(f))(r, x, A)(\mathscr{U}(f))^{p-1}(r, x, A) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)=0 .
$$

hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{A \rightarrow+\infty} I_{3}(A)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}(f(r, x))^{p} d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)=\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}^{p} \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the desired result follows from relations (4.35), (4.36), (4.37) and (4.38) .
Definition 4.4
The Littlewood-Paley $g$-function associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator is defined for $f \in \mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ by

$$
g(f)(r, x)=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|\nabla(\mathscr{U}(f))(r, x, t)|^{2} t d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Let $\mathscr{C}_{c, e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ be the space of continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, even with respect to the first variable and with compact support.

In the following, we need the coming result.

## Lemma 4.5

Let $g$ be a non negative function, $g \in \mathscr{C}_{c, e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) ; \operatorname{supp}(g) \subset B_{a}$. For every $\varepsilon$; $0<\varepsilon<1$, there exists a non negative function $f \in \mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\forall(r, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; 0 \leqslant f(r, x)-g(r, x) \leqslant \varepsilon
$$

with $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset B_{a+2}$.
Proof. It is well known that for every non negative function $h ; h \in \mathscr{C}_{c, e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, $\operatorname{supp}(h) \subset B_{a}$ and for every $\eta>0$, there is a non negative function $f \in \mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset B_{a+1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall(r, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ;-\eta \leqslant f(r, x)-h(r, x) \leqslant \eta \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g$ be a non negative function in $\mathscr{C}_{c, e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \operatorname{supp}(g) \subset B_{a}$ and let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}, 0<$ $\varepsilon<1$. We define the function $\theta$ by

$$
\theta(r, x)= \begin{cases}g(r, x)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, & \text { if } r^{2}+x^{2} \leqslant a^{2} \\ -\sqrt{r^{2}+x^{2}}+a+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, & \text { if } a^{2} \leqslant r^{2}+x^{2} \leqslant\left(a+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{2} \\ 0, & \text { if } r^{2}+x^{2} \geqslant\left(a+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{2}\end{cases}
$$

Then $\theta$ is a non negative function, $\theta$ belongs to the space $\mathscr{C}_{c, e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\theta) \subset$ $B_{a+1}$.

From relation (4.39), there exists a non negative function $f \in \mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset B_{a+2}$, and

$$
\forall(r, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ;-\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \leqslant f(r, x)-\theta(r, x) \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4} .
$$

Thus, the function $f$ satisfies

$$
\forall(r, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; 0 \leqslant f(r, x)-g(r, x) \leqslant \varepsilon
$$

with $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset B_{a+2}$.

## Proposition 4.6

For every $p \in] 1,2]$, and for every function $f \in \mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, the function $g(f)$ belongs to the space $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ and we have

$$
\|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant 2 \frac{2^{\frac{2-p}{2}}}{p}\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}
$$

Proof. Let $f$ be a non negative function; $f \in \mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. From relation (4.34), we have

$$
|\nabla(\mathscr{U}(f))(r, x, t)|^{2}=\frac{1}{p(p-1)}(\mathscr{U}(f))^{2-p}(r, x, t) \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\mathscr{U}^{p}(f)\right)(r, x, t) .
$$

For $p=2$ and using relation (4.33), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{2}(f)(r, x) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|\nabla(\mathscr{U}(f))(r, x, t)|^{2} t d t\right) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\mathscr{U}^{2}(f)\right)(r, x, t) t d t d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}(f(r, x))^{2} d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that

$$
\|g(f)\|_{2, \nu_{\alpha}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|f\|_{2, \nu_{\alpha}}
$$

For $p \in] 1,2[$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}(g(f))^{p}(r, x) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|\nabla(\mathscr{U}(f))(r, x, t)|^{2} t d t\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) \\
& \quad=\left(\frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathscr{U}^{2-p}(f)(r, x, t) \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\mathscr{U}^{p}(f)\right)(r, x, t) t d t\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) \\
& \quad \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(f^{*}(r, x)\right)^{(2-p) \frac{p}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\mathscr{U}^{p}(f)\right)(r, x, t) t d t\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f^{*}$ is the maximal function defined by relation (3.24).
Using Hölder's inequality and relation (4.33), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(g(f))^{p}(r, x) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) \\
& \quad \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left\|f^{*}\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}^{p \frac{(2-p)}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \Delta_{\alpha}\left(\mathscr{U}^{p}(f)\right)(r, x, t) t d t d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
& \quad=\left(\frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left\|f^{*}\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}^{2}}^{p \frac{(2-p)}{p}}\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}^{p, \frac{p}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and by means of relation (3.25),

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(g(f))^{p}(r, x) d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x) \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(2\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)^{p \frac{(2-p)}{2}}\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}^{p}
$$

in other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant \frac{2^{\frac{2-p}{2}}}{p}\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f \in \mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) ; \operatorname{supp}(f) \subset B_{a}$ and let $f^{+}=\frac{f+|f|}{2}, f^{-}=\frac{-f+|f|}{2}$. Then $f^{+}$ is a non negative function, $f^{+} \in \mathscr{C}_{c, e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. From Lemma 4.5, for every $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, $0<\varepsilon<1$, there is a non negative function $h_{1} \in \mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \operatorname{supp}\left(h_{1}\right) \subset B_{a+2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall(r, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; 0 \leqslant h_{1}(r, x)-f^{+}(r, x) \leqslant \varepsilon \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the function

$$
h_{2}=h_{1}-f=h_{1}-f^{+}+f^{-}
$$

is non negative, belongs to the space $\mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ with $\operatorname{supp}\left(h_{2}\right) \subset B_{a+2}$. Moreover

$$
\forall(r, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; 0 \leqslant h_{2}(r, x)-f^{-}(r, x)=h_{1}(r, x)-f^{+}(r, x) \leqslant \varepsilon
$$

and we have $f=h_{1}-h_{2}$.
Since the mapping $f \longmapsto g(f)$ is sub-linear in the sense that $g\left(f_{1}+f_{2}\right) \leqslant$ $g\left(f_{1}\right)+g\left(f_{2}\right)$; we deduce that

$$
g(f) \leqslant g\left(h_{1}\right)+g\left(h_{2}\right)
$$

and applying inequality (4.40), we get

$$
\|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant\left\|g\left(h_{1}\right)\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}+\left\|g\left(h_{2}\right)\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant \frac{2^{\frac{2-p}{2}}}{p}\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}+\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}\right)
$$

On the other hand, from relation (4.41), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} & =\left(\iint_{B_{a+2}^{+}}\left(h_{1}(r, x)\right)^{p} d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leqslant\left(\iint_{B_{a+2}^{+}}\left(f^{+}(r, x)\right)^{p} d \nu_{\alpha}(r, x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\varepsilon\left(\nu_{\alpha}\left(B_{a+2}^{+}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leqslant\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}+\varepsilon\left(\nu_{\alpha}\left(B_{a+2}^{+}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

As the same way,

$$
\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}+\varepsilon\left(\nu_{\alpha}\left(B_{a+2}^{+}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .
$$

This means that for every $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}, 0<\varepsilon<1$,

$$
\|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant 2 \frac{2^{\frac{2-p}{2}}}{p}\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}+\varepsilon\left(\nu_{\alpha}\left(B_{a+2}^{+}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)
$$

and consequently,

$$
\|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant 2 \frac{2^{\frac{2-p}{2}}}{p}\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} .
$$

The precedent Proposition allows us to prove the followings Theorem, that is the main result of this paper.

## Theorem 4.7

For every $p \in] 1,2]$; the mapping $f \longmapsto g(f)$ can be extended to the space $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$ and for every $f \in L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$, we have

$$
\|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant 2 \frac{2^{\frac{2-p}{2}}}{2}\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$, then there exists a sequence $\left(f_{k}\right)_{k} \subset \mathscr{D}_{e}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|f_{k}-f\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}=0
$$

Since the mapping $f \longmapsto g(f)$ is sub-linear; then for every $(k, l) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$; we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g\left(f_{k+l}\right)-g\left(f_{k}\right)\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} & \leqslant\left\|g\left(f_{k+l}-f_{k}\right)\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \\
& \leqslant 2 \frac{2^{\frac{2-p}{2}}}{2}\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left\|f_{k+l}-f_{k}\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, the sequence $\left(g\left(f_{k}\right)\right)_{k}$ is a Cauchy one in $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$. We put

$$
g(f)=\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} g\left(f_{k}\right)
$$

in $L^{p}\left(d \nu_{\alpha}\right)$.
It is clear that $g(f)$ is independent of the choice of the sequence $\left(f_{k}\right)_{k}$ and we have

$$
\|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}=\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|g\left(f_{k}\right)\right\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}} \leqslant 2 \frac{2^{\frac{2-p}{2}}}{p}\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p, \nu_{\alpha}}
$$
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